THE HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

BEING LECTURES ON THE

BHAGAVAD GITA

RY

Professor M. RANGACHARYA, M.A.

Vol. III

EDITED BY

M. B. VARADARAJA IYENGAR, B.A.BL.
Advocate, Bangalore City
AND

M R. SAMPATKUMARAN, MA

THE EDUCATIONAL PUBLISHING CO., Nungambakkam, Madras-34.

Rupees Twelve

First Edition—1939 Reprinted—October 1966

ministry of Education



PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION

In my prefatory note to Volume II of this work, I explained the circumstances under which the duty of publishing these lectures desolved on me, and the principles on which under the guidance of Mr M B Varadaraja I, engar, I carried on the work of editing the manuscripts And it is hardly necessary to repeat that account here.

When the printing of this volume was in progress, I expected that there would be no need to write a preface of any length and that nothing more would be required of me than a thankful seknow-ledgment of help received from various quasters. But I find it now necessary to perform an unforesseen and grievous duty in recording the death some two years ago of Mr M B Varadarala lyengar, but for whose friendly encouragement and assurance of helpful guidance I might not have undertaken this work at all. His end came with shocking suddenness. With the express purpose of meeting him. I travelled over to Bangalore during the first week of July, 1937 only to find that he had passed away the previous might

Mr Varadaraia lyengar was a lawyer by profession but a scholar by instinct. For many years he had pursued Sanskrit studies solely out of his abounding love for the subject. There was hardly any department of Sanskrit literature that he had not mastered and so faithful was his memory that he could recall at a moment's no ice any matter lying within the wide domain of classical scholarship which he had made his own. He combined within himself the minute accuracy of the traditional pandit with the critical vision and broad outlook generally associated with modern scholarship. Yet he was so unassuming and modest that few outside the circle of his intimate augusintances ever suspected the vast extent of his erudition. Conversation with him was seldom frivolous or futile I have rarely spoken to him even for a few minutes without learning something new. And it was all ' learning without tears" For he had the great gift of lucid exposition and a fine sense of humour which could enlive even discussions on abstrus- metaphysics. The happy hours I spent with him in Bangalore reading and revising the manuscripts of these lectures will always remain a cherished memory with me, and it is

one of my lasting regrets that it was not given me to meet him more often and get more help from him in my own studies Happily, however, so far as this work is concerned, I had the benefit of his guidance in editing the manuscripts to the end. He even read the proofs to about the end of the 15th Chapter

His professional preoccupations did not leave him sufficient leisure to devote himself as much as he might have wished to literary pursuits, and it is a matter for regret that he did not leave behind him more than one or two works to testify to his keen interest in Sanskitt culture. He collaborated with my father many years ago in a well known translation of the \$\frac{F_1}{2} \text{ By83-by9}\$ This work, I may note in passing, has not been published in full only one out of the three proposed volumes having been printed. And Mr. M. B. Varadaraja Iyengar devoted some time during the last months of his life to revising the still unpublished parts of the translation. He also translated \$\frac{F_1}{2} \text{ Ramanuja's Vedarths Sangraha}\$ nto English, the translation appearing serially in the Brahmaradin

My sense of thankfulness in having brought this work, however unworthily or imperfectly, to a conclusion would have been more or less complete had be lived to share in my joy. But that was not to be I may, however, add that his son, Mr M B Narasimha lyengar, humself an accomplished scholar, has throughout helped me with numerous suggestions and after the death of his father read through the proofs. His connection with the work has to some extent dulled the keen edge of my disappointment. And to him here I gratefully offer my thanks. I must also thank Mr K R. Sarma of the Modern Publishing House for assisting me in the preparation of the glossary and the errata Lastly, my thanks are also due to Messrs. O A Natesan & Co for the help and co operation I received from them when this work was in print.

July, 1939, Triplicane

M R SAMPATKUMARAN

^{*} The other follows, have sin a been published

CONTENTS

CHAPTER XIII

LECTURE LVII (Verses 1 to 6)

The scope and purpose of Chapters XIII to XVIII—The kshetra (or the field for the play of the soul) and the kshetrajña—What (or the knower of the field)—God as the kshetrajña—What constitutes the kshetra

LECTURE LVIII (Verses 7 to 14)

Jiiana or the qualities that lead to redeeming knowledge—
God as the Jiisa or that which is to be known
—16

LECTURE LIX (Verses 15 to 21)

Further description of the Jneva-Both prakrit; and purusha are beginningless-Their roles in experience

LECTURE LX (Verses 22 to 34)

God in the kshetra—Ways of realisation—The scope and nature of Revelation—Right vision prevents the destruction of one's self through one's self—The redeeming knowledge —42

CHAPTER XIV

LECTURE LXI (Verses 1 to 13)

Resume of Chapter XIII—Deussen on the Vedānta and the golden rule—Importance of the study of prakrit:—God as father and prakrit: as mother—The three gunas and their varied effects—Their binding influence—The dominant guna in an embodiment and its moral effects —60

LECTURE LXII

The effects of the dominant guna at the time of death—Moral progress and retrogression under the influence of the gunas—75 Transcending the gunas

LECTURE LXIII

The way of life of one who has transcended the gutas—Devotion to God as the means for such transcendence—God as the basic support of matter, soul everlasting righteousness and the biss in moksha—Resume of Chapter XIV

—87

CHAPTER XV

LECTURE LXIV

Chapter XV elaborates the last verse of Chapter XIV—The metaphor of the advatthattee with its roots upwards and branches downwards—Attaining emancipation after cutting the roots of the tree ——102

LECTURE LXV (Verses 6 to 14)

The world of indestructible immortality—The soul as an amfa of God—The soul and the senses—God as the source of all light and life—His intimate relationship with the universe —112

LECTURE LXVI (Verses 15 to 20)

God as the source of memory—Memory as a proof of the existence of the soul—The unity of theme between the Veda and the Vedānia—The destructible and the indestructible purushas—The Highest Purusha—The most secret science—127

CHAPTER XVI

LECTURE LXVII (Verses 1 to 3)

Resume of Chapter XV—Why men sometimes, knowing the better, choose the worse—How praktit acts as divine and emonical in tegard to different persons—Those who are born to the wealth of divine qualities —145

LECTURE LXVIII (Verses 4 to 9)

The qualities of those born with a demoniacal endowment— Arjuna's own inheritance—The conduct and philosophy of the demoniacal group —161

LECTURE LAIX (Verses 10 to 18)

Further characteristics of the demoniacal group—Their insatiable greed and reatlessness—Their hatred of God —169

LECTURE LXX (Verses 19 to 24)

Demontacal persons are thrown into hell—What this hell is—
The gateway to hell—Those who escape going to hell—How the
scriptures help and are authorita tive
—184

CHAPTER XVII

LECTURE LXXI (Verses 1 to 13)

Resume of Chapter XVI-Ariuna asks about those who. eiving up the ordinances of the scriptures, worship with faith-Man is as his faith is-Three kinds of faith-The gods worshipped therein, the penances practised and the acts of charity carried out-The types of food eaten by the followers of the different kinds of faith -- 198

LECTURE LXXII (Verses 14 to 23)

Tapas and dana classified into the sativika, the raiasa and the tamasa categories. The significance of 'Om tat sat'

LECTURE LXXIII (Verses 24 to 28)

The use of Om tat sat to complete religious acts-Resume of Chapter XVII -227

CHAPTER XVIII

LECTURE LXXIV (Verses (1 to 9)

The truth about sannyasa and trage-Three types of traga

LECTURE LXXV (Verses 10 to 16)

Further particulars of sattvika tvaga-Five causes of action

LECTURE LXXVI (Verses 17 to 25)

The threefold incitement to action—Three types of knowledge and action -264

LECTURE LXXVII (Verses 26 to 32)

Three types of agents-Classification of intellect -276

LECTURE LXXVIII (Verses 33 to 35)

Three types of will

LECTURE LXXIX (Verses 36 to 41)

-287

The threefold classification of pleasure-Castes and their functions -- 296

LECTURE LXXX (Verses 47 to 46)

The function and character of each caste-The way to perfection through the performance of one's own duty

LECTURE LXXXI (Verses 47 to 48)

The fit man for the fit office—The dangers of choosing another's duty as one's own --315

LECTURE LXXXII (Verses 49 to 55)

Stages to realisation-Supreme devotion

-327

LECTURE LXXXIII (Verses 56 to 63)

God's grace and the devotion of the devoted—Arjuna's nature and duty arising from that nature—God and prakrit in the agency of work—Seeking refuge with God—Arjuna is free to do as He leases — 337

LECTURE LXXXIV (Verses 64 to 66)

The doctrine of prapatti as 'the last word' of Sri Krishna—Other interpretations of VV II 66 —351

LECTURE LXXXV (Verses 67 to 78)

To whom should the Gita be taught, and from whom withheld—The responsibility of teachers of religion—Arjuna's final reaction—How the Gita concludes—Resume of Chapter XVIII.

-362

EPILOGUE

LECTURE LXXXVI A runping summary of Chapters I to XVIII

-375

LECTURE LXXXVII

The plan and purpose of the Gitā—Is the Gitā a synthesis or a jumble of discordant views I—Its dialogue form—Its beginning and end—Its theme is the answer to Arjuna's doubts about his duty—How is duty determinate?—The metaphysical postulates underlying the teaching about duty—Matter, soul and God as conceived in the Gitā—Their inter relations indicate the principles of ethics—The four paths of moral discipline—discipline—The Advattic view of the Gitā—Application of Sti Krishba's system of ethics to Arjuna's case—The Gitā synthesis of Sāhkhya, Yoga and other systems—The Gitā and tradition—The Gitā and social welfare—The ideal society—Mankind as a family —388

Index to Slokas

-407

A Glossary of Sanskilt Words

-415

THE

PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT ACCORDING TO THE RELIGION OF THE HINDUS

BEING

AN EXPOSITION

OF THE

BHAGAVADGITA

VOLUME III

यो नित्यो यमुपासतेऽभिज्जना येनेदभायास्यते यस्म कर्मे करोति सास्यिम्कुळं यस्माजगजायते । यस्यैश्ययंमवेदानेजिमिनं यस्मिन् हि विद्वं स्थितं तं दूरे पुनरन्तिकेऽपि विद्वितं ध्यायामि नारायणम् ॥

CHAPTER XIII

lvji

GENTLEMEN.

Last time we completed the study of the twelfth chapter of the Gita and therewith ends the second of the three great divisions into which the Gitā naturally falls. You know the first six chapters deal mainly with the question of self realisation and also with the disciplines of karma and jiūna, while Chapters 7 to 12 are predominantly concerned with the question of God realisation and the correlated discipline of bhakts. It may be recalled that I drew your attention to the view that the vision of cistvariba might be considered in a way as completing the teachings of the Gitā. It is of course evident that Sri Kṛishna attained the primary object of His discourse and brought about a welcome change in Arjuna's attitude by demonstrating the universal vision. Nevertheless, He must have felt that His teachings were not yet

LECTURE LXXXI (Verses 47 to 48)

The fit man for the fit office-The dangers of choosing another's duty as one's own -315

LECTURE LXXXII (Verses 49 to 55)

Stages to realisation—Supreme devotion

LECTURE LXXXIII (Verses 56 to 63)

God's grace and the devotion of the devoted-Arjuna's nature and duty arising from that nature—God and prakrits in the agency of work-Seeking refuge with God-Arjuna is free to do as He -337

LECTURE LXXXIV (Verses 64 to 66)

The doctrine of prapatts as 'the last word' of Sri Krishna-Other interpretations of XVIII 66 -351

LECTURE LXXXV (Verses 67 to 78)

To whom should the $Git\bar{a}$ be taught, and from whom withheld—The responsibility of teachers of religion—Arjuna's final reaction-How the Gitā concludes-Resume of Chapter XVIII. -362

EPILOGUE

LECTURE LXXXVI

A running summary of Chapters I to XVIII

-375

-327

LECTURE LXXXVII

The plan and putpose of the Gitā—Is the Gitā a synthesis or a jumble of discordant views ?—Its dialogue form—Its beginning and end-lts theme 18 the answer to Arjuna's doubts about his duty-How is duty determinate?-The metaphysical postulates underlying the teaching about duty-Matter, soul and God as conceived in the Great-Their inter relations indicate the principles of ethics-The four paths of moral disciplinediscipline—The Advastic view of the Gitā-Application of Sri Krishna's system of ethics to Arjuna's case—The Gitā synthesis of Sankhya, Yoga and other systems—The Gitā and tradition— The Gita and social welfare-The ideal society-Mankind as a -388

Index to Stokas A Glossary of Sanskrit Words -407

-415

THE

PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT ACCORDING TO THE RELIGION OF THE HINDUS

BEING

AN EXPOSITION

OF THE

BHAGAVADGITA .

VOLUME III

यो नित्यो यमुपासतेऽध्विलजना येनेदमाचास्यते

यस्म फर्म फरोति साचित्रकुलं यस्माज्जगजायते ।

यस्येभ्यर्यानेत्रजेजविभवं यस्मिन् हि विदयं स्थितं

तं दूरे पुनरन्तिकेऽपि विदितं ध्यायामि नारायणम् ॥

CHAPTER XIII

lvii

GENTLENEN,

Last time we completed the study of the twelfth chapter of the Gita and therewith ends the second of the three great divisions into which the Gitā naturally falls. You know the first six chapters deal mainly with the question of self realisation and also with the disciplines of karina and jihāna, while Chapters 7 to 12 are predominantly concerned with the question of God realisation and the correlated discipline of bhakts. It may be recalled that 1 drew your attention to the view that the vision of visariba might be considered in a way as completing the teachings of the Gitā. It is of course evident that Sri Krishna attained the primary object of His discourse and brought about a welcome change in Atjuna's attitude by demonstrating the universal vision. Nevertheless, He must have felt that His teachings were not yet

complete in every detail, brief references had yet to be expanded and loose ends brought together And stray facts here and there had to be shown their proper place in the scheme of things In short, the teachings of the Gita must be built into a rational and consistent system. I need hardly say that the development of thought in the Gita has so far proceeded after the manner of dialogues It began with the doubts and difficulties of Arjuna, and point by point and step by step, the progress of thought has been determined by the needs of Arjuna's changing mind Accordingly, at is easy to understand how there may still remain points requiring expansion or elucidation. And so it is generally held that the third division of the Gita, comprising the last six chapters, is, as it were, supplementary to the other two This does not necessarily lead to the inference, as some critics suppose, that Chapters 13 to 18 are interpolated and only loosely connected with the work For, they shed indispensable light on many obscure points and make the Gita as a whole logically and philosophically complete The gunas, for instance, are referred to often in the course of the first twelve chapters, but it is only in the fourteenth chapter that they are dealt with systematically So, too, the question of caste meets with a full and satisfactory treatment only in the last shatha Such examples may be multiplied But I hope I have said enough to convince you that the third division of the Gita has an essential and necessary part to fulfil

You may remember that I tried to give you a connected account of the progress of thought in the Gita in the first whele chapters last time. The lesson of equality, we saw then is the main purport of the teach age of the Gita. It is only by applying this lesson in our disly lite that we can hope to get rid of the bondage of karma and emancipate our souls. Now this rule of conduct is seen to rest partly on self-realisation and partly-on God realisation. That is it is based on the soul and God in us, as contradistinguished from the praktiti or the material constitution of our bodieg. It is easy to see that we must learn to distriminate between the promptings of the flesh and the dictates of the spirit, if we are to follow this rule of equality with any noticeable success.

Lec LVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

We trave already had brief indications of how this might be done In the seventh chapter, two braktitis of God were described, one as the lower and the other as the higher. The lower, it was taught, is the world of matter and energy, while the higher is of the nature of consciousness Both these brakritis of God are closely intermingled in the universe, and each contributes its share to the complex of motives that actuates our conduct from day to day And what we have to learn is to subordinate matter to spirit That is, we must see that the soul is never made the slave of the body. The tendency to selfishness, the attachment to pleasure and the aversion from pain, which characterise our life ordinarily, have their foundations in the nature of our body. And this lower life of the body must be subordinated to the higher life of the soul. Not otherwise can we benefit from the nectar of virtuous conduct, which Sri Krishna has been teaching so far. Clearly, it is necessary to divide the domains of body and soul. and distinguish the promptings of the one from the dictates of the other. The world of spirit must be differentiated from the world of matter, if we are to live the life of the spirit This is the beginning of all philosophy and the foundation of all ethics. And it is this task which is undertaken in the thirteenth chapter, whose study we may now begin

Following the principal commentators, I do not propose to accept as genuine a \$10ba, which is sometimes given as the first of this chapter. It purports to be a question from Ariuna, asking for light on the distinctive characteristics of the kshetra and the kshetraina Even without such a question from Ariuna, it is easy to understand how Sri Krishna might have felt the need for a more systematic treatment of the several questions already discussed in When two persons are conversing, many things besides actual speech, may turn the tide of discussion. The face is the index of the soul, and is sometimes more expressive than speech. Accordingly, I do not think that the context necessarily requires such a question from Ariuna And if we are right in thinking that the last six chapters of the Gita are supplementary and intended to round up the teachings so far given into a complete system, it may become even essential to hold that it is S'ri Krishna who starts the discussion again, with the object of giving the final touches to the work already so well done.

Lec LVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

and different from others around us, is entirely dependent on our kshetra being characterised by the in dwelling kshetrajña For it is easy to think of a body without a soul A corpse cannot feel, as we feel in relation to our bodies, because there is no kshetrajña in it

It is of interest to note that, according to the stanza we are studying, the soul is called kshetrasna by those who know the soul This implies that it is those who have attained self realisation, that say that the soul is the knower of the body. They alone realise the true difference between body and soul, they do not confound the body with the soul, the conscious knower with the unconscious object of knowledge. And because the soul is kshetrasna, it is, as it were, master in the situation. The body is there not to enslave the soul, but to serve it . just as you do not exist for the sake of your fields, but they are intended to serve your purposes. Of course there must be some kind of mutual agreement. If you refuse to serve your fields, they will not serve you, but ultimately the fields exist for the sake of the owner, and not the owner for the sake of the fields Likewise, the soul must not be made the slave of the body, but the body must be made the efficient servant of the soul. So much annears to me to be implied in the soul being designated as kshetraina The term has also been understood to mean God, but that view may be conveniently considered when we study the next stanza in which S'rı Krıshna declares

क्षेत्रज्ञं चापि मा विद्धि सर्वक्षेत्रेषु भारत । क्षेत्रक्षेत्रज्ञयोर्जानं यत्तद् झानं मतं मम ॥ २ ॥

(2) And know Me also, O Arjuna, to be the kehetenjäa us all the kehetenjä us all the kehetenjä us deemed (by Me) to be (really) the knowledge of Myself

The soul abiding in the body, we were taught, is the knower of the body as well as of itself. But in every being in addition to the soul, God is also present as antaryamin. And as the soul's power to know is derived from God, He is the Knower par

Lec LVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

and different from others around us, is entirely dependent on our kshetra being characterised by the in dwelling kshetrajña For it is easy to think of a body without a soul A corpse cannot feel, as we feel in relation to our bodies, because there is no kshetrajña in it

It is of interest to note that, according to the stanza we are studying, the soul is called kshetraina by those who know the soul. This implies that it is those who have attained self realisation. that say that the soul is the knower of the body. They alone realise the true difference between body and soul, they do not confound the body with the soul, the conscious knower with the unconscious object of knowledge. And because the soul is kshetrasna, it is, as it were, master in the situation. The body is there not to enslave the soul, but to serve it must as you do not exist for the sake of your fields, but they are intended to serve your purposes. Of course, there must be some kind of mutual agreement If you refuse to serve your fields, they will not serve you, but ultimately the fields exist for the sake of the owner, and not the owner for the sake of the fields Likewise, the soul must not be made the slave of the body, but the body must be made the efficient servant of the soul So much appears to me to be implied in the soul being designated as kshetraina The term has also been understood to mean God, but that view may be conveniently considered when we study the next stanza in which S'11 Krishna declares

क्षेत्रज्ञं चापि मां विद्धि सर्वक्षेत्रेषु भागत । क्षेत्रक्षेत्रज्ञयोर्जानं यत्तद् झानं मतं मम ॥ २ ॥

(2) And know Me also, O Arjuna, to be the kshetrajia in all the kshetras. That which is the knowledge of the kshetra and the kshetrajia is deemed (by Me) to be (really) the knowledge of Myself

The soul abiding in the body, we were taught, is the knower of the body as well as of itself. But in every being, in addition to the soul, God is also present as antaryamin. And as the soul's power to know is derived from God, He is the Knower par

excellence So He also can be called kshetrajña Thus every kshetra may be thought of as being associated with two skshetrajñas, one supreme and the other subordinate Let us go back for a moment to the analogy of the cultivated field Suppose there is a tenant who has leased the land from the proprietor As cultivator of the land, the tenant exercises some authority over it, he is kshetrajña to some extent. The final and ultimate rights over the land, however, vest with the proprietor, who is also, therefore, kshetrajña

When we know the nature of our bodies and of our souls, their powers and limitations, we are in a position to realise that, apart from and shove body and soul, there is a higher entity, which is responsible for both the body and the soul being what they are. That is, the knowledge of the body and the soul leads to the knowledge of the entity beyond them. The differentiation of the body from the soul paves the way for the differentiation of both from God. It is thus that the knowledge of the ksheira and the ksheira is equivalent to a knowledge of the sheira.

All this, of course, presupposes that the individual soul is distinct from the Supreme Soul But, as you are well aware, the monistic school of the Vedānta denies the ultimate validity of the distinction. Saākarāchārya, therefore, urges in his lengthy commentary on this stansa that there is only one kshetrayān in all the kshetras, namely, God From thisit follows that a knowledge of the nature of the kshetra as unreal and born of mayā, and of the nature of the kshetrayān as the one and only reality, is the same as the knowledge of God. The advastiss interpretation of this verse has been controverted by Rāmānujāchārya in what is practically a brief resume of the Sribhāshya appearing as a commentary on this verse

There is thus a great deal of interesting philosophical controversy over this verse. But, as I have been trying to explain to you, from the point of view of our study of the Gliā, these metaphysical differences are not of very serious importance. The Gliā can of course, be studied as a text book of metaphysics, it en certainly such questions as whether or not the individual self is identical with the Supreme Self will assume due importance.

Les LVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

But, from the purely ethical standpoint, there is practically no difference of opinion among the rival philosophical schools. Whatever attitude one may adopt towards ultimate metaphysical problems—and their investigation is certainly essential in a quest after truth—the rule of life is the rule of unselfish equality. All who follow the ideal of samatra can attein motska, And it is not the philosophers alone who are fit for salvation. In fact, I have at times ventured to maintain that it is not metaphysical reasoning so much as conduct that saves us.

It seems to me that, if ye follow the rule of equality sincerely and earnestly, our philosophical conclusions, whatever they may be, will not bar our progress to moksha. With the limited powers of knowledge that man has, I do not believe that any one. however great his philosophic census, can arrive at a complete knowledge of the truth. One may light for us a little a dark corner here, another may unravel a little a tangled maze there. Philosophy can do no more than youchsafe to us stray glimpses of truth. And what little knowledge we can arrive at must always be welcomed. We must, therefore, greet with respect all the conclusions which different thinkers have arrived at in their search after the ultimate truth of things. These conclusions, however contradictory they may seem, are not really so, when examined in relation to the ultimate reality. For, as Sri Krishna has taught us, that reality has many aspects and may be looked at in many ways and from many standpoints Let us, therefore, refrain from bearing ill will against those who may differ from us. As far as possible let us avoid dissension. And if disagree we must in regard to any philosophical or metaphysical question, let us do so. remembering that those who differ from us have the same right to their views as we have to ours. All earnest endeavours to comprehend the reality of things deserve our esteem. And they all lead to the rul- of unselfish equality as the rule of life

This does not necessarily imply that we must give up our individual views for the sake of a vague eclecticism. Let us by all means be warm in our convictions, but let not the warm h of our convictions induce us to be intolerant towards the views of others. The light which guides me, I must utilise, but this does not empower me to say that the light which guides any one else is a

mere will-o'-the-wisp. Bearing in mind the manifold aspects of the ultimate reality and the limited powers of the human mind, it is difficult to justify intolerance of any kind. Any philosopher of any age and any nationality would be willing to subscribe to this view. It is only the philosopher who has struggled day and night in investigating the ultimate problems that knows the imperfection and inadequacy of all human thought and the very definite limits which it may not cross.

I have digressed so far because this thirteenth chapter has given tie to acute differences of opinion among the authoritative, commentators I hope I have said enough to convince you that the tule of unselfish equality is seen by all to be obligatory, irrespective of their metaphysical opinions, and that, whatever interpretation we may choose to follow, our ethical standpoint is universally acceptable. We may now pass on to the study of the third stanza, in which 5ti Krishna informs Arjuna of what He proposes to teach in the courter of the succeeding twenty verses.

तत् क्षेत्रं यच यादमच यहिकारि यतश्च यत्। स च यो यत्त्रभाषश्च तत् समासेन में श्रृणु ॥ ३॥

(3) What that kehetra is, what it is like, what its modifications are, whence it has come and what its nature is; who he (the kehetragiia) is and what his powers are; (all) this hear briefly from Me.

Here again the term, 'kshetrajīa', is understood differently by different schools of the $Ved\bar{a}_{nla}$ Sankarāchārya, who thinks that it stands for the Absolute, explains that the 'powers' of the kshetrajāa arise from the upadhis, the limiting conditions of the phenomenal universe.

कपिमियेद्धा गीतं छन्द्रोमिविविधेः पृथक् । प्रकाम्प्रपदेश्वेत्र हेतुमिद्धिविभिश्वेतः ॥ ४ ॥

(4) (The true nature of the ksheira and the ksheirajña) seen sung by rithis, in many ways in different metres distinctly, and (taught) in the well-settled, reasoned words of the Brahma-nutras.

Leg LVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Sri Krishpa begins His exposition of the nature of body and soul, matter and spirit, with a reference to the works of ancient Tishis It is worthy of note that even Sri Krishna finds it necessary to base His teachings on authority Of course this is not the first time that He has sought support for His teachings in the ancient scriptures of this country. But we may take this epportunity to examine the general question of the value of authority in religious teaching And, first, please notice that Sri Krishna does not teach from the standpoint known as prabhu sammita Though He has declared that He is God incarnate, He does not require Arjuna to base the truth of His teachings on that fact alone He does not say "Look here, I am God All that I tell you must be the truth, and your duty is merely to obey My commands" On the other hand, the great Teacher has condescended to place Himself on a level of friendly equality with His humble disciple He argues out His theses logically and patiently and takes pains to answer doubts and difficulties He tells Ariuna something to this effect what we can understand about the ultimate truth of things. Reason and think out for yourself and see whether you can follow Me I have endeavoured to show you that My teaching rests on truth and has the support of the great thinkers of the past In fact, I have twofold authority on My side-the authority of tradition on the one hand and the authority of truth on the other"

Of course, the authority of truth is more important than the authority of tradition. But it is well to note that Sri Krishna does not disdain the latter. And this point must always be berne in mind. For, it is only human to place the utmost reliance on one's own connections and disregard all external authority when is not in complete consonance with one's apprehension of the truth of things. We cannot say that it is wrong to have convictions and to act on them, but neither can we say that it right to disregard opinions other than our own. The disregard of all external authority leads to intellectual self assertion, which is as effective an enemy of liberation as moral self-assertion is. And it is also well to remember that the vividness, strength and clearness of our convictions do not guarantee their truth

The authority of the sages and the seers of the past is based on the value which succeeding generations found in their recorded wisdom And we can ill afford to neglect it in the difficult quest after the ultimate truth of things. It is possible that in the course of our thinking we may meet with riddles that they have already solved or fall into errors against which they may warn us. Let us follow the light that leads us, but at the same time let us hold in esteem the light that guides others, and in particular, let us pay attention to those great seers who have been shining beacon lights from time immemorial. While, therefore, we have to shape our life in accordance with our convictions, we have also a duty to conform ourselves to external authority. All of us have to steer ourselves between these two forces, which seem mutually contradictory. But this contradiction is only apparent. And Sri Krishpa shows by precept and example that the greatness of one's wisdom need not lead to any conflict with or disregard of the authority of the great teachers of the past.

And so, He points out in this sloka that His views on the distinctive characteristics of the ksheira and the ksheirajīna are in consonance with those expressed by the ancient rishis in many ways and many places. He is, as it were, bringing together what they have already said in different contexts. He proposes to give Arjuna merely the essence of their teaching.

The word, 'chhandas', which occurs in the stanza, may mean either the Vedsc metre or the text of the Vedsc hymns. It is usual to note four points about every Vedsc silkta, which is generally a hymn of praise addressed to some Vedsc deity. First of all, there is the Irish, who is the seer of the silkta. He is believed to be literally the 'seer' of the silkta, which is held to be in existence from eternity to eternity. The so called author of it only saw it. In other words, the silkta was revealed to the Irish. And then there is the devasta, the deity in whose honour's the hymn is composed. The next point to be noted is the chhandas or the metre of the hymn. Lastly, there is the viniyoga, or the use to which the hymn is put in the sacrificial religion of the Vedas. I have translated the term, 'chhandas', as metre in this context, because there is the word, 'gitam', meaning 'sung' near by, and mention is made of Irish, as well.

The reference to the Brahma sūtras here has given rise to some difference of opinion among the ancient commentators as well as

Lec. LVII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

modern scholars Translated, the term would mean nothing more than aphorisms relating to the Brahman But it is now applied only to the siteas of Badaravana, on which the three great acharias of latter-day Hinduism, Sankara, Ramanuia and Madhya, have commented at length It is conceivable that there were other effect besides those of Badaravana, dealing with the same or similar topics. And the question is made somewhat complicated for modern scholarship by the fact that almost all the authoritative commentators on the Vedanta-sutras of Badaravana find there some references to the Gita. As we are not engaged at present in a historical discussion on the date of the Gua or of the Vedanta-sutras, we need not come to any definite decision on this point. It seems to me that Sri Krishna was merely pointing out the contrast between the songs sung in various metres by nncient rishis and the reasoned texts of the Brahma sutras. Clearly, it was His intention to state that the true nature of the Ishetra and the kshetrasna had been dealt with both systematically and unsystematically in the past-systematically in the Brahmasutras, whether of Badaravana or of others, and unsystematically in the Vedas and the Upanishads And He proceeds to set forth the conclusions that He draws from a study of the teachings of the restore in the succeeding stangas

> महाभूतान्यहड्कारो बुद्धिरव्यक्तमेय च । इन्द्रियाणि दशैमं च पञ्च चेन्द्रियगोचराः ॥ ५ ॥ इच्छा द्वेपसमुद्यं दु.खं संघातश्चेतनग्धृतिः । एतरक्षेत्रं समासेन सविकारमुदाहतम् ॥ ६ ॥

' (5 6) The great elements, the abankara, the buddh, the aryakta, the ten senses and the one, the five objects of senses, desire, aversion, pleasure, pain, the sangbata which is the vehicle of consciousness—thus, in brief, has the kathetra been described with (the) modifications (it is capable of causing as well as undergoing)

Reference is here made to the taitvas or the ultimate categories of the Sankhya philosoph; By the great elements are to be understood earth, water, fire, air and ether, which

represented in ancient thought the ultimate irreducible constituents of the physical universe of matter and energy. It has also been suggested that the elements mentioned here are not the gross, sensible ones, but the finer tonnidirgs which are the differentiated sources of their evolution. This view has been nut forward, as the five objects of senses mentioned in the next line may be considered to mean much the same thing. But those who take the view that the gross elements are referred to by the expression. "mahabhutane", understand the objects of senses to be the sensible qualities of the universe around us Abantara, as I have sought to explain on a former occasion (Vol II, no 19), is the principle of egoity, which is the differentiated source of the finer bases of the individualised elements The term, 'buddha', does not mean here the faculty of intellection but the Sankhya principle, mahat, from which ahankara is supposed to be evolved As I told you when we were studying VII 4, the idea of condensation of atomic aggregation appears to me to be implied in the evolution of the principle maket from the undifferentiated mula brakriti but the process of condensation and structural aggregation has not gone far enough to denrive it of its uniformity and homogenesty Avvakta is the milla prakrits, the primordial basis and substratum of the universe of matter and energy. The eleven senses are the five organs of percep ion, the five organs of action and manas or the faculty of attention And as we have seen the five objects of senees may be taken to be either the gross elements or the perceivable qualities of objects

Desire and aversion, pleasure and pain, these are here mentioned under the kshetra and this requires some explanation. We will consider the question presently. The word, 'sanghāta', literalls means putting together, combining. We may take it to 'mean a compound. Here the reference is generally taken to be to the body, which is a compound of the various elements and the sindrigus. Then there is the expression, 'chetanādātiti,' which have haven understand' in two different ways. 'In we split vip-thatanā, and dhījīi, into two separate words, then they mean consciousness and mental resolution respectively. But, if we look upon chetanādātitis as a single compound word, qualifying sanghāta, then it will have the sense of 'being the vehicle of consciousness.' Presently, we will try to make out what this exactiv means. A'!

Lec LVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

these, Sri Kṛishṇa sums up, describe the kshetra and its $v_ik\overline{u}ra$. Its used here to indicate some of the apparently p_s chological phenomena, such as desire and aversion, that are here declared to be physical in character. The underlying idea, as we will see soon, is that these psychological phenomena arise on acount of the sasociation of the body with the soul

Let us now try to understand the significance of the expression, 'chetanadhteta' We generally speak of matter as being the vehicle of energy By saying so, we mean that matter is something in or through which energy makes itself manifest Now in the world around us we observe another ultimate principle, different from matter and energy-the principle, namely, of consciousness. And this has matter serving for its vehicle. It is pertinent to ask whether consciousness has ever been observed dissociated from a material embodiment of some kind or other So far as normal human experience is concerned, the answer must be a decided negative. The yogin, perhaps, can say that in the state of samadhs, it is possible for him to realise for himself the principle of consciousness, apart from all bodily associations But even those who are not adepts in the practice of rogg can easily make out that consciousness is different from matter. And it always makes itself manifest in matter. So matter is its vehicle. The term, 'sanghata' therefore, may well stand for a putting together of various material elements, which serve as the support or the vehicle of consciousness, or of the conscious self

Another point of great interest in these stanzas, as I pointed out to you is that certain things which modern psychology would regard as mental phenomena are here attributed to the kshetra or the body. Sri Krishna's meaning will become clear, if we try to see how these mental phenomena arise. In every embodied being, as I need not tell you, there is a coming together of body and soul, matter and spirit. Now, all of us, as embodied beings, feel certain destress and aversions. Let me take an instance. We feel hungry and desire food. Is this desire due to the body or the soul? To answer this, let us ask ourselves another question when we eat to satisfy our hunger, is it the body or the soul that gets fed? Clearly, it is the body. If the soul had no material embodiment, then there would be no need for feeling hungry. Owing to certain

physiological processes, there is a waste of bodily matter; we eat food in order to make up this waste and supply the energy mecessary for the needs of our physical life. In a similar way, try to see how many of our desires and needs can be traced ultimately to the body Just for a moment think of mankind free from the daily necessity of eating, free from hunger and thirst. How different would be our. Infe then, how much of the butterness and the hatted that darken our lives would then vanish away!

S'rl Kṛishṇa, as you may remember, asked us to overcome sankalpa-prabhava kāma, the desire born of our fancies Man has little to desire for so far as his spiritual life is concerned Most of his desirea take their rise from the needs of the body or the pamp-red fancies of a life dedicated to the pleasures of the body. But, if the life of the body is accurately understood, and the flesh is duly subordinated to the spirit, then we find that our needs are not many. It is only when we stifle the call of the soul and lead a life centred in the body, that our sankalpa prubhava-kama gains the upper hand and brings on eternal discontent Yayāti, as you may remember, says. "Desire is never satisfied by the enjoyment of the objects of desire, but is made to increase sill the more, as fire is by seartificial offerings."

In a similar way, pleasure and pain can be shown to be more enated in the body than in the soul. The pogin in the state of samadhi feels neither pleasure nor pain. Let his body be cut or pierced, he feels no pain Place in his mouth a piece of sugarcandy, he feels not the pleasure of tasting sweetness And let us bear in mind that the state of samadhe is known to more than one religion besides Hinduism And so we need not hesitate to draw the inference that the state of vogic realisation, in which the soul withdraws itself so to say, from the body, warrants us to do-the inference, namely, that pleasure and pain appertain more largely to the body than to the soul A yogin in such a state can of course be compared with a corpse, for both do not feel pleasure und punt But there's this difference. The corpse is altogether unconscious, while the rogin is quite conscious of himself The perception of his soul has itself for its object. As they say in Sanskrit, the gochara of the aiman is the aiman itself in such a state And when the soul withdraws itself from its close and

Lec LVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

intimate relations with the body, it shakes itself free from all corporeal influences and realises its own essential nature. In this experience of self realisation neither pleasure nor pain, neither desire nor aversion has any part

To sum up Let us take the whole of the body with the enlivening chetana within The jada prakfits thereof is made up of the various tatte as mentioned before The desire and the aversion, the pleasure and the pain which we feel as embodied beings are the vikaras of the sanghata that arise out of the union of matter and spirit We must not understand S'ri Krishna to say that these vikaras are entirely material in their nature, but that they have their roots more in the body than in the soul They are not altogether unrelated to the soul, for later in this chapter (XIII 20) we will be taught that the capacity to experience belongs entirely to the soul What we have to note is that these are not wanted for the life of the soul, while they are unavoidably associated with the life of the body As long as we continue to be embodied beings we experience these vikaras The greatest saint finds sugar sweet and quinine bitter, even as the worst sinner Sukl a and duhkha are to be found in the life of the saint as well as the sinner but in the latter they give rise to raga and dvesha more readily than in the former It is one thing to feel pain and I leasure and it is quite a different thing to guide our life by the natural tendencies they give rise to The desire to have more and more of pleasure and less and less of pain makes our lives selfish and binds our souls securely in the prison house of samsara we understand the distinctive characteristics of the body and the soul we can differentiate the call of the spirit from the demands of the flesh and lead a life as far as possible free from raga and diesha Thus we can subjugate the ape and the tiger in us, and allow the higher life of the soul to assert itself

It is of interest to note that Sankaracharya draws attention in his commentary to an important difference between the teachings of the Vaiseshika thinkers and the views put forward by 5tl Kirisha here According to the former, desire aversion, pain and pleasure are all qualities of the soul The great commentator observes that these are here considered to belong to the province of the kshetra because they are all objects of

eognition. If the Absolute is the true subject in all subject-object relationships, the whole world of our experience, including our sense of distinctive personality, takes on the nature of the object We may base our sense of individuality on the evidence of our consciousness, but according to the advasta philosophy, this consciousness is no more than a glow, springing from the union of the body and the senses Consciousness, in reality, belongs only to the Absolute, in fact, it is the Absolute It is true, however, that normal human experience looks upon consciousness as giving rise to the idea of individuality, but it becomes the object of the idea of the ego only in so far as it is limited and conditioned by its adjuncts, the manas the indrivas, etc And so. Sankaracharya interprets the term, 'chetana', to mean a function of the mind, which becomes manifest in the sanghata of the body and the senses. It takes on the semblance of the self even as a ball of red hot iron takes on the semblance of fire Chetana, thus understood, is necessarily of the nature of the object, and is thus easily seen to take its place in the domain of the kshetra, which is only another name for the not self

The main point that we have to nore, whatever interpretation we may choose to follow, is made clear in the succeeding vertees, whose study we will take up next week. And it is to the effect that the true knowledge of the kshetra and the kshetra leads to the adoption of the right rule of conduct, the unversally valid law of unselfish altruism and absolute equality. We will stop here for the treept

lviii

We were dealing last week with the description of the kishetra. The term means as we saw then the body, and it comprises also such psychological phenomena as may be seen to owe their origin to the association of the soul with the body. Desire and aversion, pleasure and pain are thus kishetra in the sense that they are rooted more in the flesh than in the spirit. Now amongst the qualities and tendencies that we all possess, some can lead us on to self realisation and God realisation, while others bar our egress from the prison house of samsaqa. But it must be borne in mind that even these uplifting qualities appectang only to the souls suffering even these uplifting qualities appectang only to the souls suffering

from the bondage of karma. It is the essential nature of the soul to be $j\tilde{n}^{\bar{a}}n^{\bar{d}}nandama_{\bar{a}}a$ and nitya. Any other quality that it may possess relates to its state of bondage; and whether it is good or bad, it may be said to be due to the body in the sense that it arises only when the soul is in association with the body

If we bear this in mind, we will be able to understand the significance of the description of jadna which we have to study now You will note that the various elements that go to make up what is spoken of here as and na are all related to conduct are, as it were, ethical in their nature Jaana is not mere knowledge, but the moral realisation of truth in the practice of our lives It is that kind of conduct which leads us to the knowledge of the nature of the kshetra and the kshetrasna And this description of the nature of inana follows immediately after the discussion of the characteristics of the kshetra for the reason that the elements of the juana mentioned here relate only to the shackled state of the soul and are thus of the nature of the kehetra The later part of this chapter deals fully with the relations between the kshetra. mana and what will be presently described as meva The point that we have been discussing so far will become clearer Now, let us proceed to the study of anana

> श्रमानित्यमदिमान्यमहिला श्रान्तिरार्जवम् । श्राचार्योपासनं शीचं स्थेर्यमान्यविनिग्रहः ॥ ७ ॥ इन्द्रियार्थेषु वेराग्यमनहद्धार एव च । जन्ममृत्युजराज्याधिषु एदोपानुदरीनम् ॥ ८ ॥ असक्तिरनभिष्यकः पुत्रदारगृहादिषु । नित्यं च समचित्वत्वमिष्टानिष्टोपपत्तिषु ॥ ९ ॥ मिष चानन्ययोगेन भक्तिरव्यमिचारिणी । विकिद्देशसेवित्यमरितर्गनसंसदि ॥ १० ॥ अप्यारमञ्जानित्यत्यं तत्रवानार्थिक्तनम् । एत्रजानमिति ग्रीफानानं यवतेऽज्यया ॥ ११ ॥

7 Freedom from vanity, absence of pomp, freedom from the tendency to injure, forbearance, straightforwardness, service of a preceptor, purity, firmness, self-restraint,

- 8. Absence of desire in relation to the objects of the senses, and also freedom from egotism, the perception of evil in birth, death, old age, illness and pain,
- 9. Freedom from attachment, indifference to son, wife, home, etc., constant equanimity in meeting with both the desirable and the undesirable,
- 10. Undeviating and exclusive devotion to Me, resorting to places free from intrusion, distaste for the company of crowds,
- 11. Constant contemplation of the nature of the soul, seeing the meaning of the knowledge of the ultimate reality, (all) this has been declared to be knowledge. Whatever is otherwise is ignorance.

The qualities enumerated above constitute jnana in the sense that they are calculated to lead one to the knowledge which saves. Let us bestow a little thought on every one of them Seeing that Sri Krishna has always been stressing the baleful effects of ahankara and mamakara, it is no wonder that He should begin this account with freedom from vanity and absence of pomp-They mean much the same thing, but, if you like, you may make a distinction between the two by saying that the vanity mentioned is such over-estimation of oneself as leads one to insult or think lowly of others, while pomp may well stand for glorying in selfadvertisement. Ahimsā, of course, has always been an essential part of the teachings of the Gita, and, as we have seen, is a direct consequence of the experience of self-realisation and God-realisa-If ahimsa means freedom from the tendency to mure others, kshants gies a step further, it implies the absence of inimical feeling even towards thos, who may have injured us

Then we have straightforwardness. Falsehood is, of course, the parent of all vice, and truthfulness is the foundation on which all ethies is built. You may recall in this connection the story which I once told you of a young man, given over to all kinds of vice, who was reformed by a teacher who extracted from him a promise never to utter a lie. Willingness to learn is the beginning of all wisdom, and the service of a worthy preceptor is one of the

Leg LVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

easiest ways of attaining the ends of life Sankarācharya, as you may remember, counts association with great men as one of the rarest gifts of fortune And what this service exactly means, we have already tried to understand in our study of IV 34 (Vol I pp 433 438) Next, we have Saucham which stands for purity of thought, word and deed, physical as well as psychological cleanliness. This can never be achieved without sthairyam, firmness of resolution. A wavering and vacillating will can hardly help us to overcome the insidious attraction of the senses and the ingrained love of the self. A strong will, rightly directed, can accomplish for us atmaving raha, the restraint of the self.

When we have achieved this, we will have no love for the objects of the senses and no regard for the demands of the self bor will we have any illusions about the value of life. Taken all in all, the sorrows of life far outweigh its pleasures, and it is part of wisdom to realise this. You may call it, if you like, pessimism, but it is well to note that this wise essimate of the value of life does not think lowly of its opportunities. Rightly used, life leads us on to the undying bliss of moksha. And it is in the light of that bliss that the joys of life appear tame and insipid, adulterated inevitably with the poison of misery.

while good in itself, may sometimes stand in the way of the performance of duty, when that duty involves the sacrifice of the interests of family and kindred. Thus, at times, it may prevent us from so enlarging our sympathy and widening our love as to make justice and humanity dearer than kith and kin Only such undesirable attachment is condemned here

Then resort to places free from intrusion is recommended Clear and steady thought requires freedom from distraction, and how can this be in the midst of the din and noise and bustle of a crowded neighbourhood? Indeed, association with crowds and mohs is definitely forbidden. Students of the psychology of crowds and mobs will tell you that a crowd or mob behaves like an irresponsible child or a primitive savage. Its emotions are more easily stirred than those of any individual, and it is incited more readily to reckless action Reasoning and thought are practically absent in a crowd, and it is quickly hypnotised by all kinds of suggestions There is no easier way of losing what little power of reasoning one may have than to join a crowd And if only for this, one may exercise some caution in asso tating with crowds. It is only in this sense that we have to understand the injunction to ship crowds In the regulations laid down in our smrites for the conduct of students, they are enjoined not to get into the midst of crowds frequently, in order that they may pursue their studies without distraction Here, too, a similar objective must be understood. We are merely asked not to take any special delight in associating with crowds and mobs. but by no means to live like solitary misanthropes, Timons hating all mankind

Having ordered our relations with society in this manner, we must contemplate on the nature of the siff and try to see the significance of the ultimate reality. This will inevitably lead us to exclusive and undevisting devotion to God—the devotion, as described with VII. 160 of the write man, who looks upon it as an end in itself. It may be noted in passing that the word, "intyatiam", in the expression, "adhyātma jīdāna nityatuam", which I have translated as constant contemplation of the nature of the self, has been understood by a well known commentator as niradatram. That is, we are asked to be constantly engaged in the

Leg-LVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

endeavour to attain self-realisation, never forgetting for a moment that the soul is fundamentally different from the body.

Here we have the various elements, which, when put together, constitute the $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ or wisdom with which we have to guide our lives. Every one of the elements of the $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ mentioned here relates more to conduct than to thought. They are the various attributes by developing which we will be able to attain the knowledge which leads us on to deliverance. They are all said to constitute knowledge for the reason that they are the means of attaining knowledge. Whatever is not knowledge is naturally $a_j\bar{n}\bar{n}aa$, wrong knowledge or ignorance. Understood in special relation to conduct, the term, ' $a_j\bar{n}\bar{n}na$ ', may well signify in this context all undesirable traits of character which stand in the way of our attaining the knowledge of the self and God.

Having explained the nature of knowledge, \Re t Kṛishṇa proceeds to enlighten us upon its object. If one is told by a teacher that a particular course of conduct must be adopted, one will naturally ask why. He must answer the question by pointing out a specific goal to be reached. This, he would say, is the way which leads us on to the destination we have to reach. So now, \Re t Kṛishṇa points out the goal by $j \bar{n} e y a$, the thing to be known.

क्षेयं यत्तत्प्रवस्थामि ्यज्ञात्वाऽमृतमद्दुते । अनादिमत्परं बहा सच्छासदुच्यते ॥ १२ ॥

12. I shall (now) speak of that which is the thing to be known, by knowing which (one) attains immortality—that Supreme *Brahman*, having no beginning, which cannot be said to be existent or non-existent.

Here Sri Krishns identifies the object of knowledge with God. It is God alone who has to be sought with the eloquence of good deeds and the practice of the virtues described collectively as jnāna. And it is the knowledge of God alone which can confer immortality. We have already tried to understand what is meant by the attainment of immortality. All souls, whether bond or free, are immortal; but the souls imprisoned in the meshes

of karma are subjected to a series of births and deaths in the bodies with which they become associated Freedom from the necessity of transmigration and the re attainment of unmixed blus may well mean for the soul something like the attainment of immortality. The knowledge of God then delivers us from the bonds of karma. The question then naturally arises in what terms shall we know God? Sri Kṛishṇa describes in the succeeding verses succinctly what has already been taught in various places in the Gita about the nature of God. It is an account which as we shall see Gotely follows the Upanishads.

First of all we are told that God cannot be said to be either existent or non existent. This looks like a paradox but as we have already had occasion to see (cf IX 19 XI 37) the self contradiction vanishes in the light of a simple explanation. What is meant is obviously that God is not existent in the sense in which the nercesyable entities of the universe are existent. He is not phenomenally existent. Our senses cannot realise H m. Of the great astronomer Laplace it is said that he surveyed the heavens with his telescope and could see no God. That surely is not the way to arrive at a knowledge of God. Any one who holds that there is no source of knowledge beyond the data of the senses is a materialist praktiti operates as asure in relation to him But when it operates as dazvi it leads us to a knowledge of something beyond the praktita prapañcha Behind the veil of the phenomenal universe God may be seen and realised. The Supreme Brahman may thus be considered to be ex stent from one point of view and non existent from another. If we may borrow the terminology of Kant we may say that pheromenally God is non existent but that noumenally H is existent. This need not necessarily commit us to all the implications of he system of Kant nor to any definite view about the reality of the world

What I mean to make clear to you is this God is not an entity like any that we can observe in Nature We cannot real set him with the help of our senses But because no man has seen God as one sees stars or stones we cannot say that God is absolutely non existent He is not realisably existent But the supra-conscious state of samadi, which the 30gins attain is held by all schools of H adu thought to be capable of making God the

Lec LVIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

object of a vivid experience. The statement, then, that God is both sat and asat is neither absurd nor paradoxical

There are, of course, other ways of resolving this apparent contradiction. We may say that in the state of pralaya, when the universe of organised differentiated beings ceases to exist, God is non existent. For, we employ term 'existence', only in relation to the world of our experience, and existence in the state of pralaya must be fundamentally different from what it is known to be now. Seeing that God is the seat of the origin and the dissolution of the world, it should be easy for us to understand how He can be both sat and asat, prakrite in its manifested as well as unmanifested forms.

According to Sankarācharya, the Supreme Brahman cannot be the object of either positive or negative knowledge. For such knowledge can arise only in respect of entities which can be understood with the help of our senses. The Absolute is devoid of all attributes possesses no quality and performs no action. It is not related to anything else. It is one without a second. No term in language can describe it. It is thus not existent in any sense familiar to our experience, but it is sai because it is revealed by the šruti.

Rāmānujāchārya understands by the term, 'jūe,am', only the individual soul. He contends that the term, 'Brahman', is sometimes used to denote the finite self and construes the second line of the śloka thus anadi mat param brahma, etc., which may be translated as "brahman, which is beginningless and in relation to which I am supreme". Thus the term 'brahman', is dissociated from the epithet, 'param' Now, how can the individual self be described as both sat and asat? The souls, as long as they are bound, can be in either of two states—the state of pralaya and the state of srisi ii. In the state of pralaya, they may be said to be in a subtle causal state, whereas in the state of spisi ii, they represent the manifested effect of their karma by becoming associated with various kinds of bodies, human, divine, etc. But essentially, these two states do not belong to the soul In the mukta state of unhampered freedom and unmixed blus, the souls cannot be said to represent either the manifested effect or the unmanifested cause

Srt Krishna further describes gñeya as:

सर्वतः पाणिपादं तत्सर्वतोऽक्षिशिरोमुखम् । सर्वतस्थतिमहोके सर्वमावृत्य तिष्ठति ॥ १३ ॥

13 With hands and feet everywhere, with eyes, heads and mouths everywhere, possessed of ears everywhere, It stands enveloping everything in the world

What is taught in this stanza follows naturally, as we saw, from the vision of visvaruba By saying that the Supreme Brahman has hands and feet everywhere. Sri Krishna must be understood to declare that God can be seen at work everywhere, although He is not sat in the sense in which material entities are Without Him, there can be no life nor movement, no energy nor action in this universe, for the source of all these is the Supreme Brahman And then He has eyes and heads and mouths everywhere, and is everywhere possessed of ears, because He sees, thinks, speaks and hears through everything in the universe any of us hear or see or think, it is because He hears or sees or thinks through us All this describes God as intimately immanent in the universe and closely concerned in its activities. But, as we have seen, God also transcends the universe at the same time that He infills it. And this fact is well brought out by the statement that He envelops all things in the universe, which means obviously that He is greater than the universe

We may note in passing that Sankarāchātya interprets this stanza to mean that the one and only $Kshetraj\bar{n}a$ is conditioned by the upadhis of the sense organs of all living beings, which constitute in their totality the $kshe\,ra$. He adds that all the variety caused in the $Kshetraj\bar{n}a$ by the variety of the upadhis is only illusory. According to Rāmānijācharja, this verse describes further characteristics of the individual soul. The finite self, in its attee of emaniepated biss can exercise the functions of the sense-organs exergishere. The authority for this is declared to be, firstly, that God is described in the Sruti as exercising the functions of various sense organs without possessing them, and, secondly, that the finite self in its state of freedom is believed in many respects to statun to similarity with God.

Lec LVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

सर्वेन्द्रियगुणाभासं सर्वेन्द्रियविवर्जितम् । असकं सर्वभृष्टचैव निर्गुणं गुणभोकृ च ॥ १४ ॥

14. Shining with the functions of all the senses, but devoid of all the senses; unattached, yet supporting all, devoid of (the) gimas (of the prakriti), yet the enjoyer of gimas

When we say that God sees and thinks and speaks and hears through everything in the universe, we are ant to imagine that God possesses organs of sense in the manner in which we possess them This surely is a mistake To think of God as seeing with the aid of eyes, thinking with the aid of brains and so forth is to degrade Him to our level For, if He has organs of sense in His essential nature. He becomes limited by braktiti. If He has eyes, He can see only what His eyes empower Him to see That is. His power is limited by the power of brakrits. The blind man, for instance, is blind, not because his soul is blind, but because he does not possess sound eyes. In our case, it is thus easy to see how the power of the soul to see is limited by the power of the physical instrument which it has to employ And if we make God's vision dependent on the possession of eyes, then gertainly we make Him subject to the limitations of brakrit. This is against the whole trend of the teachings of the Vedanta, which holds praktits to be a slave under God So He does not possess these organs of sense, but can exercise their functions in unhampered freedom, depending on no physical instrument conditioned by brakriti

Then He is declared to be unstrached, while being the bearer of everything in the universe. Here is an apparent contradiction in terms. Physically, it is inconceivable to think of any one being unstrached on the one hand and the bearer of everything in the universe on the other. Being unstrached means that God is radically different from praktis. But if God is the supporter of everything in the universe as well, He must be intimately immanent in the universe, pervading everything, and exercising responsibility for the life and work and energy of all beings in the universe. While He is immanent in this misnner, He is still not to be identified with praktist or material Nature.

If we try to understand the relation between body and soul, that may help us to some extent in understanding the relation between the universe and God. The first point to note is this. We all say that the soul is immaterial, even though normal experience can only testify to the existence of the embodied soul That is, the soul, in spite of its embodiment, does not become material its essential nature, as we have seen, is pure consciousness. Its close association with matter does not endow it with any of the attributes of matter. In spite of the material embodiment, it retains its pristine purity and continues to preserve its character as pure, undefiled consciousness. If we can understand this, then we can easily see how God, who pervades the whole universe, preserves His own divine nature and keeps it undefiled by His contact with Nature.

Let us now try to see the significance of the statement that God is the bearer of everything. Here also, we will do well to take note of the analogical relation that subsists between body and soul. Let us start with the statement frequently made that the hady must be subordinated to the soul. This in fact is the teaching of all religions. All morality consists in sacrificing the interests of the body to those of the soul. This means that at times we experience conflicts b-tween the demands of the body and those of the soul Man, as it has been said, is a compound of mud and sky He is the seat of a double trend of thoughts and tendencies, one leading him upward to God, and the other of the earth, earthy These latter force for us the fetters of karma and keep us in the penitentiary of samsara. If we are to gain freedom for our souls, we must resolutely conquer the desires of the flesh Every moment of our lives there is a moral conflict between the braktiti and the burusha in us and every moment furnishes us an opportunity to set our faces towards the light and to take our first step on the toad to perfection. The emancipation of the spirit must be the object of all our endeavour. In the language of the Sankhya philosophy the end of universal evolution is the re attainment of perfect freedom by the soul Even some modern thinkers admit that some kind of progress must be the purpose of evolution, and it cannot all be a meaningless sequence of events

Lec LVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

The fact that we cannot go back to the beginnings of karma no reason why we should doubt that they are in close association now, and that this association puts limitations on the powers of the soul to know and to enjoy. Rightly understood, the body is for the soul, it is an instrument wherewith the soul can obtain its lost freedom. And so we may say that the soul is the bearer of the body in the sense that it is the more important part of that composite structure of matter and spirit which we call an embodiment. In like manner, God is the bearer of everything in the universe. In other words, the whole universe exists to serve the ends of God. While He is asakla, altogether unattached, He still supports and bears the universe. That is to say, He orders the destiny of the universe and directs the stream of evolutionary changes towards the end He has in year.

This point is made clearer by the statement that God is both surgung and gung blokers. Here also we may press into service the rough similarity that holds between the relation of the finite self to its material body on the one hand and the relation of God to the univer e on the other. The first question to consider is this Does the association of the soul with the body modify the nature of the former as pure consciousness? Because of the embodiment, does the soul get transformed into something which is partly consciousness and partly material? We have seen that this cannot be Remaining unchanged in its essential nature, the soul cannot be characterised by the sunas of brakrits. We cannot speak of one soul as sattuika another as rajasa and so on The robber may be characterised by tamas and the saint by sattout None the less, it remains true that the soul of the robber. considered by itself, is in no way different from the soul of the saint, similarly considered Apart from the embodiments, the soul is incapable of being characterised by the gunas of prakriti In its essential nature, the soul is merguna

At the same time, there remains the fact that the body is the blogopakarana of the soul, the auxiliary instrument through which the soul enjoys its experiences, pleasurable or painful, Leaving aside the nature of the experience of the soul in its free state we know that in its embodied state the body is essential for

its experiences Without the eye, we cannot see, without the ear, we cannot hear And leading this argument to its logical conclusion, without the body, we cannot experience. And this experience is naturally coloured by our physical constitution. We see only what our eyes enable us to see, and hear what our ears empower us to hear. All men do not feel alike in exactly similar circumstances, because of constitutional and temperamental differences. In this way, the soul may be considered to be gunar bholdin, the empoyer of the gunas of praktis.

Similarly, God is both nirguna and guna bhokits, at the same time in His own essential nature, He is aprakrita, above and beyond the universe. This, in spite of His immanence in the universe. Nevertheless, on account of His yoga or intimate relation with the universe, we know that He is the guide and goal of the universe. The process of the universe is nor a meaningless sequence of events, it does not arise from a fortuitous concourse of atoms. There is a plan and purpose behind it all. The universe sexts for His sake and evolves to the end He has in view. In this sense, He may well be deemed to be guna bhokits for the life of the universe is inseparably associated with the gunpas of praktiti.

One or two more points may be brought to your attention before I close to-day's work. The commentary of Sunkarācharya on this verte, of course, explains all the positive attributes of God mentioned here, such as the possession of the senses, the capacity to enjoy the gunas of prakrist, etc. as belonging to the prakrist, prabaācha It is true only from a relative standpoint and is essentially unreal. The explanations of Ramānujā-hārya, relating these attributes to the individual soil we have already understood in a way in the study of the snalogies between the relation of the soul to the body on the one hand and the relation of God to the tunicers on the other. There is no need, therefore, to dilate on that topic. As it is late, kindly allow me to conclude here our work for to day.

lix

You may remember that we were dealing last time with the characteristics of jūana and jũeya. What is described as jūāna, we then learnt, is not mere knowledge, but such a course of

Lec LIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

conduct as leads to the knowledge which saves, the knowledge, namely, of the distinction between the *kshetra* and the *kshetra* jia and of all that it implies It is only by distinguishing between the demands of the flesh and the call of the spirit that we can guide our lives aright. The term, 'jřeya', literally means that which has to be known, but it is used here in the same of the only thing worthy of being known and hence the only thing to be attained unto. The Upanishads declare that the Supreme *Brahman* is the only thing worthy of being known, as by knowing It everything else becomes known.

Let us now continue our study of Meya

यहिरन्तस्र भूतानामचरं चरमेव च । सुक्ष्मत्वाचद्विज्ञेयं दूरस्थं चान्तिके च तत् ॥ १५ ॥ -

15 Within and without all beings, movable and also immovable, It (1s) unknowable on account of its subtlety. It stands far away and (1s) also near.

Here again we have a series of contradictory attributes. Even modern philosophy holds that the <code>jheya, par excellence</code>, is full of contradictions. In trying to describe what is sometimes called God, sometimes the Absolute, and again the Unknowable and so forth, even the best of philosophers are baffled, and very frequently their descriptions are full of apparently irreconcilable contradictions. The <code>Idavasjopanishad</code>, for instance, contains a description of God through antithetical attributes. It is, perhaps, with a view to obviate this difficulty that the sages of the <code>Upanishads_declare</code> that God can be described only negatively. You can say that God is not this, nor that particular thing. In fact, take any thing whatever, you can say that it is not God. But you cannot definitely say what or who God is. Whether this means that God is absolutely beyond human ken, we shall try to see presently

What exactly is the significance of the statement that the Brahman is both within and outside all beings? A thing cannot be at two places at the same time My watch cannot be both within and without my pocket simultaneously But we have learnt

that the Infinite God is both transcendent and immanent Though He dwelleth on high, yet He is established in the hearts of all He is within every one, because He is the antaryāmin of all And He is outside of all, because He is the antaryāmin of all And He is outside of all, because He transcends the universe The infinitude of God is in on way affected by His immanence Now, what pervades the universe and is above and beyond it cannot move Unless there is another universe which is not filled and pervaded by Him, God cannot be looked upon as moving For motion implies the existence of a place where one is not, whither one moves from the place where one is God being everywhere cannot be thought of as moving like us from place to place Yet He is characterised as chara here for the reason that, being immanent in the universe, we may think of Him as moving along with those beings within whom He lives

Then God is said to be unknowable on account of His subtlety. What is meant is that we cannot arrive at a full and definite knowledge of God Knowledge always implies definite attributes and characteristics in the thing known. And when anything has definite attributes or characteristics, it becomes limited and conditioned By knowing what a thing is, we also know what that thing is not Everything which is known is thus finite And God who is all things and is everywhere, who is both transcendent and immanent at the same time, cannot be limited or conditioned in any manner. In Western thought a peculiar difficulty is sometimes felt in regard to the Absolute Infinity is one of the essential characteristics of the Absolute And to continue as infinite, it must be unknowable. If it is unknowable, how can we talk about it how can we know that it exists? Thus the Absolute, to be the Absolute must be unknowable, and of anything unknowable, we cannot even affirm that it exists, for knowledge of its existence brings it within the realm of the knowable. The answer to this is that the Absolute is unknwoable in the sense that we cannot know it definitely in the manner in which we know the universe around us It is of course, partially knowable. And this qualification must be borne in mind in considering the statement made here that the Supreme Brohman is avijheja, not to be known Not to be known, that is, fully and completely In His supreme transcendental condition, God is essentially unrealisable, remote and unapproachable. He is, as

Lec LIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

it were, far away But this does not distress the bhakta, who always finds the Lord near at hand, seated within his heart, and none can be nearer to us than the One who is seared within our hearts "Closer is He than breathing, nearer than hands and feet". In this manner, He is both far and near

According to Sankaracharya, the indivisible Supreme Brahman appears through mana to be both the external universe and the internal self, with reference to the body which is mistaken to be the real self And this body, which may be either at rest, achara, or in a state of motion, chara, is also to be identified with the Bral man In other words, the universe is here analyzed into (i) the body. (ii) the individual self, which is conceived to be within the body, and (iii) the external world, which is believed to be outside the body, and each of these three parts is identified with the Brahman in order to show Its pervasion of the universe These divisions, however, are entirely illusory and arise out of the limiting conditions of the phenomenal universe. As it is rather difficult to graso this truth, the Brahman is here declared to be far away-far away, that is, to the unwise, who tread the path of samsara, mistaking illusion for reality. But for the wise, It is very near, being their very self

Ramānujāchārya, as we have seen, understands by the term, ${}^{\prime}j\hbar e_{j}a^{\prime}$, the individual soul in this context. In his view, the finite self may be looked upon as both within and outside beings, b-cause it may be either embo lied or not. Likawise, it may be either at rest or in a state of motion along with the body which it inhabits. And of course, for one who is embodied, it is rather difficult to realise the true nature of the self, which is often confounded with the body. Those however, who are endowed with the $j^{\prime}a_{i}a_{i}$ which has only been recently described (verses 7 to 11) can always find their selves near at hand

अधिभक्त च भूतेषु विभक्तमिय च स्थितम् । भूतभर्तं च तत्त्रेय प्रसिष्णु प्रभविष्णु च ॥ १६ ॥

16 Undivided and yet remaining as though it were divided among beings, It is to be known as the supporter of (all) beings and that which devours and creates (them)

When we say that God is immanent within all beings in the universe, do we imply that the one God becomes divided into an infinite number of bits so as to be deposited in the various beings in the universe? Surely not The God who is immanent within me is the same at the God who is immanent within you. There is only one God, and He is immanent in the infinite number of beings in the universe. But this does not mean that He becomes divided into as many parts as there are beings in the universe What is meant is that He is within all beings by virtue of His all inclusive pervasion of the universe By being sarvantaryamin, penetrating all, He is within all beings and at the same time one and only one But He seems as if He were split up into various parts. Sometimes we say that an amsa of God is within each being This, however, is only a definite way of expressing an idea which cannot be otherwise accurately expressed. Then the Brahman is declared to be the creator, the maintainer and the destroyer (lit swallower) of the world Ordinarily, we should not expect all these three functions to co exist in one But as God is the source of all power and activity in the universe. He is responsible for all that takes place in the universe, whith r it is sright; or sthits or lava

In commenting on this verse also, Sankarāchārya invokes the aid of māya The Brahmass, which is the sole reality, is one but through māyā appears to be manifold. And in the fundamentally unreal phenomenal universe, He is looked upon as creating, sustaining and destroying. According to Rāmānijachārya, the oneness predicated of jūeyā in the first line has reference merely to the essential similarity of all the finite selves. They are all of the nature of knowledge and can be realised as such by the jūanin. The ālman again, is the supporter of the body. It swallows food and determines the evolution of the food so eaten into ever fresh blood and brawn in the body. If it be objected that the functions here attributed to the soul really appertant to the body, the answer is that the corpse can neither eat nor digest food. It is the presence of the soul within that maintains life and all the functions of life.

ज्योतियामिय तज्ज्योतिस्तमस परमुख्यते । सानं ग्रेथ साननाम्यं हृदि सर्थस्य विद्वितम् ॥ १७ ॥

Lec LIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

17. It is the Light of lights and is said to be beyond darkness. (It is) knowledge, the object of knowledge, and that which is to be reached through knowledge (It is) established in the hearts of all.

I have often drawn your attention to the fact that consciousness is frequently compared to light in Hindu philosophy A lamp in a dark room makes itself manifest and also makes other things manifest to us It is self luminous and at the same time illumines other things Similarly consciousness is aware of itself as well as of other things I feel, therefore I am I am aware of my existence through my consciousness. And it is through the consciousness again that I know of any other thing besides myself. Thus consciousness is the light which manifests itself and makes other things manifest And it is God who illumines the light of our consciousness Our consciousness is what it is, because of God He is thus the 'Light of lights' In the famous phrase of the Upanishads, He is chetananam chetanah universe is illumined by the light that radiates from Him Just as consciousness is the light of life, so God is the light of consciousness

Then the Supreme Brahman is declared to be tamasah param This phrase is generally taken to mean 'beyond darkness' If so understood, it may seem to mean much the same thing as Light of lights Indeed, it is a pale negation beside that emphatic and positive phrase For this reason, commentators have tried to see whether it can give us any other meaning. The view has been put forward that 'tamas' may stand for Nature. in which case the phrase would affirm the supremacy of God over Nature It has also been suggested that 'tamas' may mean ajiiana or acidya, cosmic ignorance or nescience God is obviously uncontaminated by nescience I venture to suggest that we may look upon the expression, 'tamasah param', as meaning the furthest limit of darkness Such a meaning would be specially appropriate in this context, where God is described by a series of appropriate in this contest, and what can be the antonym of 'Light of lights' other than the darkest darkness? The question then arises. What is meant by describing God as the furthest limit of darkness? It seems to me that we are taught here that God is essentially unknowable We have already seen that none can understand the Divine fully and completely However much we may try, we reach only a blank wall beyond which the light of human knowledge may not reach. As Sti Krishna has declared, God is avijheya by reason of His subtlety

In spite of this, however, God is the one object that has to be known, if the purpose of life is to be fulfilled Known, that is, as well as is possible And He is to be known as chartanya, being of the nature of consciousness. In the language of Christianity, God is spirit. God is the object of knowledge, and He is essentially of the nature of knowledge. From this it follows that He can be reached only through knowledge. He is, therefore, $1\hbar eya$, $1\hbar ana$ and $1\hbar anagamya$. We may note in passing that is has been suggested that the term, $^{\prime}1\hbar ana$, in the expression, $^{\prime}3\hbar anagamya$, has to be understood with a volitional significance. The idea is that the knowledge with which we have to reach God is not mere intellectual realisation alone, but that it includes the flowering of conviction into conduct. $J\hbar ana$ is the life of wasdom, such as has been described above in verses 7 to 11

Such in brief is the nature of God With this verse, the description of \$j\tilde{n}e_{2d}\$ is brought to a close Set Krishba is careful to remind Arjuna at the very last that God is after all to be sought within the heart, however great His power and however universal His previous If our soul is awakened, we may see the God who is hidden in the cave of our heart and know the one thing by knowing which everything else becomes known All these antithetical attributes that we have been studying so far need not make God a riddle to us. Subtle as H- may be, cluding the grasp of prefect knowledge, He is at the same time cauly accessible to the quest of devotion. This point is well brought out in the next stants, which reads thus.

रति क्षेत्रं तथा बानं हेयं चोकं समासतः। महरु पत्रहिशय महावायोपपचते ॥ १८ ॥

18 Thus the ksheira and likewise knowledge and the object of knowledge have been described in brief. Knowing this, My devotee becomes fit to attain to My state.

Lec LIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

There is difference of opinion among the great teachers of the $Ved\bar{a}_{nta}$ on the exact significance of the phrase, 'My state', which occurs in this stanza. Some interpret it as meaning that the ultimate destiny of the soul is the realisation of its identity with God. Others understand it to suggest that the soul, in its state of emancipation, is God like in its freedom from the bondage of samsara and in its realisation of its own nature as knowledge and bliss. Whatever view we may adopt, the main point to note is this. Our destiny is carved by the kind of life that we lead Empty knowledge divorced from conduct can lead us nowhere

Note that Sri Krishna does not promise the reward of heavision to any one who merely knows what has here been taught —knows it, that is, in a perfunctory and careless manner. A mere intellectual appreciation of the nature of the kshetra and the kshetrafīa and even of the means of attainment of the final goal of all human endeavour is futile. How often we know the better and do the worse. That is why Sri Krishna insists that knowledge is of no value if it does not change the inner nature of man. And for the same reason, He has invested the term, 'fiāna', with a profound ethical significance. We must learn the art of knowing the truth with the whole of our being. Knowing the truth means much the same thing as living it. It is only one who has attained knowledge in this way, that is here declared to be fit to attain unto His state.

Mere intellectual apprehension gives us only a partial and framementary knowledge of truth. Knowledge cannot be called real funless it can transform the soul of the knower. Can we think of mere philosophy, howso-ver learned, subtle and analytical it may be, giving \$bi.idi, to one who is not a \$biadia^1\$. Metaphysical reasoning is not to be monopolised by either the theist or the atheist. It helps the one quite as much as the other. It is, therefore, quite appropriate that \$i\$1\$ Krishpa should regard the possession of \$biadia\$ is a necessary additional qualification for fitness to attain salvation, over and above a knowledge of the nature of the kshetra, the kshetrajña and jñana. The classification and analysis which \$i\$1 Krishpa should regard the value of the kshetra, the kshetrajña and jñana.

ebapter, our hearts must be attuned towards devotion to God. Or we may say that the knowledge of Sri Krishpa's teachings will give rise to devotion which, in turn, will confer on us the finess to attain salvation. In either case, we will do well to note that blockif is here looked upon practically as a sine qua non for the realisation of the true destuny of the soul.

In describing soul and matter as kshetrajña and kshetra, Sri Krishpa has emphasised their mutual relationship. To define the soul as the knower of the field is to describe at in terms of that field. Likewise, to apeak of matter as the field for the play of the soul is to describe it in terms of the soul. This suggests that soul and matter have been thus related from the beginnings of time, because both matter and soul are without any beginning. So, Sri Krishpa declares:

प्रकृति पुरुषं चैत्र विद्धवनादी उमाविष । विकारांक्ष गुणांक्षेत्र विद्धि प्रकृतिसंभवान ॥ १९ ॥

19. Know both prakriti and purusha to be beginningless. And know the vikaras and the gimas to be born of prakriti.

I have already tried to explain to you what is meant by the statement that both prakrit; and purisha, that is the kshetra and kshetrajād, are without beginning. It means nothing more than this—hat, if we try to trace them back to their source, we fail. To illustrate this, the example is often given of the immemorial sequence of the ir e from the seed and of the seed from the tree. It is foolish to a k. which came arse, the tree or the seed 7. Our logic cannot lead us beyond the fact that the growth of the tree from the seed and the birth of the seed from the tree must have always gone on. Note, however, that our inability to go back to the beginning of this sequence in no way nullifies our experience in regard to the tree developing from the seed, or the seed being produced from the tree.

Science tells us that matter is indestructible. In its totality, matter always continues to be the same. Changes certainly take

Lec LIXI HINDU PHILOSOPHÝ OF CONDUCT

place, but there is no room for either complete annhilation or a fresh creation out of nothing. And we cannot trace matter back to its origin and find out how and whence it came into existence. But this does not after the fact that matter does exist. The same argument holds good in the case of souls also. We have been taught that the soul is different from the body. It is neither born. nor does it die with the body When one body dies, the soul passes on to a new body When a new body is born, a soul, which was in existence somewhere else, enters it. It continues to live there, until the body goes through the various stages of growth. decay, and death, and once again, according to its burden of I arma, it seeks a new body And so on It is as difficult to solve the problem of the origin of the soul as it is to solve the kindred problem of the origin of matter But this does not contradict the daily experience. And because we cannot arrive at a solution of the problem of the origin of these entities, we are told that they are anadi, beginningless. This means no more than that we cannot know when and how and whence they came into evistance

The terms, 'vikara' and 'guna', occurring in the second line of this stanza have given rise to some difference of opinion among the commentators We have already come across the word, 'vikāra', in Sloka 6 of this chapter It is generally understood in the sense of 'modification', and during the course of our study of that stanza, we tried to understand the explanation for the somewhat remarkable fact that desire, aversion, pleasure. pain etc were there declared to be vibaras of the body Ordinarily, we would look upon these as belonging to the study of psychology and not to that of physiology Nevertheless, the fact remains that these vikaras cannot have come into existence but for the association of the body with the soul. Hunger as we saw then may be a vivid and intense psychological fact, but it obviously arises out of the needs of the body. A disembodied soul need feel no hunger In this sense, the vikaras are due to brakriti The gunas, if the reference is to sattua, raias and tamas belong obviously to praktets. An embodied soul may be characterised by any one of the three gungs, but the soul in its essential nature is free from all the three gungs

It may be noted here that, according to Rāmānujāchārya, the guṇas stand for those qualities that have been comprehensively described as comprising Jāfan in verses 7 11. The vikāras are understood to be desire, aversion, pain, pleasure and so forth Both the guṇas and the vikāras are the effects of the association of the body with the soul, but the former are such as lead the soul onwards to deliverance and bliss, while the latter tend to confine the soul in its prison house of flesh. Sankarāchārya interprets the term, 'vikāra', to mean all the appurtenances of the soul in its embodied existence from the discriminatory intelligence down to the physical body, while the gunas are all thos-quillues that manifest themselves as pleasure, pain, delusion and other mental states to be described hereafter.

कार्यकारणम्हित्वे हेतुः प्रकृतिमध्यते । पुरुषस्त्रसम्बद्धाः यानां भोक्तृत्वे हेत्रस्थते ॥ २० ॥

20 Prakenti is said to be responsible for agency in relation to effects and causes, and the purusba is said to be responsible for the experience of pleasure and pain

You may remember that, in the course of our study of the third chapter we had occasion to consider the relations of praktiti to all kinds of work done in this world. Human activities as we then saw have necessarily to be physical in nature they have to serve physiological ends. All our animal appetites are unconnected with the soul and it is the need which is felt for their satisfaction that gives rise to the tangled skein of human life and achievement. We may look upon the physical universe as a complex chain of causes and effects and as it is a fairly self sufficient whole in itself and as all human work is physical in nature, we must attribute the agency of all work to praktiti.

A cettain cause gives ins to a certain effect. For instance, I speak and you listen. My speaking is the cause of your listening. I feel I am the agent of speaking and you feel you are the agents of listening. Speaking and listening are physical acts and can be analysed in terms of the body. Here is a case where the agency for a chain of causes and effects is to be attributed to praktists.

We may note in passing that the terms, 'karya' and 'karana', occurring in this verse have been variously interpreted by authoritative commentators. 'Karva'. has been understood to be the body and the term, 'karana', has been taken to mean the various sense-organs which are the door-ways of all experience. Another view is that out of the twenty-three tattvas of the Sankhyas-all the tativas except the soul-some may be called eauses and others effects. There is also a reading, 'karana', for 'kāraņa', which Sankarāchārya interprets as instruments. 'Karva' comprises the five elements that build up the body, the five sense-objects and indeed all modifications of prakrits. As 'karana' we have the five organs of sense, the five organs of action, manas, buddhe and ahankara, and all qualities such as pleasure, pain, delusion, etc., that are born of brakritt and are seated in the barana or the senses. Whatever view we may prefer, the main point to be noted is this: that our actions are physical in character and are determined by physiological needs. Our experience in the world of samsara owes its existence to the association of the soul with the body and can be explained in terms of braktiti The next stenza makes this clear

पुरुषः प्रकृतिस्थो हि भुइकं प्रकृतिज्ञान्गुणान् । कारणं गुणसङ्गोऽस्य सदसद्योनिजन्मसु ॥ २१ ॥

Indeed, the purusha, seated within prakriti, enjoys
tems that are born of prakriti. Its association with the
gunar is the determining cause of (its) bitths in good and
evil wombs.

Experience in the world of samsāra is possible only to an embodied soul. The purusha, seated within prakfiti, experiences the gunas of prakfiti. We must understand the gunas here to include the vikāras also. These latter vary in relation to the gunas. A sātīvika petson will have cikāras of a different type from a rājasa or a tūmasa petson. The experience of the gunas thus means the experience of the vikāras as well. Note, however, that the soul in its muktūrasthā or state of tinal release transcends the gunas and vikāras of braktiti.

Lec LIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

It is here declared that the determining cause of the birth of the soul out of good and evil parents is its association with the guitas of prakrit. If we ask why some souls are born out of good parents and others of evil ones why some souls win for themselves human embodiments and others animal ones, the answer is that these differences arise out of the association of different souls with different gunas of prakrits. And the guna of prakrits with which the soul is associated depends in turn upon the kind of life that the soul has been living from time to time. Now, if the character of an embodied being depends on the guna of prakrits with which he is in association, it is implied that the character of a person is dependent on his physical constitution. And I have often drawn your attention to the fact that modern science is now in a position to say that physical constitution has a streat deal to do with mental and moral temperament.

The facts of heredity constitute an instance to the point now known that the criminal becomes a criminal through tendencies and dispositions that he has inherited, even as the saint achieves saintliness through the potent influence of heredity And then there is the interesting question of correspondence between what may be described as physical and intellectual types say, for instance, that a man with a protruding lower law, a retreating forehead and bulging evebrows has a pronounced tendency towards crimes A small head is believed to indicate idiocy Some recent investigators have tried to define the characteristics of different physical types by means of an interesting method A series of photographs is taken of a particular type of persons, criminals for example, or those of a religious disposition These photographs are sup-roosed one over another That is, first of all a criminal is photographed Then over the same negative, the on When this is done, the common characteristics of the type gain emphasis. The special features of the criminal are prominently brought out. In this way we may try to understand the relation between physical features and mental or moral temperaments We cannot, of course, answer the question why particular physical types should correspond with particular intellectual or moral temperaments But some such correspondence seems pretty well established. And the next point to note

Is that the nature of our physical constitution is determined largely by our parentage. What we are now physically is dependent on what our parents and other ancestors were. Likewise, what we are now determines the constitution of our children and other future descendants. So we are born good or evil by being born out of good or evil wombs

If we believe in the anaditia of burusha and braktiti, then it logically follows that the kind of embodiment with which our soul is associated from time to time is determined by the burden of our barma, which in turn is dependent largely on the dominant suna of brakriti in our constitution. This does not necessarily deny all possibility of change Indeed, there is ample scope for moral evolution. If our life has been one of nurity and selflessness and devotion to duty, there is no reason to suppose that our body in our next birth will be dominated by criminal tendencies Our soul may very well be expected to enter a body made for a The progress of the soul in its moral evolution is determined by its life from incarnation to incarnation, and the nature of our parentage in the next birth is determined by the gung of brakrete dominant in our present life. A life lived under the sway of the sattva guna will gain for us good and worthy parents in our next birth. These questions will become clearer when we study the next chapter, which goes by the name of guna trava vibhaga voga

Please permit me to conclude here our work for today

lx

You may remember that we were trying last week to differentiate the functions of prakrit and purisha in the life of inormal experience which arises out of the union of both. The work that we do and the life that we lead, we say, are predominantly physical in character. Only the glow of self consciousness suggests a soul fundamentally different from the sada grantiti of which the body is made up. In the sphere of samisfire, however, its power is limited, it does not act from its own nature. It allows itself to be swayed by the guitas of prakrit. But over and above prakrit, which is responsible for our experience, there is One who controls it and determines the general

patterns of the soul's experience from incarnation to incarnation Of the function and position of God in the life and experience of the embodied soul. Sri Krishna now proceeds to speak.

उपद्रशानमन्ता च भर्ता भोका महेश्वरः। परमात्मेति चाप्युको देहेऽस्मिन्पुरुपः परः॥ २२॥

22 The higher purusha within this body is spoken of as one who looks on and approves, as the supporter, the enjoyer, the great lord and also as the Supreme Self

As the soul within the body works out its destiny, the Isvara within the heart looks on and approves. Approval implies disapproval also. Sometimes unfavourable critics of Hinduism tell us that our religion lays very little stress on conscience conscience, of course, they understand that faculty within us which pronounces its judgment on the moral aspects of the life that we lead from time to time. If you tell a lie your cons sence chides you If you are generous or helpful, your conscienc approves of you The function which is ascribed to conscience in European thought is assigned to the Parama burnsha in Hinduism It is God Himself who watches every one of our acts and pronounces His judgments thereon from within the sanctuary of our hearts The voice of conscience, in bri-f, is the voice of God This view is emphasised throughout the Wahahharata, and I remember to have drawn your attention on a former occasion to a striking stan a in the indignant speech of Sakuntala to Dushyanta, wherein she observes ' And you think that you are alone You do not know the ancient Muns who is sleeping within your heart knows the deeds of the evil door. In His presence, you are committing sin ' It is clearly absurd to suggest that Hinduism senores or minimises the function of conscience in moral life. We believe in conscience and we believe in it not merely as the moral faculty within us, but as the very sudement of God who is enshrined within every human heart

God is then declared to be the supporter. He is not only a spectator of the life of the soul, a mentor pronouncing judgment on its acts, but also the bhartes, without whose support the soul would cease to exist. This implies that God is superior to the soul and exercises authority over it. And that idea is very well brought out by the statement that He is the great lord. His sovereign authority over the soul is complete and unquestionable. The limited free will, which is the prerogative of the soul, tends to make the Lord an on looker and adviser in the moral life of the soul, but we must not forget that all the while the Lord is the all-comprehensive support of the soul and exercises unimpeded authority over it.

All the powers of the soul are derived from God The soul has already been spoken of as bhoktrs, the enjoyer But this power of the soul to experience and enjoy is derived from God, who is svotisham syotch and chetananam chetanah The Lord is the light of lights. Our consciousness is the result of the consciousness of God Himself The experience of every soul is part of the experience of God. He is thus the bhokers. par excellence The power of the purusha to enjoy is derived, while that of the Lord is underived and self originating. Similarly, we may argue that our sense of individual personality is to be traced to the personality of God Himself By defining God as a person, we imply that He can be qualified by various attributes, though the infinitude of God makes it necessary that these attributes shall be infinite God is thus the self behind the self, the Supreme Self, which lies at the very basis of our existence He is, as it were, the soul of souls

Such are the characteristics of the Supreme Pursusha that manifest themselves in the life of the embodied soul. Note that Ood is here described as the Parama purusha to distinguish Him from the soul. The term 'purusha,' as we have seen, may be taken to mean one who sleeps within the citated of the body. The idea is that the true nature of the soul is obscured in its embodied existence, though our consciousness is due to the soul. Even as the soul aleeps within the body. The Lord also lies resting within the cave of our heart. If the soul is to be spoken of as purusha, the Lord must be characterised as the Parama purusha.

We may note here that those who believe in the ultimate identity of the individual soul and God understand this verse to teach the essential nature of the soul, as contrasted with what it is

Lec LX1 HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

conceived to be in the life of samsāra Another view is that only the individual soul, separate and distinct from God, is spoken of here With reference to the body, it is an on looker, which permits or controls the functions of life, it is further the support of physical life and exercises supreme authority thereon. The soul is thus the sovereign lord and supreme self of the sesture of clay it has doned

य एवं वेक्ति पुरुषं प्रकृति च गुणैस्सह । सर्वथा वर्तमानोऽपि न स मूपोऽभिजायते ॥ २३ ॥

23 He who knows the purusba and prakriti, along with the gimas, is never born again, in whatever manner he may live

One who has learnt the distinction between purusha and trakriti s here apparently assured of salvation solely on account of this knowledge. It may well be asked why there has been a sudden shift in emphasis from conduct to knowledge I venture to suggest in reply that the term, 'knowledge', in such contexts must be understood with a volitional significance referred to is not mere knowledge, but knowledge which has taken possession of our souls and transformed our lives. Hence we cannot interpret the phrase, 'sarvatha tartamana,' to indicate one who leads any kind of life whatever, even a life of unrelieved selfishness or sensuality Indeed, such a life is impossible to one who has realised the saving knowledge of the fundamental distinction between the spirit and the flesh Sri Krishna here appears to be anxious to point out that the light of the spirit may shine equally well in the faces of neonle h longing to different stations in life

The householder and the sannyasin the ploughman and the philosopher, all are equally fit to attain the enduring salvation of moksha. Provided one has understood how to distinguish the body from the soul and both from God, and also how to lead a life which is in accordance with that realisation, one is on the toyal road to the emancipation of the soul, whatever the work one may perform in life and wherever one's lot may be cast. The labourer will as readily attain salvation as the philosopher,

provided he knows what we have been taught so far. The saint is as likely to be found among workers and peasants as among the professed teachers of religion. Whatever the position to which one may be called in life, one may attain moksha by gaining freedom from selfishness and s-nsuality. And as we have aten, this freedom, while being a logical corollary of the realization of the true nature of matter, soul and God, may be gained in various ways. Most of these are briefly summed up in the two verses that follow.

ध्यानेनातमित पदयन्ति केचिदातमानमातमना । अन्ये साङ्गश्चेन योगेन कर्मयोगेन चापरे ॥ २४ ॥

24 By meditation some see the self in themselves through unaided self-effort, others by speculative reasoning, and yet others through the practice of unselfish duty

Three distinct ways of self realisation are mentioned in this verse. There is first the method of anga, in which one arrives at self-realisation in the supra conscious state of samadhi by the practice of meditation and mental concentration. You may note in passing that the word, 'atman', occurs thrice in the first line of this stanza and each time perhaps in a different sense. Literally rendered, the line would read Some see the atman in the atman through the ātman The word, 'ātman,' as you are aware, primarily means the self. Hence it is used in philosophy to connote both the soul and God It is again frequently used as a reflexive pronoun Sometimes it also stands for the mind. So we may understand the line to mean the some realise or see the selves in thems-lies through themselves by meditation, or that some see the s-lves in themselves by means of meditation through their minds. In either case, the reference obviously is to the practice of saga

The method of \$30kBi,a poga that is mentioned next must be taken to be that of speculative analysis and theoretical discussion Early enough in our study of the Gitta, you, will recall, we came across the use of the word, 'sun/Bi,a', in the sense of theory as opposed to practice Leatly, mention is made of the path of

Lec LXI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

karma yoga It is not difficult to see that the mere practice of unselfish duty, begun from whatever cause, will in due time enable one to realise the meaning of life and thereby to realise that the soul is something other than the body and, being so, cannot be held to be responsible for the impulses and tendencies that belong to the body

थन्येत्वेवमज्ञानन्तः धुरवान्येम्य उपासते । तेऽपि चातितरन्त्येव मृत्युं धुतिपरायणाः ॥ २५ ॥

25 And others, not knowing (it) in this manner, hear of it from others and worship. They, too, cross beyond death, being devoted to authoritative teaching.

There may be others who may not be able to realise the soul in any of the three ways mentioned above. They all imply a personal experience demonstrating the true nature of the soul This exp rience is not easily gained Sri Krishna, therefore, in His customary manner proceeds to speak of those to whom this experience may not be vouchsafed. They, too, may cross beyond the weary cycle of samsara, if only they care to listen to the voice of those who are authorised to speak on the ultimate aims of life If we cannot know the truth ourselves, we need not be so obtuse as not to learn it from others who are in a position to know it Learning the distinctive characteristics of the kshetra and the kshetrajiia from those who have won for themselves self realisation, and relying upon the authoritative teaching of the druis, one may cross beyond death, that is, one may gain freedom from the forced association with brakrits which entails the experience of death in every incarnation

A point of interest in this Sloka is the position that is assigned to Srutt, which is the Sankfitt equivalent of what is spoken of as Revelation in English Religious revelation is considered authoritative all over the world Christianity, Mohammedanism, Judaism and the religion of the Parsis have all their revealed acriptures. To the question why such scriptures should be considered as revealed and as teaching us authoritatively the nature of the truth regarding God, man and the universe, the answer indirectly given in these two Slokas. Persons who are worthy and

honourable and who know the truth about any particular subject or incident are authorities in the sphere of, and to the extent of, their knowledge Witnesses in law courts are taken as authorities only on such considerations. The statement made here that the stutis must be considered autheritative is justifiable on the ground that the stutis contains the teaching of those who know the truth and is, therefore, authoritative in the determination of the answers to the particular problems about which they had found out the truth

Frequently, in the criticism of the Bible and of Eastern religious books, you will come across arguments against the value of revealed teaching on the ground that many statements in the ancient scriptures contradict the conclusions of modern science Suppose, for instance, it is argued "Nothing is stated in your scripture concerning the composition of water, which is in accordance with modern chemistry, indeed, what is stated there on the subject is definitely against the conclusions of science And, therefore, the scripture cannot be true " The answer that is generally given to such an argument is to the effect that these scriptures must be regarded as authoritative, not in regard to matters about which they only deal incidentally, but only in regard to what constitutes their central theme Revelation is nothing but the recorded experience of those, who, thanks to divine grace and mercy, have risen above the vanities and fleeting shows of this world, and through years of self culture and self restraint, have so purified their intellectual and moral nature as to recognise in their fulness and glory the invisible spiritual realities around moments of glowing inspiration, the secret of the universe lies open before their vision

The rev-sled scriptures are an original and comprehensive communication of the nature of the universe and its inner spiritual meaning to man. And the substantial similarity of the teachings of different ages and countries is a attong proof of their objective validity. We seek in them satisfaction for our religious and ethical needs and that they are abundantly qualified to give Details of scientific knowledge have little to do with the main contents of revelation which deal with prophetic messages about God and His relations with the world. To criticise their incidental and

Lec LX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

accidental excursions into the fields of exact science is pointless and irrelevant. To be dependent on the *sruti*: in matters of faith indicates no weakness of mind, nor any betrayal of reason. We look upon the *sruti*: as authoritative even though we realise but dimly its significance, because we feel that it records the experience of exalted souls who have pierced through the veil of $ma_3\bar{a}$, and also because we have a reasonable hope that we, too, may be blessed with a like vision if we go through the necessary spiritual discubine.

यावत्सञ्जायते किञ्चित्सत्त्वं स्थावरजङ्गमम् । क्षेत्रक्षेत्रक्षसंयोगात्तद्विद्धि भरतर्पम ॥ २६ ॥

25 Whenever anything is born, whether it is animate or inanimate, know, O Arjuna, that it is (so born) on account of the association of the kibetra and the kibetra!

Having discoursed on the functions of praktiti, purusha and the Parama purusha in all embodied beings Sri Krishna now proceeds to point out that the entire universe is quickened and enlivened by spirit, and that to the eye of Truth there is nothing like dead matter anywhere. Man and brute, stocks and stones, 'living' as well as 'dead' matter, all are endowed with consciousness Everything that comes into existence, be it animate or manimate, is a composite structure consisting of praktite and purusha What makes us differentiate between living beings and inert matter, between men and animals and plants, is the varying intensity and power with which the principle of consciousness makes itself manifest in different instances A study of biology is apt to snow us that there is, as it were, a descending order in the manifestation of the power of consciousness when we pass from man to the lowest forms of life And what is even more remarkable, the trail from man shows no definite or abrupt end Almost at the other end of the scale stand some forms of life, of which we cannot say definitely whether they have consciousness or not What we can only say is that certain material configurations offer more scope for the play of the soul than others. The fitter the material organisation, the greater the intensity of the manifestation of the power of the soul

[Chap. XIII Many tests have been suggested at various times to differentiate between life and matter, between the possession of consciousness and its absence, but none of them has so far proved entirely satisfactory There is the age-old criterion of the power to move of one's own volution; there is the test of the power to reproduce Again, attempts have been made to distinguish between those which absorb nourishment to build up fresh tissues and those which do not. One of the latest of these suggested criteria is the ability to respond to external stimuli, especially electric stimulation. And as you are aware (vsde Vol I pp 74-75), some interesting experiments of Professor J C Bose of Calcutta seem to suggest that both organic and inorganic matter show fundamental similarity in response to electric stimulation and in sensitivity to poisons. After all, we cannot have any direct evidence of the existence of consciousness in any on- save our own selves It is only in an inferential and indirect way and as a result of our observation of external behaviour that we can affirm that anything is possessed of consciousness If reactions to various stimuli tend to show that no sharp dividing line can be drawn between the realms of the animate and the inanimate, then it is very probable that there is no ultimate difference between what is living and what is not In fact, we may say that there is no such thing as an institute being in the

There is no difference of kind but only one of degree between what we are generally accustomed to regard as the living and the lifeless. The sphere of the one shades off indistinguishably into that of the other. It is the failure to recognise this point of view that has given to rise to the various hypotheses that tend to confine the gift of the soul to man alone, or to men and animals alone, or to the world of life as distinguished from that of incommatter. To say, therefore, that every being born in the universe springs from the union of prakfuli and purishe does not contradict any of the demonstrated conclusions of modern science.

ममं सर्गेषु भूतेषु तिष्टन्तं परमेश्वरम् । जिनस्यन्दर्गयनस्यन्तं यः पदयति स पदयति ॥ २७ ॥

Lec. LXI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

27. Whosoever sees the Supreme Lord abiding alike in all beings, never perishing when they perish—(truly) he (alone) sees.

Sti Krishna now proceeds to teach that all beings are not merely compounds of matter and mind, but also have God seated within them. If we can understand how all beings in the universe are associated with the principle of consciousness, we can easily see how in a similar way they may well have God seated within them. This God, who is so seated, remains for ever undestroyed, while being seated within what is destructible. It is necessary to draw your attention here to the fact that the term, 'destruction', must never be understood in the sense of complete annihilation. It means only mutation, a change from one condition to apother.

There is nothing in the universe which is free from change and, therefore, free from decay and death God, however intimately He may be associated with this universe of ceaseless change, remains always the same, changeless in the midst of change In other words, He remains transcendent and immanent at the same time. So difficult is this concept to understand that it has been designated, as you may recall, the royal secret, and we may well bestow some attention on one problem which this dual character of God raises in the present context. Everything in the universe constitutes the adhara or support of God, because God is immanent in it. Inasmuch as God is contained within beings, it may be said that the various beings, whom God infills, are as it were containers. Generally the container is the support of whatever is contained But God, while being contained within all. must also be viewed as the support of all, though not of course in the way in which one material object becomes the support of another

Take, for instance, a pot of water. Here because water is contained within the pot, the pot is the support of the water. An analogy of this kind, therefore, fails entirely to illustrate the peculiar relation of God to the universe. It is with the object of affording some much needed light on this question that the Gua gives us the expressive and brautiful simile of the string that runs.

through and supports the pearls in a necklace. Please observe that in the case of a pearl necklace, though the string may well be eaid to be contained within the pearls, it is also at the same time their supporter. Now by being the supporter, God cannot be affected by what He supports. The essential nature of the pot is in no way affected by the water being dirty, a string can equally well support gems of flawless worth and those which are of distinctly inferior quality. The pollution of the water in the pot need not affect the pot any more than—shall we say—the soiling of the gems need affect the string that supports them. God can thus be looked upon as remaining unaffected by His close association with prakrit.

It is however, well to observe that no material analogy can be satisfactory or be pressed too far. What we have to note is that God, while being scated within all beings also transcends them at the same time and does not depend upon them for His existence Everything in this universe. Is subject to change and decay and death, but He remains for ever changeless and eternal. All depend on Him for their very existence, but He is sovereignly free Whoever sees God in this manner—he alone knows the truth

The further question now arises How will the knowledge of the Supreme Lord equally abiding in all beings never perishing when they perish-how will knowledge of this fact affect our conduct? The answer is given in the next floka, which practically sums up for us the ethics of the Vedanta Before taking up the atudy of that verse we may note in passing that some hold that only the individual soul and not God is referred to in the stan-a we have already studied It remains true of course that the soul is not destroyed when the body dis and the word, 'paramesvara may be taken to signify that the soul is the sovereign lord of the body We may also note that the reference again to God in this context has been considered by an eminent authority to be due to Sri Krishna's desire to emphasise that the Lord is equally present in all In other words as aniaryamin, He is present in all and is responsible for all existence All are equal in the sense that all are abodes of God and that all owe their very existence to Him What this fact means to us in moral . life, Sri Krishna now proceeds to explain

Lec LXI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

समं पदयन्हि सर्वत समवस्थितमीश्वरम् । न हिनस्यात्मनाऽऽत्मानं ततो याति पराङ्गतिम् ॥ २८ ॥

28 Seeing God equally well established in all beings, one does not destroy one's self through one's self, and thus attains the highest goal

So far, we have been taught that everything in the universe is associated with the principle of consciousness and has God seated within it Anything may be analysed roughly into a material part. which we may designate braktits, a part which is of the nature of consciousness, and God If we realise this and apply the truth concerning their interrelations to our practical life, what kind of life are we likely to lead? In the first place, we have already learnt that in the life of the embodied soul, we have to distinguish between the demands of the flesh and those of the spirit former keep us tied and bound down to the endless chain of samsara, while the latter show us the way to deliverance and bliss When we understand this, we can easily see that a life of sensual indulgence, which pampers the flesh, is inconsistent with the realisation of truth in regard to the nature of the body and the soul Any one who leads such a life has made a disastrous choice. when the door to freedom is open, he has of his own free will preferred a way of life which is not in harmony with the truth of things In other words, he is deliberately trying to bring about his own ruin, he is destroying himself through himself

Secondly, if we realise that the entire universe is pervaded and penetrated by God that He is present in the elephant as in the dog in the best as well as the worst of men then the foundations of selfishness are undermined. If any one says, 'I have to care only for my welfare and happiness most of all and then for the welfare and happiness of those whom I hold to be dear and near to me' then surely such a person is refusing to acknowledge the divinity in others. One is as much a home of God as another, and no one has any right to make one self the centre of all of one s thoughts and emotions, ambitions and activities. Every one is related to God in the same manner. Whenever you include in selfishness, you must be believing that somehow you are related to

God differently from others, and that there is something peculiarly valuable about you which is not to be found in any one else. Thus selfishness is based on a flagrant denial of the uniteral immanency of God. And the selfish person, like the sensual one, leads a life which is not in accordance with the truth of things. And he, too, chooses the worse when the better is in sight and seeks to achieve nothing more or less than self-destruction.

To sum up A life of sensuality, which elevates the body over the soul, contradicts the realisation of the truth in regard to the body and the soul A life of selfahness contradicts the all-pervading character of God and ignores the great truth that, in His eyes, all are equal. Those who are selfash or sensual thus fail to realise the truth of things. They do not recognise their own good and act in a way which tends to win for them all the miseries of samssaya. It is thus that they bring about what may be called the suicide of their souls. But those who realise the universal immanence of God—or, according to another interpretation of this sloka, the equal status of all souls—lead a life free from sensuality and selfishness and eventually reach the supreme goal of all human endeavour.

बहत्येव च कमीणि क्रियमाणानि सर्वदाः । यः पदयति तथात्मानमस्तीरं स पदयति ॥ २९ ॥

29 He who sees all actions as being done by prakriu, and the self as not the doer (thereof)—he (alone) sees (truly).

tee 131 HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

state of embodied existence, all work and labour spring from the needs of our physical life. We live and labour and earn not because we have souls, but because we are possessed of bodies which imperatively demand to be fed and satisfied. The soul by stself need neither eat nor work. And so, we have to note especially in this context that the differences that exist between individual and individual in the matter of work or achievement ought not to blind us to the equal status of all souls and their identical reliance on Ged None of us has any right to say to any one "I am greater than you, because I have earned and achieved more and eaten better than you." It is the material body of the speaker that has these achievements—if achievements they are—to its credit and not his soul, which is the same as or exactly similar to that of any other person. Any one who thus realises that his soul is not the agent and that all his work is impelled as well as executed by the Arabitate of his body cannot consistently become attached selfishly to the deeds that he does When this freedom from selfishness is won, the doors of Heaven, so to speak, he open before us

यदा भृतपृथम्भावमैकस्थमनुपदयति ।

तत पव च विस्तारं ब्रह्म सपद्यते तदा ॥ ३० ॥

30 When (one) understands the independent individuality of all beings as being rooted in one basis, as also (their) evolution, then one attains to the Brahman

One of the fundamental facts about this universe is its astonishing variety. The argument has so far been advanced that what is essential about this universe is its all pervading support of soul and God. Whether this soul be one or many, the meta physical basis for ethics remains the same. In the former case, any injury done to any one in this world is hierally injury done to one's own self. In the latter case, the equal status of all souls in the presence of God and the genesis of all tendencies towards sensuality and selfishness from the nature of our physical embodiment make the same ethical law equally imperative. But it may be asked, if the praketis of one embodi d being works or earns more and eats letter than that of another, why we should

not say that the prakists of the one is different from that of the other. Judged from work and its effect, all are not alike, and to this extent, why may not selfish distinctions be allowed? This question is disposed of in this verse.

Every being in the universe has its own independent individuality That is, it is different from every other being in the universe Nevertheless, the whole universe has evolved from an original mass of undifferentiated 'matter' As you are aware, the term, 'mula prakfit;', is employed to denote the primordial basis and original substratum of this universe of matter and energy All the endless variety that we see about us may be traced back to this one basis, whence evolution has gone on We must also note that this prakrits must be held to be a manifestation of the wonderful power of God, and that it evolves under the guidance of God As you are aware, both the Sankhya and the Vedanta believe that the evolution or the universe is for the emancipation of the spirit None of us may venture to claim to know the mind of the Creator, but judging from the way in which the power of consciousness has asserted itself more and more, as we pass from the more primitive to the comparatively later products of biological evolution, it is not unreasonable to think that the evolution of the material prakrits is to serve the ends of the soul. The event to which the whole creation moves must have been planned and foreseen by God Accordingly, all the different beings in the universe, so far as their material basis is concerned have been evolved from the single toot source of mula praktiti, and ultimately the power of prakfiti to evolve must be traced back to God Himself

So then, even distinctions based on material differences between one embodied being and another cease to be of any value Quite apart from the fact that even these differences may be resolved into the undifferentiated homogeneity of milla prakriti, the fact remains that all the modifications undergone by prakfills in its long course of evolution have been to enable the soul to attain its ends If we are wise, we shall learn that it is in our own interests to base our conduct on the needs and necessities of our souls Since there is little difference between one soul and another, there is no room for either selfishness or sensuality When, therefore, one realises that the whole universe has evolved

Lec LX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

immediately from mūla prakriti and ultimately from God, who constitutes its all prevading surport, and regulates one's conduct accordingly, then one attents salvation

वनादित्वाद्विर्गुणत्वात्परमात्माऽयमव्यवः । शरीरस्योऽपि कौन्तेय न करोति न स्टिप्यते ॥ ३१ ॥

31. The immutable Supreme Self, O Arjuna, even while remaining in a body, neither works, nor is affected (by what the body does), on account of its having no beginning and of its being free from the ginas (of prakriti)

In this stanes and the next Sri Krishna lu idly explains the peculiar relation of the immanent God to the beings whom He pervades. Anything that has had a beginning must surely have an end All this universe is a regular whirl of change But God. being without beginning, remains the same at all times. He is, therefore, not destroyed, when the bodies that He pervades perish Let us also note again that destruction means merely a change of condition Now, all these changing conditions of the universe are brought about by the play of the three gunas of prakrits. As you are aware, physically rayas is responsible for growth, tamas for decay, and the sativa guna for maintaining the balance between the forces of growth and decay As God is free from the gunas of praktite He has no part in the changes of the various bodies He dwells in Work again is mainly an attribute of embodied existence and is a physical concep All work is impelled as well as executed by prakrits God, being merely upadrashtre and anumanifs, may be said to do no work at all We must, however always bear in mind that the power to do work is derived ultimately from God If He does not work He cannot, of course be affected by the results of any work. The evil effects of Larma cannot stain Him He is within us all but the relation between Him and ourselves is such that He does not become subject to our weakness The next stan a explains this position with the help of a noteworthy simile

It is necessary to say here that the foregoing remarks on the relation between God and the various beings within whom He

Lec. LX] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

dwells may be applied, mutatis mutandis, to the relation between the souls and their embodiments. According to those who hold that only the individual self is referred to in this chapter, this stanza does not deal with God at all. The term, 'paramātiman', must be understood as the self, supreme within the body it dwells in It is, of course, without beginning and free from the gunas of prakṛti. And the essential nature of the soul is not affected by the tendencies and dispositions of the body.

ृयथा सर्वगतं सौक्ष्म्यादाकादां नोपळिप्यते । सर्वनावस्थितो देहे तथात्मा नोपळिप्यते ॥ ३२ ॥

32. As the all-pervading abāsa is not tainted by reason of its subtlety, even so the atman, though stationed in every body, is not affected (by material tendencies)

The term, 'ākāśa', as you are aware, carries with a peculiar technical significance We are here, however, concerned only with the fact that it is an all pervading substance. It is stated here that the akasa is not tainted by its contact with the material universe To understand clearly how this may be, let us imagine that a wall is raised at a particular place. Now, can we say that the akasa is divided by the wall, that one part of it lies on this side of the wall, and another on the other? Division into parts implies that particular areas of space must be occupied by particular parts of the divided substance But the akāša being all pervading pervades even through the dividing partition. The akasa on the one side of the wall is continuous with the akasa on the other Now, let us suppose that the wall is suddenly pulled down It is surely absurd to say that any bits of the destroyed wall have stuck to the akasa that pervaded the wall when it was intact. In fact, the abasa is an all pervading substance on account or its fineness and subtlets. Matter cannot taint it like manner, the Itman, though stationed in every body, is not tainted by the tendencies and dispositions of the body

Opinions differ as to whether it is the Suprema Self or the individual soul that is referred to in this stol a. The position is, however, similar in both cases. The association of the finite

Lec LX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

self with prakriti does not endow it with a material character, nor give it a shate in the work which the body does and in the effects of karma which it creates for itself. For karma belongs to the boly and not to the soul "Similarly, the close association of God with prakriti does not make Him subject to the weaknesses and limitations of prakriti. The vikaras and guinas of the body do not belong to the soul, much less do they belong to what is divine in us. The function of the atman is merely to spread the light of consecousness—a light which is but a spark from the radiant energy of God.

यथा प्रकाशयत्येकः कृत्स्तं लोकमिमं रिनः। क्षेत्रं क्षेत्री तथा कृत्स्तं प्रकाशयति भारत । ३३॥

33. As the one sun illumines the whole of this universe, O Arjuna, the kshetrajña Lights up the whole kshetra

Here again the expression, 'kshetrin', for which in the translation I have substituted the more familiar word, 'kshetraina'. lends itself to a double interpretation. It may mean the soul or God The soul may be conceived as lighting up the field of its play, while God is the source from which even the soul derives its light Ultimately, therefore, God may also be looked upon as illuminating the kshetra. According as we accept the one or the other of these interpretations, we have also to understand differently the expression, 'kritsnam kshetram', that occurs in the second line Literally rendered, it means the entire kshetra This may stand for either the whole body whose capacity to feel and act and know is due to the soul, or to the entire physical universe which is quickened and illumined by the energy of God You, know, of course, what is precisely meant when the soul is spoken of as light Light possesses the power of revealing itself as well as other things. The flame of a lamp. for instance, makes itself manifest and also all things near it In like manner, our consciousness makes us aware of ourselves as well as of the vast universe around us. It is the soul within that makes the body capable of feeling and knowing. And thus it is the light of the believed

क्षेत्रक्षेत्रक्षयोरेवमन्तरं झानचञ्चपा । भृतप्रकृतिमोक्षं च ये यिदुर्यान्ति ते परम् ॥ ३४ ॥

34 Those who know with the aid of the eye of wisdom the difference between the kibetra and the kibetrajia and also (the way of) release from the prakriti of beings—they reach the highest.

Once again, let us note that mere intellectual realisation is not meant here. We may know the distinction between the flesh and the spirit and yet not live the life which that knowledge imposes on us. Knowing the better and doing the worse, we will be metely achieving self-destruction. It is only when we order our lives to be in harmony with the knowledge we have gained, it is only then that we, being free from selfishness and sensuality, will qualify ourselves for the enduring salvation of soul emancipation and God attainment.

CHAPTER XIV

lxi

It is well known to you that all the authoritative commentators on the Giff have understood it to be capable of falling into three natural divisions dealing respectively with the nature of the soul, the nature of God, and the application to practical conduct of the truths regarding the soul and God. It may said that the last six chapters are mainly ethical, while the first two divisions deal with the double founds ions of ethics. And just as the second chapter of the Giffa, which benins Sr. Krishna's teachings, gives us a practically complete outline of the theory in regard to the soul of man, and as the seventh chapter places before us in outline the entire theory in regard to hature of God and His relations with the world, even so you must have made out that the thirteenth chapter, which we finished last week tells us in brief how our conduct is to be guided by the truths that have been taught to us about the nature of the soul and of God

It is a self evident fact that we are all composite creatures. Man, as has been well said, is a compound of mud and sky. There is much in us that is of the earth earthy, but at the same

Lec LXII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

time there is something in us which makes us feel that we are all potentially divine and may raise ourselves to the level of God Himself None can be free from this kind of complex composition. In consequence of this fact, man is ever the battle field of conflicting and contrarious tend-noise and impulses. And it becomes necessary to discriminate between the various calls that are made upon us for attention and action, to encourage some and discourage others. If our ideal is the emancication of the soul and attainment of God, then we must encourage and foster those tendencies in us that appertain to what is spiritual and divine in us. And for this, it is essential to succeed in analysing our complex structure and in marking off what belongs to the flesh from what belongs to the soul and to God, who infills us and actuates us in all that we think and do

Such an analysis is carried out for our benefit in the thirteenth chapter which is declared to deal with the distinction between the body, which is material, and the soul, which, being immaterial, is within the body, enlivening and illumining it. It begins with an examination of the nature of the body and of the soul and then goes on to deal with the effects of the association of the body and the soul in the life of samsara Some of the thoughts and tendencies that take their rise out of the union of burusha and prukrets can lead us on to bliss and deliverance. These are designated as suana or knowledge for the reason that they can lead us on to the knowledge which saves, the knowledge namely, of the true nature of the soul and God It is, of course to be borne in mind that we cannot seek God with our intellects alone. Our whole being must be pressed into service in the quest after God And so a knowledge of God must inevitably transform our personalities In what manner this transformation will be effected may be seen from the description of sugna occurring in this chartes

Then we are told that, if we do not lead this kind of life, we will be destroying ourselves through ourselves. Here, indeed, is the central theme of Hindu ethics. Some European critics of Indian thought have recognised the unique depth and strength of the foundation that Indian genius has been able to lay for ethical endeavour of all kinds. Do unto others as you would be done by—this is the golden rule of conduct accepted by all the great

religions of the world But, as it has been well pointed out by Professor Deussen of Germany in one of the lectures he delivered in India, no religion other than Hinduism has explained the rationale of this rule Why should I do unto others as I wish that they should do unto me? Why should I not make a distinction between myself and others? What is there to show that such a feeling is wrong? To such questions, it seems to me that the Gud alone, as embodying the quintessence of Indian thought, supplies a satisfactory answer We are taught that by leading a selfish life, we destroy ourselves through ourselves

How this is so, I tried to explain to you when we were dealing with XIII 28 When a man leads a life which is either sensual or selfish, he acts in a manner which shows that he has not recognised the truth about himself The man who encourages the tendencies of the flesh and yields to the promptings of selfishness, fails to recognise that he has a soul and that it may be led on to attain God Himself Blind to these facts he gives up the be ter for the enjoyment of the worse Agun such a man also fails to realise the all pervading character of God As we all know God is seated in the hearts of all When this is the case any one who feels that he is a superior person, from whom the world must consider it a privilege to receive ill treatment and injury of all sorts—such a person very obviously refuses to recognise the divinity in himself and in others So then if we learn to discriminate between what is low and unworthy in us and what is spiritual and divine, we will easily see that a life of sensuality or selfishness ill becomes our true natue By refusing to recognis- the invaluable opportunities that life offers to us for the emancipation of our souls we achieve only self destruction In other words we can serve ourselves more readily by serving others than by getting ourselves served In service is the salvation of man Such seems to me the main

The summary of this chapter in the Gitartha saig aha of lamunacharya arrives at practically the same conclusion, though,

देवस्यरूपमा मातिहेतुरात्मविशोधनम् । यन्धहेतुर्विनेरस्य त्रयोदस उदीयंते॥

Śrī Krishna said:

I shall teach again that supreme knowledge, the best of (all kinds of) knowledge, having known which, all the great sages have passed from this (world) to the highest perfection.

Sri Krishna here declares that the subject of His teaching in his chapter is of such supreme importance in the guidance of our lives that, by merely knowing it, we may be able to attain the supreme end of the pilgrimage of life. This supreme knowledge, the subject of the pilgrimage of life and the distinction among the swill be soon pointed out, deals with the distinction among the three gunas of prakrit in their moral aspects. To know what three gunas is to possess saving knowledge. If man is to progress morally so as to fit himself for winning the enduring salvation of soul-emancipation and God-attainment, it is surely essential for and operations of the gunas of prakrits. Such knowledge is of fundamental value in the practical guidance of life, and for that reason it has been designated the highest knowledge.

You are certainly aware that Sri Krishna has always been stressing the importance of conduct He has always been inclined to judge men more by their lives than by their convictions and b-liefs It is as though the Gstā teaches the view that one cannot be in the wrong whose life is in the right. The creeds that we subscribe to have less to do with our deliverance than the kind of life that we lead This, of course, does not mean that there is no relation between the philosophy or religion that we believe in and the moral pattern of our lives If Sri Krishna had held such a view, He would not have taken the trouble to te ch us the great truths of the thirteenth chapter The ethics of the Gua is broad-based on the real nature of three entities, which may roughly designate as matter, soul and God It rests on the double foundation of self realisation and God-realisation Nevertheless, it is well for us to remember that whatever philosophical views one may hold on the ultimate metaphysical principles, one may attain salvation by leading the proper kind of life The highest perfection may be won by conquering our tendencies towards

Lec. LXI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

It is not meant to be conveyed that righteousness is unrelated to religion, but it is certainly suggested that righteousness in itself is more than religion. When we say that one cannot be in the wrong whose life is in the right, we do not put a premium on streligion. The man who feels no promotings in himself higher than those of the flesh, cannot lead the righteous life. When conduct is taught to be more important than creeds for the attainment of the highest goal, it is held that to believe in a religion and not to practise it is worse than having no reasoned-out convictions on the fundamental problems of religion and philosophy, but leading the right kind of life. In short, the practice of religion is more than the profession of it; for the practice of religion is synonymous with the life of righteousness. It is for this reason that the knowledge relating to sungetrararibhaga is here spoken of as constituting the highest wisdom, rudged from the standpoint of practical utility.

इदं बानमुपाधित्य मम साधर्म्यामागनाः । सर्गेऽपि नोपजायन्ते प्रलये न व्यथन्ति च ॥ २ ॥

Those who, resorting to this knowledge, attain to the possession of qualities that characterise Me, are neither born at the time of creation, nor hurt at the time of dissolution.

Sri Kṛishṇa here makes it perfectly clear that the knowledge relating to conduct, which is taught in this chapter, is capable of leading us by itself to the hichest goal of all. If, knowing the different properties of the three gunas, we lead a life which is righteous, perfectly pure and free from sensuality and selfashness, we will atten the enduring salvation of motified. It is implied that is is enough to know how to guide our lives; it is not absolutely essential to understand the basis of ethics. Let me try to illustrate this point with a simile. You know that there are various parent medicines in the market. Take the case of a doctor who prescribes a parent pill to a certain patient without understanding the details of its composition. He knows merely the clinical results of prescribing the pill. Compare him with a physician who knows everything about the composition of the pill and the

therapeutic effects of its various component parts. The pill is as likely to cure a patient of the first doctor as of the second It is, of course, always safer to place one's self in the hands of the doctor who knows everything that has to be known about the drugs he prescribes But when one cannot have his services one would naturally prefer to use an attested patent pill rather than be without treatment of any kind In like manner we have to look at

In regard to our moral life, we are taught certain doctrines that we must not be selfish, that we must not be sensual and so forth Our guru, perhaps, may not be able to tell us why we must obey these commandments He may simply say "That is what I learnt from my guru" The potency of the pill is preserved The practice of selflessness kills all moral disease, whether or not we know the how and why of it From observing many cases in which a life free from selfishness and sensuality has led to progress towards perfection, and by the logic of induction, we draw the inference that such a life provides the cure for that suckness of the soul which has forced it to clothe itself in this vesture of clay The point to be grasped is this It is well to know the cause of the bondage of the soul and the rationale underlying the commandment to live the righteous life But knowing this is of little value when compared with living it. One may be a saint unawares One may attain perfection without being a philosopher That is why knowledge concerning the way in which we must guide our lives is here declared to be supreme knowledge for it can by itself secure us salvation The ultimate state of perfection is attained by all those who know the different effects of the three gunas for it is said that they are above birth and death. Their souls are in the muktatassha, the state of complete freedom from the bondage of matter

Some controversy has centred round the interpretation of the phrase nama sadharmyan agatah, We may translate it as "those who win for themselves the same characteristics as those which I pos ess" What is implied is a community of charac teristics and qualities between God and those who achieve perfection. Now this may be taken to mean that, in the state of raivation to either realiss our identity with God or become

Lec EXI]

God-like in our freedom from the bondage of karma Whichever of these interpretations is accepted, let me once again emphasize that Sri Krishya is here anxious to impress on us that it is more important to know—and live—the way of salvation than to understand its metaphysical basis and philosophical justification.

मम योनिर्महद्गहा तस्मिन् गर्भे दधाम्यहम् । सम्भवस्सर्वभृतानां ततो भवति भारत ॥ ३॥

3 The great mula-prakriti is a womb for Me; in it, I cast the seed. And from it, O Arjuna, is the birth of all beings.

Having pointed out the importance of a study of the nature of prakrits, 5tt Krishna begins His discourse on the distinctive characterisation of the three gunas by stressing the subordination of prakrits to God Prakrits is, as it were, an inert basis, which God endows with life. She is the womb which is impregnated with life and light by the Lord Were it not for the vital cift of God, the universe would be dead and dumb and desolate. And that is why He is sometimes named Nārāyaṇa. The evolution of Nature is impelled and guided by God. All beings in the universe spring from the association of the kshetra with the kshetraṇña, of matter with mind. These things are made cleater in the next stanza, where the analogy suggested by this verse is completed.

Many of you may have noted that I have translated the term, brahman', which occurs in this \$Ioka, as 'the great m@Ia-prakfiti'. Though we have to understand 'brahman' ordinarily to mean the Absolute of metaphysics, still the sense that we have given it in the translation is not unusual. We have come across such usage already once or twice in the course of our study of the Gitā. As you are well aware, the exymological significance of the word is anything which is big. The great big universe, the visible infinite, may be as readily and appropriately described by the word, 'brai man', as that other invisible infinite, the Lord of measurcless might. And this interpretation is supported by the weighty authority of the eminent teachers who have commented on the Gita.

सर्वयोनिषु कौन्तेय मूर्तयस्संभवन्ति याः । तासां ब्रह्म महद्योनिरहं वीजप्रदः पिता ॥ ४ ॥

 Whatever living forms spring up from all sorts of wombs, O Arjuna, their great womb (is) prakriti, and I (am their) seed-giving father.

Sri Kiishna here completes the metaphor which was merely auggested in the previous \$loka If the evolution of Nature is to be traced to a divine impulse, if all life and energy in the universe are derived from the power and consciousness of God, then surely one's existence We are all composite entities, creatures of earth and heaven. The mother of all of us is \$prak_iti_i\$, whose blood and fesh have given us this shape and form. But the light of our consciousness is due to our Father, whose brooding energy statted and maintains the process of the universe. He surred up the dead equilibrium of the gunas of prak_iti_is, and brought into existence the wonderful variety of this universe.

The analogy here elaborated is, as you will agree, telling, clear and decis ve It sums up the Vedantic doctrine of creation and defines the relations between prakrit; and God All Hindu thought and worship in temples and homes are based on this fundamental conception One of the ways in which the association of our gods with divine consorts-of Vishpu with Lakshmi, of Siva with Parvati -is sought to be justified philosophically is based on this idea If Vishnu is the omnipotent Lord, the Father of our being then our divine mother, Lakshmi, is prakriti must not of course understand these similarides literally. Plakriti is not really the wife of the Brahman But certain characters tie relations between praktiti and the Parama Purusha resemble shose between a husband and a wife In the first place, it is well to note that the wife's position is conceived to be one of subor lit ation to that of her husband And so, too, the material p aktiti s ands in relation to the Lord Then it is believed that, s) far s creation is concerned praktitis plays a passive and God an active o'e The initiative comes from the Parama Purusha,

After pointing out in general terms the exact position of prakrit; in the scheme of things Sri Krishna proceeds to deal with the gunas and their effects So, He begins his discourse thus:

सस्यं रजस्तम इति गुणाः प्रकृतिसंभवाः । निवधन्ति महावाहो देहे देहिनमञ्चयम् ॥ ५॥

5 Sattia, rajas and tamas—these 'qualities', born of prakriti, bind the indestructible soul in the body, O Arjuna

The soul, as we have been taught, is immaterial, immutable and therefore, immortal. The body, as we all know, is material, mutable and mortal. It is here declared that the immortal soul is bound in this mortal coil on account of the three gunas of prakriti. The succeeding verses explain how the different gunas fetter and bind the soul. It is to be noted that all the gunas have a binding tendency. Even the desirable sativa guna has this characteristic. In moral life, we have to progress from the tāmasa to the rājasa and thence to the sātitika state. But our ultimate ideal must be to use above all the three gunas of prakriti. Very soon, you will learn something about those who have transcended the binding influence of the three gunas and who are described consequently as gunatitas.

तन सत्त्वं निर्मलन्यात्यकाशकमनामयम् । सुरासङ्गेत यथ्नाति शाससङ्गेत चानघ ॥ ६ ॥

6 Of these, the satha, illumining and healthful on account of its purity, binds the soul, O Arjuna, with the bond of happiness and the bond of knowledge.

Every gina of prakfits binds the soul in a particular and curracteristic manner. Here we are told that the satting ginta fashions for the soul fetters of happiness and knowledge. Satting, as you already know, has been characteristed as inhita or desirable in a s an a from the Sāḥkhṇa Karakas which I once quoted. It may, therefore, be asked how this desirable quality tends to bind the soul. The answer to this question is indicated in this floka.

You must bear in mind that even a man acting under the influence of the sattva-euna is hardly free from the influence of braktiti; for sattva after all is a guna of praktiti. It is undoub edly desirable, it gives us the light which may lead us on to deliverance. Nevertheless, even a man of predominantly sattucka temp-rament may not escape the bondage of karma. If tamas is a dark blanket, screening us from the sight of God, and rasas makes a screen only somewhat less opaque, sativa may be compared to a translucent veil, half obscuring and half revealing the divinity beyond Even so, it is still a veil We are told here that the sattva gung is healthful and illuminating and stainless Because it is healthful, it gives rise to sukha or happiness, and on account of its radiant light, it gives rise to wisdom. Sukha and inana are undoubtedly desirable, but even they may build us a prisonhouse Instead of utilising the light of wisdom to show us the way of release, we may fall to love with it and try to empy its lingering sweetness. Then in-vitably deliverance is delayed

The predominance of the sattra guna in us may give us valuable experiences. But we must not get attached to these; otherwise there is no escape for us from the mize of sams@ra Even the love of God, which sixes from the influence of sattrageness that some that sams are trially declared "We would rather go on living the life of sams@ra than attain the salvation of moksha, for the former gives us op portunities to serve God and hymn His glories". Not that they think lightly of moksha. Only they pre'er the sukha which they feel in their embodied condition by exercising that love of God, to the bliss of moksha. In this way, the saitraguna may hold us in bondage, even while pointing out the way to freedom

It is essential to note here that Sankarāchārya explains in a different way the binding character of the sativa guda When any one feels happiness, he tends to think "I am happy". This, a recording to advaira, is a wrong view, for it superimposes on the One Self of all an experience belonging to the world of $ma_J \bar{a}$. In a similar way, the feeling that one is a knower is false. But when these wrong views are entertained, attachment is caused to knowledge and happiness. And that attachments is caused to

Lec LXI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

karma In this discussion, the terms, 'jūūna' and 'sukha', are not understood as the knowledge and bliss which is the very nature of the Self As they say in Sanskrit only villi jūūna and viili sukha āre beheved to be referred to here, not svarūpa jūūna or svarūpā jūūna or svarūpā jūūna or

रजो रागात्मकं िद्धि तृष्णासङ्गसमुद्रयम् । तन्निवद्याति कौन्तेय कर्मसङ्गेन देहिनम् ॥ ७ ॥

7 Know, O Arjuna, rajar to be of the nature of desire and the source of covetousness and selfish attachment It binds the soul by the bond of action.

Desire is the soul of rasas Ambition and avarice, greed and selfish attachment, all take their rise from the influence of the rato-guna The predominance of ratas in any one very naturally makes for a life of restless activity and aggressive achievement When in the grip of desire, we can have no peace until we satisfy And it is the nature of desire not to be satisfied by the enjoyment of the objects of desire. The covetous man will thus he led on to ceaseless activity, the stimulus of one desire replacing that of another in unending succession. In the end, of course, a life, busy and hectic after this fashion, may seem futile. But the point to be noted here is that the incessant stream of work directed to the attainment of selfish desires, which such a life gives rise to, inevitably creates for us the bondage of karma may be noted that the expression, 'trishnasanga', occurring in the verse has been split by Sankaracharya into trishna and asang the glosses trishna as the longing after what one has not and usaura as the attachment to what one has

नवस्त्राणनजं विद्धि मोतनं सर्वदेतिनाम् । वमादालस्यनिद्याभिस्तश्चित्रमति भारत । ८ ॥

8 Know tamas to atise from perverse ignorance and to cause delusion to all embodied souls. It binds, O Arjuna, by heedlessness, sloth and sleep

When tamas is said to be born of ajñāna or ignorance, we may well understand that ignorance to be of a perverse kind; for

immediately afterwards, we are told that tamas deludes all. Not mere ignorance, but perverse obstinacy in mistaking what is not true for what is true, can alone delude. If, for instance, the truth of things indicates that sensuality tends to pull down the aspiring soul of man to a tamasa temperament, it may well appar that sensual indulgence is the one thing to live for. In this way tamas deludes all. No one in the thrall of this dull and dark guna of praktits can understand the true nature of things, for the light of his soul is clouded.

It is then stated that the three bonds which tamas creates for the soul are pramada, alasya, and nidra Pramada is literally heedlessness, in this context it most probably stands for criminal negligence in matters of utmost moment. One who deals carelessly with the truths of religion and is inattentive in learning and doing one's duty may be, to use Berkeley's famous phrase, a thriving earthworm, but he hardly realises the dignity and responsibilities of his humanity Alasya is laziness It may here refer to laziness of mind as well as of body On- who is always in a state of comatose stupidity and whom nothing can stimulate to thought or action-such a person is obviously of the tamasa temperament The third bond of tamas is sleep It is not meant to be conveyed by this that sleep in itself is undesirable You may remember that even the difficult discipline of yoga makes suitable provision for sleep, the 30gin was described in VI 17 as yukta svapnāvabodha, one who has appropriate sleep and wakefulness It is plain that only too much sleepiness is condemned here Apart from the fact that a man, who sleeps away too much of his time, wastes recklessly the invaluable opportunities of life for progress it is also to be borne in mind that too much sleep is apt to make the mind dull and incapable of steady and sustained exertion

Before passing on to the next verse, I may mention that the term, 'ajnānajam', which we have understood as meaning "born of ignorance". has also been interpreted as "guing rise to Ignorance". Perverse ignorance leads on to tamas, because it to Ignorance what is tāmass in us At the same time, one under the sway of tamas is bound to be ignorant, for this guna shrouds the soul in darkness. Either view fits in well with the context

Lec LXII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

सर्वं सुखे सञ्जयति रज कर्मणि भारत । शानमावृत्य त तम प्रमादे सञ्जयत्युत ॥ ९ ॥

9 The satha gmma causes association with happiness, rajas, O Arjuna, with action Screening off know-ledge, tamas causes association with (perverse and criminal) heedlessness

Here the contents of the last three verses are summed up. The satita guna binds one with the bond of happiness and knowledge Rajas gives rise to a life of energetic activity and aggressive achievement. The life of ignorance and perverse lack of attention to one's duttes and responsibilities is caused by tamas. Having followed the teachings Sri Krishna so far the enquirer may ask. How jis this knowledge helpful to us in living the life that leads us to acquire community of characteristics with God Himself? The answer to this question is in livated in the succeeding verses.

रजस्तमधाभिभृय सत्त्वं भवति भारत । रजस्तत्त्व तमधेव तमस्तर्वं रजस्तथा ॥ १० ॥

10 Subduing rajas and tamas, O Arjuna, sattia becomes (preponderant) And tamas (becomes preponderant, subduing) rajas and sattia And likewise (subduing) tamas and sattia, rajas (becomes preponderant)

Since prakriti is characterised by the three gunas it follows that all the gunas must be in association with every embodied soul. Each of them, however, binds the soul in a distinctive and characteristic manner. It is as though one guna shackled the soul with golden fetters another with silver chains, and the third with iron handcuffs. Are all these various bonds simultaneously imposed on the soul? Can one be under the influence of both knowledge and ignorance action and inaction at the same time? And as there is a scale of values for the three gunas is there any way by which one might seek for some progress in moral life? If we are to consider problems like these we will have to know the interrelations among the gunas themselves. Sri Krishpa, therefore, takes up this subject for discussion.

Even though all the gunas of praktite are to be found in association with every embodied soul, He teaches that they do not stand in the same interrelations in all cases Each guna dominates in certain particular conditions of praklits. In the body of a great saint, for instance, sattva will be preponderant, while tamas will dominate the body of a vile sinner All the three gunas do exist in association with the sinner as well as the saint, but they stand in different relations to one another in the two cases. If a quantitative analogy is permitted, one may say that the proportions of the gunas differ in diverse instances Further light as thrown on the characteristics of the several states in which the various gunas acquire dominance in the stan-as that follow, with a view ultimately to facilitate our progress from the lower to the

सर्वहारेषु देहेऽस्मिन्त्रकाश उपजायते । धानं यदा तदा निवाद्विवृद्धं सत्त्विमिन्युत ॥ ११ ॥

11. When wisdom arises, with all the gateways in this body streaming forth light, then it should be known that sattra has increased in power.

In this translation, I have followed the tradition which construes the word, 'prakāsa', in the first line in the locative case, thus a locative absolute construction is made out in the first line The word may also be taken to be in the nominative case, when it must be regarded as being in apposition with the word, '1nanam', occurring in the second line Hence the starra may also be translated as "When the light of knowledge streams forth from all the gateways of the body " etc The general sense, Fowever is clear It is the nature of sattra to illumine and light up When sattea is dominant, the intellect is clear and acquires

लोमः प्रवृत्तिरारंम[,] कर्मणामशमस्म्पृद्दा । रजस्येतानि जायन्ते निरुद्धे भरतर्थम ॥ १२ ॥

12 Covetousness, activity, undertaking of actions, discontent, desire-these arise, O Arjuna, when rajas is

Lec LXII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Covetousness may be looked upon as the very opposite of renunciation. Love of possession naturally leads on to a life of aggressive achievement. What is spoken of as work or activity here must be understood as the restless pursuit of desire. Such unceasing activity never satisfies, for, as we have been often taught, desire cannot be satisfied by the enjoyment of the objects of desire. It grows by what it feeds on. The life of energetic action brings only discontent in its wake and this in turn becomes the stimulus for fresh desires. Desire, efforts to satisfy desire, discontent, and desire again, these form a natural cycle of mental states. And it is worth observing that the characteristics of rajas given here are in the right psychological order.

श्रपकाशोऽप्रवृत्तिश्च प्रमादो मोह एव च। तमस्येतानि जायन्ते विवृद्धे कुरनन्दन ॥ १३॥

13 Want of light, inactivity, heedlessness and delusion, these rise, O Arjuna, when tamas prevails

Want of light means, of course, absence of knowledge, grorerance about the truth of things. This ignorance is of a percerse kind. It is not mere absence of knowledge, but the presence of false knowledge. Delusion here probably means perverse notions of things arising out of lack of attention to the fundamental problems of life and conduct. Apravritis may indicate something not far different from alasya. It must be carefully distinguished, of course, from micritis. The life of a saint is a life of nitritis. Within certain limits and judged externally, the life of the saint may not seem dissimilar to a life of indolence. But this vital distinction remains. A saint has the power to line the life of active achievement, only he does not choose to do so. But the min under the dead-ning sway of tamas is simply incapable of work. Slothful and dull he shirks work and can never be a centre of light and life.

Please allow me to stop here

lxii

In our last class, we were dealing with the ways in which the dominance of each guna of praktits in the moral constitution of

Lec. LXII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Two factors may be easily seen to be responsible for our mental and moral qualities There is, firstly, what they speak of as the natural endowment of the individual, that is to say, the potentialities with which he has entered on the scene of life. Secondly, we have the environment in which he has been brought up and the education to which he has been subjected. If we believe in the doctrine of barma-as an unprejudiced examination of the facts at our disposal induces us to believe—then it must be clear that the external factor, comprising the influence of environment and education, can only be of secondary importance. What dominates the situation is the inner potentiality of man And this, in turn, is largely determined by heredity. The sudden blossoming of genius in a family for long in a state of deserved obscurity cannot, of course, be explained by heredity. But as regards the large majority of mankind, the statement is true that they are as their fathers were. If this is understood as true in the sense of actually ascertained truth, then it will not be difficult to see why the gunas of prakriti are also given a moral significance. Particular physical characteristics are found to correspond to particular moral qualities. I may mention in this connection that even the medical profession recognises the existence of such a thing as temperament in individuals

Understanding the gunas to represent aspects of moral character, we have to proceed further today in the study of their influence on the final destiny of the soul. We have been taught the distinctive marks by which the preponderance of each of the three gunas may be recognised. Sti Kyishna now proceeds to tell us what this preponderance leads to

यदा मस्त्रे प्रमुद्धे तु प्रस्तयं याति देद्दभृत् । तदोत्तमविनां लोकानमलान् मतिपद्यते ॥ १४ ॥

14. However, if the embodied soul meets with dissolution when satina is dominant, then it attains the pure worlds of those who know the Highest.

The next two flokas deal with the fate of the soul, which encounters death, when rajas and tamas are dominating the situation. And in regard to what is taught in all these three

Jiokas, it is essential to know whether the pravriddh of the gunas referred to is in respect of a particular embodiment of the soul, or whether it has to be understood in relation to the various conditions of embodied existence the soul has gone through in the past and may jet go through in the future What Imean is this All the three gunas are present in every individual. Though in the case of each individual there is one dominant guna, no individual is entirely influenced by one guna and one only. Even the saint under the wholesome sway of satitude that the field it is to feel at times the promptings of rayas and tamas. Likewise, the criminal in the grip of tamas is not completely denied the experience of the two nobler qualities. That is, no man is the same at all times. The samily and the noble have their dark moments of temptation, even as the base and the vicious have their lucid intervals.

Now, every one dies when he is under the sway of some particular guna or other This need not necessarily be the same guna, which dominates his life as a whole A saint may die in a moment of moral weakness, just as a sinner may pass away at a time when he is seeing light fitfully and vaguely. The question that we have to answer is this Do these slokas refer to conditions such as these? Is the chance dominance of particular gunas at the time of death so important that no attention need be paid to the life that went before? I have had occasion to show you that even death bed repentance is not entirely devoid of value (Vol II, pp 131 3), but it does seem unreasonable to hold that the accidental prevalence of a particular guna at the last moments of life should affect the future destiny of the soul to the exclusion of a whole life of character and conduct. In a way such a view unde mines the whole doctrine of karma for it denies any value to the result of a man s character and conduct throughout his life, while stressing unduly his attitude and outlook at the time of departure from life Of course, we have already been taught that the feelings and shoughts of a man when he is about to pass into the Great Beyond, do affect his future The samskara on the soul at the moment of death as we have seen is deeper and more profound then the samskara at any other time whatsoever

The samskåra produced by the exercise of thought and will in relation to our lives is under the influence of what might be spoken

Lec LXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

of as the spirit and the flesh in us. It is governed, on the one hand, by the physical nature of man and, on the other, by the promptings of his soul. Where the one is strong, the o her will be weak There is a settled period in life, when both the physical and psychic powers of man are in a process of gradial growth and development Then comes a time—the heyday of giddy youthwhen the flesh grows strong and asserts itself against the spirit Thereafter, in the declining years of life, our physical powers want and the tendencies of the soul have a chance to come into their own When the crisis of death is imminent, the power of the body is at its lowest ebb and the soul is neculiarly susceptible to impressions of all kinds. Hence the samebara produced at the moment of death is exceedingly potent in determining the fate of the soul And thus even the accidental prevalen e of the vriddly of a particular oung at the time of death is bound to be of value

But I believe something more is meant here. We may be reasonably sure that a man whose life is predominantly sattvika, for instance, will be under the influence of that auna at the last moments of his life And it is, as a result of rigid discipline extending possibly over a series of embodiments, that one can attain the blessed condition, wherein one is dominated by the most desirable of the gunas of brakrits. Similarly a life of selfish immorality is likely to prevent the sway of sattia at the crisis of death So the quality of one's life from birth to death is certainly not to be disregarded in judging the subsequent fate of the soul And we may even go to the extent of saving that in the vast majority of cases, the dominance of a particular gung at the time of death is brought about by the kind of life which one has lived, and that a man predominantly under the influence of sattua, for instance, over a long period of his life, will also most probably die under the same influence

> रजसि प्रलयं गत्वा अर्मसङ्गिषु जायते। तथा प्रलीनस्तमसि मदयोनिष जायते ॥ १५ ॥

15 Meeting with death during (the sway of) rajas, one is born among those attached to work. Likewise, dying during (the dominance of) tamas, one is born in the wombs of the dull

The term, 'karma', in this sloka stands for work and achievement. Those who are under the influence of rajas lead a life of caseless work and aggressive achievement. They are, thus, karmasangins. And naturally one who meets with death when the 'quality' of rajas is potent, is bound to be reborn in a body, predominantly rajasa in character. In other words, he will be born of parents who are under the sway of rajo guna and who will thus condition the quality of their offspring. Similarly, those, dying under the influence of tamas, will be born of tamasa parents and be, therefore, endowed with powerful tendencies in favour of the worst of the sunas of érakrits.

It may asked at this stage if the quality of one's past life determines that of the prefent, how is deliverance to come? A man, whose life is rayas a now, will most probably die under the influence of that guna and in consequence, he will be reborn of rayas parents and inherit rayasa tendencies. Similar is the éase with one dying under the influence of tamas. It is apparently an unending cycle, a prison from which there is no escape. How is noe, under the influence of rayas or tamas, to see that one's next life is not under the influence of the same guna? In other words, can one rise above the power of heredity? The answer to this question must be sought in the fact that the character and conduct of man are moulded not merely by his inborn endowment, but also by the education he receives and the opportunities he meets with in his. The Guna itself answers the specific question that we have raised here and it is enough if we try to understand what is taught.

क्रमेंबस्सुठतस्याहुस्मास्त्रिकं निर्मलं फलम् । रज्ञमस्तु फलं दु समझानं तमसः फलम् ॥ १६ ॥

16 They say that the fruit of action, which is good in itself, is stainless and rathika, (while) the fruit of rajar is pain and the fruit of ramar is ignorance

It may be roted that I have translated the phrase, "sukfita karma", occurring in this verse, as action which is good in fixelf. Sukfit karma is karma which gives rise to punja, even as dushkfita karma is karma that produces papa. Both punja

Lec LXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

and papa are bonds of attachment, imprisoning the soul within the body. The distinction between the two, however, must be carefully noted Punya accrues from doing good with selfish attachment, while again springs from doing evil, of course, out of selfishness A man who is generous and charitable may be motivated by love of fame. The work that he does is good in itself What one bestows in charity is not the less valuable to the sufferer, because desire for honour impels the act of charity But from the point of view of the good of one's own soul, charity bestowed out of motives of fame or honour is distinctly inferior to charity done as disinterested duty. It is only work which is good in itself and which is performed without any selfish motives, that produces neither baba nor bunga. Thus work may be judged both from the standpoint of motives and in itself. It seems to me that what is referred to as sukrita karma is work which is good in itself. The performance of a deed which is good in itself gives rise to a sattuiba fruit, whatever the motive behind the deed

It is the tendency of ratas to produce restlessness and acute discontent Spurred on to ceaseless activity in the pursuit of one desire after another, a ratasa life is aimlessly busy. For the nature of desire being what it is, one can never attain satisfaction in hunting after it. A mind at rest and at peace with itself is. I believe, the greatest blessing that one can ever have The gnawing dissatisfaction that lurks in the hearts of all who chase the will o' the wish of desire is acutely painful. It is thus that rasas leads on to duhkha Peace of mind and tranquillity of heart are far more valuable than wealth or fame or the satisfaction of any worldly desire whatsoever This, however, does not imply that we must all 'ead lives of passive inactivity. We are, indeed. bidden to work and achieve, but at the same time to see that the balance of our moral nature is maintained and that our hearts are not oppressed by unfulfiled desires and disturbing covetousness The fruit of tamas 15 ignorance, for dulness and want of intelli gence can never gase rise to knowledge of any kind, much less the knowledge which saves the soul from the trammels of the flesh

सत्त्वारसञ्जायते ज्ञानं रजसो छोम एव च । प्रमादमोही तमसो भवतोऽज्ञानमेव च ॥ १७॥

17. From sativa knowledge is born, and from rajas covetousness. Heedlessness and delusion spring from tamas, as also ignorance.

If we study this \$loka along with the verses that have preceded it, we will find the answer to the question which I raised sometime back-whether those who are under the sway of tamas or rajas must for ever continue to be so Let us bear in mind some of the fundamental facts about the gunas of prakrits in their moral aspects. In the first place, all of us are influenced by all the three gunas of praktitt. There is none, of whom it can be said that he or she is under the sole influence of sattva or raigs or tamas Nevertheless, there remain deep differences among us all. And this is due to the fact that the power and efficacy of the several gunas vary in different cases. In one, sativa is more powerful than raige and tamas, in another, raige dominates sativa and tamas; and in a third, tamas prevails over the other two Thirdly, in the life of every individual, there is scope for the play of all the three gungs, in spite of the preponderance of any particular guna.

When we take these facts into consideration, it is possible to see the way of deliverance. The knowledge relating to the three gunas is no dismal science, it does not teach a deadly fatalism The policy of laissez-faire is unthinkable in the field of morals Even though we owe to heritage-and ultimately to our own past karma-our innate tendencies and dispositions, that is to say, even though the dominance of a particular guna in us is determined by heredity, there is no reason why we should for ever continue to be under the sway of the same guna. We may use above or fall. beneath our inherited endowment. Even the man of the most favourable heritage experiences moments of trial and remptation, beckoning him on to the primtose path to perdition. If he succumbs to these, he loses the privilege of his birth, the advantages with which he has been born. In like manner, there are moments of illumination lighting up the darkness of the life of even the worst criminals. Some of them may be so attracted by

Lec LXII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

these moments of glowing inspiration as to transform their lives. Here, again, the influence of heredity is overpowered. The saint who succumbs to temptation falls below his heritage, while the criminal who reforms rises above it. Generally, we may say, heredity determines the moral outlines of one's character. It does not deprive us of the power of modifying it within limits. One may improve on it, or fail to utilise the advantages that it confers Instances are not wanting of men of low heritage scaling the heights of saintly distinction and of men of noble birth falling into the mire of vicious degradation.

The burden of our past, acting through the instrument of heredity, endows us with certain dominant traits and tendencies It is, however, left to us to use these as we may A mere outline is presented to us, it is our privilege to fill in the picture We may put in the lineaments of vice or the features of virtue If we take advantage of the sattvika impulses in our life we will increase the beauty of the picture we are drawing If, on the other hand, we yield to either rajas or tamas, the picture will develop unlovely traits and may end as a masterpiece of ugliness have the power to make or mar the beauty of our lives Heritage cannot be ignored. In the race of life, we do not all start alike Some of us are fleet of foot, others walk with leaden pace But our way is bestrewn with opportunities innumerable—opportunities for betterment as well as for degradation. We may use the experience of life so as to make the best or the worst of our inherited endowments

ऊर्घ गन्छिन्ति सत्त्वस्था मध्ये तिष्ठन्ति राजनाः। जघन्यगुणवृत्तिस्था अधो गन्छन्ति तामनाः॥ १८॥

18. Those who adhere to sattia go up, those characterised by rajas remain in the middle, and those characterised by tamas go down, adhering to the ways (of life) that spring from the worst (moral) qualities

Here is the secret of deliverance. The three gupas act and react upon us, but it is in our power to elect whose influence to result and whose to encourage. Adhering to the ways of sativa, we progress. Yielding to the power of rajas, we are caught in a

monotonous orbit—an apparently endless cycle of desire leading on to action and action glving rise to fresh desire. Succumbing to the promptings of tamas, we pass from one degradation to another till our ruin is complete. Though our life is dominated by the gunas of praktitis, the will is always free to make a choice among the various influences that seek to bring us under their sway. By placing ourselves more and more under the wholesome influence of sattva, that is to say, by trying to manifest in our lives those qualities which are called sattvaka, we may advance along the path to perfection and final relesse.

The progress and retrogression that are spoken of in this verse may be understood in two ways. There is, firstly, progress in relation to the life that one is leading at present. We may die better men than we were born A single act of noble self sacrifice may confer a halo of splendour on the whole of one's life and redeem years mis-spent in vice and wickedness. The discipline of will may transform a character even within the definite limits set up by the inherited endowment of dominant traits and tendencies The samskara of experience may correct or hold in check those tendencies to thought and action that are born with us In a similar manner, an act of vice may stain indelibly an otherwise honourable life. We see often the heart rending tragedy of wasted gifts of false steps taken by then and women that have brought woe upon them and deprived them and the v orld of the use of their talents of blight falling upon promising careers and giving us only the shadows of what might have been. One may, indeed, die worse if an one is horn indeed it is fat easier to fall below than to rise above our heritage

Then there is progress and retrogression in relation to a series of embodiments. One may be born a better or a worse man in one's next birth. One who is slightly under the sway of satista may be reborn in a bod; much more amenable to the nealthful influence of the most desirable of the gainss. Step by step, in this manner one may advance till one is almost completely under the influence of satista and then indeed, transcend all the gainss of yeaks is and pass on to perfection. On the other hand, by abuse of the legacy of our past Larma, by misdirecting our energies and talents, we rasy descend to lower and lower depths in birth after.

**Eith, July our souls are shrouded in darkness so intense that the

Lec LXII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

light of wisdom can rarely penetrate it. We may thus either improve or worsen the heritage that comes to us at our next birth

We may thus understand the progress and the degradation mentioned here in two ways. It may be either in relation to one's present life or in relation to a series of embodiments. One of these, indeed, opens the way to the other. By achieving moral progress within the limits set up by one's inherited endowment, the great power for good or ill that the gunas of prakeful wield is thus made clear. By exercising a proper choice amongst the various material influences that assail us, we may gradually gain for our souls the freedom and the bliss that they have lost Nothing, indeed, is more certain than this, that though we are born with a definite mental and moral equipment, we can jet make the best possible use of them, and thus we may excel the promise of our birth and acquire in our next life a better and nobler equipment to aid us in our struggle for spiritual emancication.

नान्यं गुणेभ्यः कर्तारं यदा द्रष्टानुपदयति । गुणेभ्यक्ष परं वेत्ति महाबो सोऽधिगच्छति ॥ १९ ॥

19. When the man of true vision knows no agent other than the gimas and knows what is above the gimas, then he attains to My state

Just try to imagine for a moment what the life of the soul would have been, if it had not been in association with a material embodiment. Would it have then indulged in work of any kind, sativika, rajasa or tamasa? Clearly, no. This life of work is the life of samsara. The agency in regard to our deeds belongs more to prakris, than to the soul. In consequence of the realisation of this fact, we must logically give up the feelings of a uses and mine ness that play so large a part in the efforts of our life. The soul is not entitled to own the results of anything that the body has done. If the soul claims for itself the results of our deeds, it would be as though one man put in a claim for the fruits of another's work.

In taking up this position, however, we must guard ourselves against two possible errors. In the first place, nothing that has been said above must be understood as denving moral responsibllity to the soul It has merely been taught that the work that we do in the world of samsara is impelled as well as executed by the gunas of praktits The capacity for potential work, for being the substratum of effort, resides in the soul, and determines its inescapable moral responsibility. Secondly, the attribution of the agency of our deeds to prakfits does not make Sri Krishna's teaching materialistic For, as He is careful to point out immediately. He teaches also that there is something beyond and above the gunas-the soul or God We know that, beyond and above the braktiti which constitutes our embodiment, we have our consciousness, our power to feel and our insight into the truth of things. That is, there is a spiritual entity in us which is responsible for our awareness of the self as well as of our conscious ness of the external world. We know also that in the whole universe, brought into existence and maintained by the play of the gunas of prakrits there is a Supreme Spirit, giving it energy and life, and directing the course of its evolution towards a predetermined end The expression, 'gunebhyah param', in this \$loka may, therefore be understood as referring either to the finite self or to God , in fact, both these interpretations are known to have been made by authoritative commentators

It is those who realise that the agency of all our deeds belongs to prakrits, while believing in a soul and a God transcending the three gunas—such men of vision alone attain to His state. They become God Himself or like unto Him. For the truths that they have realised lead them on to a course of conduct that frees them from the bondage of karma and confers on them the enduring salvation of moksha. What, indeed, that course of conduct is, will be taught in the verses that follow. But before beginning that topic, Sri Krishqa explains further the characteristics of the goal which is attained by those who are blessed with the vision described above.

गुणानेतानतीत्य चीत्रदेशी देहसमुद्ध्यात् । जन्ममृत्युज्ञरातु गेथिमुकोऽमृतमदनुते ॥ २० ॥

Lee LXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

20. The embodied (soul), transcending these three ginas born out of the material embodiment, enjoys immortality, freed from birth, death, sensitivy and sorrow.

The association of the soul with the body imposes on it the pleasures and pains of the experiences of the body. In a figurative way, we may speak of the embodied soul as being born, growing and dying Birth and death here refer merely to material conditions of existence, and the interval from birth to death is a series of mutations. The soul, which is essentially immortal and immaterial, has no part in these changes, in particular, it must not feel the pain which accompanies the process of life But in order that it may realise this fact, it must free itself from the influence of the three gunas, emanating from its material embodiment. This can be done only in the manner suggested in floka 18, that is, by making ourselves more and more amenable to the influence of sattra and less and less subject to ratas and tamas, as a preliminary step towards rising above all the gunas It is only by gradual progress won in life after life that we can attain a stage when death will bring us into the worlds of those who know the Highest. The severance of the painful association of the body with the soul must for ever be our object. By full use of the opportunities that meet us here and hereafter we may attain the goal of immortality, if not at the conclusion of this life. at least after a few more incarnations

Please allow me to conclude here our work for to day

lvisi

We have been taught so far many important facts about the ginas of prakrit; We have learnt that they all tend to bind the soul and that each of them does it in its own characteristic fashion. The way of release has also been shown to us. From the prison of prakrit; we can win our freedom only by taking advantage of the conditions characteristic of it. It is really a wonderful arrange ment that we have to take note of Our ideal must be to release the soul from the bondage of matter. But it can be attained, not by refusing to have anything to do with matter, but by utilising it in the right manner. The body must be directed to serve the ends of the soul. That is the one and only way of liberation.

We have already seen how the path of freedom may be presented. In the first place, we must realise that most of our work in this world is impelled and executed by the gunas of prakrit. The soul is not really the agent of our deeds. From this it follows that we must give up our feelings of i ness and mine ness. It may not be easy all at once to put this precept into practice. We may, however, develop the power of our will to rise above the impulses of prakrit, by making the right choice among the various gunas that tend to influence us. The satista is the most desirable amongst the gunas of prakrit, and by placing ourselves under its sway, we will progress in the practice of virtue and gain the power to rise above the gunas stogether. When we tise above the gunas born out of the prakrit of the body then we cure ourselves of the disease of birth, death and old age.

It may be observed that the logic so far has been perfectly coherent If the gunas are the responsible agents of our activities, then the soul has nothing to do with them It has no right to claim anything that we win or achieve in life. All tendencies in favour of selfishness seem meaningless We must order our lives in a manner which gives no scope for the play of selfishness or sensuality Such is the inevitable inference that we have to draw from the facts that have been taught. But the question may be caused Is this kind of life possible? His it ever been lived at all, or is it merely a metaphysical dream? Example, after all traches better than precept can we see any one leading this kind of selfless life? At this stage of his enlightenment, Arjuna has no doubts about the truth or reasonableness of Sri Krishna's teaching But he is anxious as a weak man to know whether this ideal course of conduct has ever been pursued and whether he can discover one pursuing it day by day and hour by hour So he asks

बर्जुन उचाच-

ँ तिर्देशिक्षतुणानेतानतीतो भवति प्रमो । पिमाचारः क्यं चैतार्छात् गुणानतिवर्तते ॥ २१ ॥

ARJUNA SAID

21. By what marks, O Lord, does one, who has risen above these three gents, become (characterised)? What is (his) manner of life? And how does (he) transcend these three gents?

Lec LXIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Arjuna desires to know the practical means by which it is possible to rise above the influence of the gunas of prakriti in our daily life. If a select few are leading such a life, how are we to know it? What are the observable characteristics from which we can infer their state of perfection and distinguish them from the common run of humanity? That is the first question. Arjuna then goes on to ask what manner of life is led by them. This is not far different from the question that has gone before. We may in fact look upon these two questions as one relating to the way of life of the gunātita. When we have learnt to distinguish those who have risen above the gunas of prakriti from those who are still under their sway, we will feel curious about the methods by which the gunas have been subdued. That is why Arjuna acks as his third and last question. What is the means that one has to adopt to become a gunatita?

श्रीमगत्रानुवाच-

प्रकादां च प्रवृत्तिं च मोहमेव च पाण्डव । न द्वेष्टि संप्रवृत्तानि न निरृत्तानि काङ्ग्रति ॥ २२ ॥

SRĪ KRISHNA SAID.

22 Illumination, activity, and even delusion, O Arjuna, (he) hates not, when present, nor longs after, when absent.

We have seen that prākāsa or illumination is the mark of the satīta guña, that pravriti or aggressive activit; characterises rajus, and that moha or delusion is the distinguishing attribute of the tamo guña Now, whether we are saints or sinners, all the three gunas act upon us In different persons and at different times, different gunas dominate. At one time, satīta mas be predominant at another, rajas or tamas may prevail. When a particular guna is dominant, its characteristic quality is particular guna is dominant, its characteristic quality is sampravritat in relation to us. When satīta is supreme, the light of wisdom keeps us company, and both selfish activity and delusion are far from us. That is, when the distinguishing property of one of the gunas is in the ascendant, the characteristics of the other two gunas are more or less absent. Now, in the course of our lives, there may be changes in the strength

of the different gunas in relation to us from time to time So long as we have not risen to the height of the gunatita, it is only natural to feel particularly pleased or displeased with the praviitio of some gunas and the ministri of the test. It is only those who have transcended the three gunas, that will neither hate the influence of the three gunas, when present, nor long for them, when absent.

Let us pay a little more attention to this question. What is exactly meant by the statement that the gunditta neither hates nor loves the influence of the gunas of prakfiti? So long as our souls are clothed in flesh, so long we cannot hope to cut ourselves completely asunder from some kind of association with prakriti Both the saint and the sinner are spirits dwelling in bodies The saint is not an emancipated soul any more than the sinner is an embodiment of the gunas of praktiti alone They differ from each other in the degrees in whi h the spirit and the flesh manifes their power in relation to them And as we have seen moral progress is synonymous with submission to the influence of the sativa guna in preference to the other two gunas. But a stage is reached at last, when through the spiritual illumination afforded by the dominance of sattva, it is possible to live a life in which the spirit views with deliberate unconcern the adventures of the body in the world of samsara There is no longing even after the sukha and jñāna that are the gifts of satica But a devotee who is altogether lost in his devotion to God, feels that he would rather continue an embodied soul than realise the emancipation of moksha In this way, attachment to the wisdom and the happiness the sweetness and the light, of satica may at times act

Take the life of a man who is practising the life of perfection with a view to become ultimately a ginatita. It is clearly essential in his case that he should be under the dominant sway of safts. But he looks upon this only as a means towards a further ond. He practises non attachment even towards the suitha and jadna that come in the wake of safts. After a time, indeed, he may possess these as a matter of course. And there is no need to pline after what is already in your possession. It is, however, well to note that even one well set on the road to perfection may occasionally experient e the effects of the other two ginas. In a

Lec. LXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

attachment to the objects of the senses or be actuated by a greed for gold, which alone can buy all the good things of this world. Likewise, such a person will feel equally disposed in regard to what is pleasant and what is unpleasant and will neither welcome flatter; nor resent censure. He will follow the course of conduct that the interests of his soul require, undeterred by pain or pleasure, praise or blame. The mastery of his will over his senses is complete. He is a dhira and is fully endowed with the heroism of the spirit

मानावमानयोस्तुल्यस्तुल्यो मित्रारिपक्षयोः । सर्वारभपरित्यागी गुणातीतस्त उच्यते ॥ २५ ॥

25 He is said to have transcended the gmas, who is the same in honour and dishonour, and equally disposed towards his friends and his foes, and who has given up all (selfish) endeavour.

Honour and dishonour arise from the opinions entertained by society. And it cannot be denied that motal progress is often due to the desire to achieve honour and spurn dishonour. When the gupātita is described as one who is the same in honour and dishonour, we must not understand that his attitude is in any way similar to that of the hardened sinner who recks not the ill opinions of the world. Unless we care for mana and hate avamana, we cannot progress in moral life. But to the pilgrim on the path to perfection, there comes a stage when he is well established in satita and when a life of selfa-siness and service results spontaneously from his inward illumination. I is only then that he can become a gunatita and disregard the opinion of the world in shaping his conduct. His attitule to virds praise and blame is then one of inner indifference.

In like manner, we have to understand the statement that the gunatita treats his friends and foes alike. It would be obviously foolish to understand this to mean that he treats his friends as foes and foes as friends. Love towards friends is a valuable stimulus to selflessness in the life of this world, and this fact is in no way ignored by what is taught here. We are now dealing with one who has reached the ultimate stage of perfection possible for man

Such a person will be actuated with love towards all, the attitudes and dispositions of other persons towards him can have no modifying influence on his own attitude of spontaneous love and actvice in relation to them

Finally, he is described as sarvārambha paritjagin, one who has given up all selfish work. By arambha, we have to understand such work as, having a motive behind it, is calculated to continue the bondage of the soul. It is well to note here once again that no one can give up all work and lead a life of passive inaction. So long as the soul is encased in flesh, work of some kind is nevitable. The spirit is in a prison and must obey the discipline of its gaol but one can feel detached towards the work that is required of one in this world, one can lead a life which is characterised by the dispassionate performance of one's duty. One can realise that work in this world of samsara is impelled and executed by the gunas of praktis and refuse to attribute to the soul the agency which belongs to the gunas. It is such an attitude that is required of the gunastia. He will live and labour like the rest of mankind, his work.

This verse completes the description begun some time ago of the way of life of the gunatita Some of you may have observed that in most respects, it resembles the account given of the sage of steady wisdom in Chapter II of the youn in Chapter VI, and of the bhakta in Chapter XII This resemblance is due to the fact that every way of approach to God is built on the unalterable foundations of ethics Whether one is a bhakta or a yogin a follower of the path of karma or a g maiita, one must lead a life of samatua and service We may all attain in different ways the power to live that kind of life which opens the door way of Heaven But that life will not differ in its fundamentals from person to person In fact, we have all along seen that differences in metaphysics such as divide our great acharyas, do not give rise to differences of opinion in regard to the course of practical conduct that is recommended in the Gita When looking at the GItā as the science of conduct every one reads practically the same lesson from it Sri Krishna now proceeds to explain how the life of the gunatita may be lived

Lec LXIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

state of physiological ill health, for instance, the intellect may be clouded and the darkening effect of tamas felt But he will not degrade his soul by desiring a different kind of material influence, he will try as far as possible to dissociate his soul from all kinds of material influence whatever His conduct will be guided by his conviction that the life of samsara is largely a life of the body The effects of the gunas are like the clouds that pass between us and the sun The internal illumination of the siddha is like the brilliant light of the sun. The clouds cannot dim the intrinsic lustre of the sun; and even to us on earth they act only as a temporary screen of the luminary beyond It is only those who lack discernment, men whose vision is obscured by the passing clouds, that will wonder how a man who is engaged in active work or, indeed, is manifesting the effect of any of the gunas of praktits, can ever be a siddha

Further details of the life of the gunatita are given below

,उदासीनवदासीनो गुणैर्यो न विचाल्यते । गुणा वर्तन्त इत्येव योऽवतिष्ठति ,नेहते ॥ २३ ॥

23 He (is said to have transcended the ginas), who remains like one indifferent, who is not moved by the ginas, who stands firm and does not act (thinking) that the ginas work themselves out

The soul of the siddha is seated within his embodiment, like one altogether indifferent to the activities of the prakrit of his body. Being indifferent, that soul is not agitated in any way either favourably or unfavourably by the gunas of prakrit. It knows that work in this world is done by the gunas of prakrit and refuses to identify itself therewith. In other words, the gunatited infers from other men only in his serene detachment from the life of samsara. He does not lead an unnatural or incomplete life. The gunas act in his life as in that of others, but he sees to it that there is no attachment between his soul and the results of his embodied life. Light and shade, pleasure and pain these mark the course of our life. From time to time, they come and go, but the soul must remain unshaken,

unmoved either plasantly or unpleasantly in relation to the natural occurrences of life

समदुःससुसस्स्यस्यस्समछोष्टाइमकांचनः । तुस्यवियात्रियो घीरस्तुस्यनिन्दात्मसंस्तुति ॥ २८ ॥

24 He (is said to have transcended the gunas) who is the same in pain and pleasure, who remains in his self, to whom a clod of earth, a stone and gold are the same, who regards alike what is pleasant and what is unpleasant, who is of strong, unconquerable will, who is equally disposed towards praise and blame

The gunatita regards equally pleasure and pain, he neither welcomes the one nor hates the other As we have seen pleasure and pain form a necessary part of our experience, they colour in fact all experience But it is part of wisdom to refuse to become a slave to them Our ideal in life must be something higher than the mere pursuit of pleasure and avoidance of pain To guide our life by our likes and dislikes is to court ruin we know, the pleasures and pains which an embodied being feels are not essentially and enduringly related to the soul, but they are mostly due to the transient and accidental contacts of the soul with its material embodiment If our souls are to attain freedom, it is essential that we learn the art of greeting with equanimity the pleasures and the pains which the natural course of our lives gives rise to Our aspirations and activities must centre round the soul That is why the gunatita is described as svastha, one who is established in himself This does not, of course, mean that he is self centred. When the soul realises its true function and concerns itself with the activities proper to itself, it will refuse to be affected by the forces of prikrit; By the description of the gunatica as suastha, it is meant to be conveyed that he has realised the true nature and function of his soul

If the soul is the pearl of great price, the things of the world lose their value and significance. Gems and gold, clods and atones, all are the same. All indeed, is vanity and versition of spirit. There is no reason why one who has understood the supreme importance of the desting of the soul, should have any

I (C I \III) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

मां च योऽज्यमिचारेण भक्तियोगेन सेवते । स गुणान्समतीत्येतान ब्रह्मभ्याय कल्पते ॥ २६ ॥

26. And whoever serves Me with unswerving devotion—he, rising above the gunas, becomes fit for the attainment of the Brahman

To enable one to lead the life of the gunatita, the adoption of bhakts 30ga is here recommended It is to be borne in mind that the bhakti yoga which is prescribed must be avyabhichara This may be understood as meaning that the way of devotion alone must be resorted to, and that the aspirant should take care to see that there is no admixture of karma and jnana in the practice of his bhakts-voga Such a view appears to me unduly narrow Why should Sri Krishna insist on a particular method of reaching the goal of highest perfection, to the exclusion of all others, when He has taught us definitely that God is the recipient of all kinds of worship? Men in all manner of ways follow His path Every rite and every religion is directed to the worship of the one only God of the Vedanta whatever may be the conscious objective of the worshippers That bring so, it is preferable to understand the significance of the epithet 'aryabhichāra', to be that the devotion which is recommended must have none other than God for its object. For we have also been taught that we attain such fruits only as are suited to our worship. It is only when we look upon Vasudeva as the All and the All in all that we are assured of the reward of enduring emancipation

When we realise that God is the receiver of all worship and the bestower of all rewards when we get a glimpse of His glory by learning a little of the royal secret of His simultaneous immanence and transcendence, then we will worship Him for the sole reason that it is our duty to do so. It is such disinterested devotion that it is our duty to do so. It is such disinterested devotion that it is our duty to do so. It is such disinterested devotion that all the things of this world lose their value and one acquires that all the things of this world lose their value and one acquires that equality of temperament and evenness of outlook which constitute the inner indifference of the gunatita. By such a life of samatva and service, we become fit out on the Brahman that is we become the Brahman that is we become the Brahman that is supreme value of devotion has thus once again been emphasized

and in the next \$loka Sti Krishna reminds us of the great lesson already taught, that a life of devotion to God means a life of samatva and service here and of eternal bliss hereafter

ब्रह्मणो हि प्रतिष्ठाद्दममृतस्याव्ययस्य च । साम्बतस्य च धर्मस्य सुष्ठस्यैकान्तिरस्य च ॥ २७ ॥

27 For I am the basic support of the world of matter and of that which is indestructible and immortal, of everlasting righteousness and of complete bliss.

There is no unanimity of opinion about the interpretation of the word, 'brahman', which occurs in the first line of this verse It has been understood to refer to the Para Brahman, the Vedas, Lakshmi and prakriti If we adopt the view that it 15 the Para Brahman that 15 mentioned here, then the statement that Stl Krishna is the pratishtha or support of the Brahman can mean nothing more than an assertion of absolute identity between Sri Krishna and the Absolute It appears to me, however, more appropriate to the context to look upon the word, 'brahman', as standing for ptakritt, or as I have translated it, the world of matter You may recall that at the commencement of this chapter (in sloka 4) the word has already been used in this somewhat unusual sense The conjunction, 'cha', occurring at the end of the first line seems to imply that two distinct things are mentioned therein. If one of them is amrita and avyaya, immortal and undecaying and the other is the brahman then surely this brahman cannot be the Absolu e, for there seems to be an implied contrast between the brahman on the one hand and what is amfita and avyaya on the other Of course, there is not the least difficulty in looking upon God as the support of prakrets, for we have already seen that God pervades, penetrates and controls the whole universe of matter and energy and consciousness In other words, prakist; is what it is b-cause of God, it depends for its very existence on

Now let us try to see what that imperishable immortality is of which also Sri Krushpa declares himself to be the prop and foundation. Very obviously the reference is to the world of

Lee LXIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

mind, as contradistinguished from the world of matter. Even in the second chapter, Sri Krishna has explained that the fundamental difference between matter and soul consists in the fact that, while the former is mutuble and mortal, the latter is immutable and immortal. And just as God is the praisibility of prakfilit, He is the foundation and support of spirit. In other words, both matter and mind rest on God and depend on Him for their very existence.

Then Sri Kṛishṇa proceeds to add that He is the support and foundation of everlasting righteousness as well. We have had occasion to see that God alone can be the authoritative basis and occasion to see that God alone can be the authoritative basis and of the authoritative basis and sanction of all morality. Statuta tharma is the everlasting rule of life, which naturally follows from a knowledge of the nature of the fartition and the world of of prakrit; as being supported by God and of the world of of the most continue to be the same as long as this prakrit; rule of life must continue to be the same as long as this prakrit; and the world of immortality continue to remain unaltered. And and the world of immortality continue to remain unaltered. And and the world of immortality continue to mind. If we believe in the ultimate most indestructibility of the nature of prakriti and the ultimate immortality of the soul, we cannot help believing in the ultimate tectitude of righteousness, which is enduring and which endures because God is its support.

When we follow this rule of righteousness, we attain unto perfect bliss In the worldlof samsara, many experiences come our way Some of them are pleasant, but most of them give us pain. And even as the dusk follows the dawn and midnight treads on the heels of noon, pain hurries in the wake of pleasure There is, indeed, no pleasure so exquisite or perfect as to give us lasting satisfaction Besides, pleasures also tend to weaken our moral fibre, you know how the experience of practical wisdom has come to the conclusion that one's true worth is always tested in adversity Attachment is the cause of all bondage, and there is no force that forges fetters for our souls so strongly and quickly as the pleasures of this world But a different happiness awaits us as the pleasures of this world in the world of indestructible immortality, which is the natal home as well as the destined goal of the soul In fact, it would be wrong as well as the destined goal of the same all limitations, it is to call it 'pleasure', it is happiness free from all limitations, it is perfect, unqualified, divine bliss

Here then are some of the important questions that we have to answer, if we are to attain this askāntiska sukha by following the rule of eternal righteourness. We have to know this world in which we have to live and labour, and we have also to know whether there is anything beyond and above it. If there are values to be attained which transcend this world, we have to know the method by which they may be attained. Sri Krishija has dealt with all these questions briefly here. He is the support of this world as well as of the world beyond, where one may enjoy perfect world as well as of the world beyond, where one may enjoy perfect attain that blustful world. This rule of life by which one may attain that blustful world. This rule of hife is the rule of rectitude and rightcourness, and it is as enduring as the everlasting nature of God Himself.

What we have considered so far is only one of the many possible interpretations of this interesting and important \$loka According to Rāmānujācharya, we have here a reference to the goals attained by the various classes of bhaktas referred to in Chapter VII, verse 16 You may remember that this teacher reduced the four types mentioned there to three Arta and artharthin were grouped together as one, for both are seekers of prosperity and power One who has lost what he had and seeks it anew is arta, one who wants wealth and power, having never had them before is artharthin These, according to Sri Ramanuja, worship God with the object of attaining aifvarya Then there is the 113 nasu, the seeker after knowledge the knowledge here referred to 15 not the knowledge of the shann, who realises that Vasudeva is All and All in All, but only the knowledge of the nature of the soul as fundamentally distinct from the embodiment in which it is forced to dwell It is believed by Rāmānujācharya that self realisation may lead one to the state known as hasvaly a or self abidance, when the soul real ses its inherent freedom and bliss but fails to understand its utter dependence on God Lastly, there is the jnanin who is devoted to God b-cause it is his duty

In this verse Sri Rāmānuja explains, it is taught that God is the sole bestower of the results and rewards attained by these three types of worshippers. All of them attain the state known as gindings or the tra scendence of the three ginas of prakfish by being devoted to God. Thereafter, their own ideals and

Lec LXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

inclinations determine the gosls that they severally reach. The "jijhāsu attains the Brahman, that is to say, the state of self-abdance, when the soul realises its lonely majest; and solutary grandeur; it is this kau alja which is mentioned in the previous Aloka. The ārta and arthārthin attain the eternal dharma, that is to say, they attain the prosperity and power that are the rewards of thou who follow the injunctions of dharma. Lastly, the jiānin, who is dear to the Lord and who worships. Him with disinterested and "single-minded devotion, attains the perfect and complete bliss of eternal beatitude.

Sankarachārya renders the śloka in yet another manner Sti Krishna is understood to say in effect "I am that in which abides the Brahman, which is immortal and changeless, which is the goal of eternal dharma and whose nature is supreme bliss" In other words, the Isvara is identified with the Nirgunabrahman, for there lies between them only such a difference as divides energy from the possessor of energy

With the consideration of this stanza, we finish our study of the fourteenth chapter And as usual, before proceeding further, we will do well to make a brief survey of the teachings of this chapter It is called, as you are well aware, gung traya-vibhagasoga, and as we have seen, it deals with the distinctive characteristics of the three gunas of prakriti 'nrakriti', corresponds roughly to what in European philosophical parlance is described as matter. It is a principle or category of existence which is fundamentally distinct from what is often called the soul or 'mind'. The vital difference between soul and matter consists in this, that while the former is immutable and immortal, the latter is mutable and mortal. The essential nature of the soul is blissful awareness. In the condition of embodied existence, the soul loses its pristine purity and power and becomes subject to numerous limitations. This, it is taught, is due to the influence of Larma

Now, all this is more or less similar to the Sankhyan analysi of the universe into praktist and purusha, the inert and the conscious. Most of the technical terms of the Sankhya philosophy are found in the Gita. It is conceived by Kapila and his followers that matter undergoes a series of evolutionary modifications from

its original condition of undifferentiated homogeneity. And for all these changes, it is believed, the cause is to be found in the interplay of what are called the gunus of prakfiti. They are constituents of prakfiti, prakfiti is composed of them. They are three in number and are called sativa, rayas and tamas. When the three gunus are in a state of balance, prakrit is in its state of restful harmony. All the other conditions of matter are due to the dominance of one or other of these gunus.

It is important to stress here the fact that the gunas are material In Sanskrit metaphysics, the concept of matter is given a more extended significance than is warranted by our common usage It is held that the field of matter includes much that we are apt to look on as psychological In fact, experience in this world is conceived to be due largely to the association of the soul with matter, and hence much of our thinking and feeling in this life is held to be material. To liberate ourselves from bondage. we must refuse to identify ourselves with the work that we have to do in life The gunas of prakriti impel and execute all work in this world Each of these guttas has distinctive characteristics Sattva is luminous and pleasant, rajas is stimulating and active, and tamas is dark and dull These guras of praktiti may be looked upon roughly as states of matter, or as matter with varying distributions of energy But it is also believed that they have a mental and moral significance This is easily explained, if we understand that Indian thought believes in a close correspondence between the physical constitution on the one hand and the mental and moral temperament on the other of every individual Some modern psychologists also maintain, as we have seen, that the structure and composition of a man's brain are very largely responsible for the intellectual power and moral strength which he possesses and displays in life. How and in what manner the atructure of the brain and in consequence a man's moral and inteli-ctual endowment is moulded is a large question, faith in karma and heredity helps the Vedāntin to solve this problem to some extent It is in this way that we have to understand the correspondence between the gunas of praktiti and various

It has also been shown to us that the mental and moral equipment with which a man starts in life need not hinder him

Lec LXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

from progress in spiritual life. For though some one guna of praktiti dominates any particular individual, all the gunas are present in all And it is open to any one to place himself more and more under the sway of the desirable sativa and increase his chances of salvation as the days go by and one embodiment succeeds another But even the sattva guna has a binding tendency, it makes people fall in love with its own sweetness and light and thus delays the day of final release. The essential priliminary to obtain freedom for the soul consists in the acquisition of a serene detachment of spirit, which views with deliberate unconcern the noise and tumult of daily life, but yet succeeds in stimulating a life of loving service and utter selfabnegation No better method of arquiring this state of rare spiritual perfection can be thought of than that of heart-felt devotion to God In the daz-ling splendour of this radiant love. all lesser attachments fade away as the stars before the sun Whatever the goal we may have in view it is the Lord who helps us to reach it. For He is the foundation and support of this universe and of the world beyond the authority and sanction behind the law of righteousness and the guarantor of perfect and unending bliss to the soul at the end of its wanderings

A very interesting analysis of the cortents of this chapter has been made by Yamunacharya in his Gitarthasangraha He

गुणवंधविधा तेषां कर्तृत्वं तक्षिवर्तनम् । गतित्रयस्यमुख्यां चतुर्दश उदीर्गते ॥

The fourteenth (chapter) declares the various ways in which the giras bind, the responsibility of prakriti for all actions, release therefrom and the divine foundation for all the three soals

Most of the essential points of the foregoing discussion have been brought our succinity here. The main point to be noted is that if e gunas tend to bind, so long as we fail to understand that they alone are the agents of all our deeds. When this is understood and we get rid of selfishness, then the gunas no longer bind us. The state of final liberation is then near at hand. And the Lord rewards us according to our deserts. We may attain the

Lec LXIV] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

understand it to refer to $sams\bar{a}ra$, that is, the series of successive bitths and deaths that is imposed on all souls bound by the chains of karma The visible universe wherein we live is often spoken of as the world of $sams\bar{a}ra$, and there is no great harm in equating this with what is spoken of as the phenomenal universe in European thought. The ascattha tree symbolises not merely $sams\bar{a}ra$, but also the world wherein the life of $sams\bar{a}ra$ must be lived by the embodied soul. The physical universe of matter and energy, and our lives of varied experiences are comprehensively brought under the metaphor of the tree.

Let us now go back a little You may remember that in the last stanza of the fourteenth chapter, the term, 'brahman', was used in the rather peculiar sense of prakrist, the visible, material world. There it was stated that God is the mainstay and support of this universe. If the abvatha tree stands for the visible universe and the life of sams\$\bar{x}\alpha_i\$ it is now easy to see that its roots must be above. For it proceeds from God, who is its praisiting, and naturally He is above this world of ours. It also follows that these roots spreading upwards to God will be ordinarily invisible. The phenomenal universe and the life of embodied beings within it constitute the downward spreading branches that fall within the range of our vision.

This tree is declared to be indestructible and eternal, because we cannot say when creation began, nor again when it will cease to be You may ask of course, whether we can speak of the world of samsara as eternil, when souls are described in the scriptures as ever and anon attaining liberation. It is rectainly true that souls may and often do attain freedom from the bondage of matter and win the salvation of moksha. And the attainment of moksha certainly means that there is no further compulsion on the enfranchited soul to live the life of samsara. The liberated live in that other world of indestructible immortality, of which also God is the pratishtha. But if they so choose, out of their own free will, they can enter again the world of matter, it seems to me that Sri Krishna has told us that they do so frequently. Sri Krishna Himself was born into the world of mait in order that righteousness might be upheld

Now it is plain that any soul which has attained salvation need not live in the world of samsara, it is possible for such a soul to be free from any contact whatsoever with the world of matter But this does not mean that the world of prakriti must cease to be, when any soul has gained the blissful freedom of moksha In fact, we cannot even say that the world of praktitis must cease to exist when all the souls attain freedom So long as we hold that matter and spirit are distinct categories of existence, the separation of one from the other and the ending of all association between the two cannot be regarded as bringing destruction to one of the two That is why Indian thought looks upon both praktits and purusha as unborn and eternal And this view appears to me to be supported by the conclusion of modern science that matter is in essence indestructible. It can be modified it can pass from one state of agregation to another, grosser or finer, but it can never be annihilated You can now see how it is not possible for us to trace back this phenom nal universe to a point of time when it was not nor foresee a state of things when it will cease to be. The asvattha tree, therefore, deserves to be called indestructible

The leaves of this tree are the Vedas On the exact significance of this statement, different opinions are held by the authoritative commentators It has been suggested tha even as it is the function of the leaves of a tree to protect and nourish it, the karma kanda of the Vedas protect and nourish the life of samsara If this view is adopted no flattering reference can be understood to have been made to the ritualistic portion of the Vedas This however will hardly surprise any one who his studied the Gita with some care Sri Krishna frequently emphisises the fact that the performance of Vedic rituals with selfish ends in view can never lead us on to the salvation of soul emancipation and God attainment And it may be urged that this interpretation is supported by the description in the next verse of the objects of senses (tishaya) as tender shoots (pravala) One difficulty, however, may be felt Of the two references to the Vedas in this stanta, if the first may be thus taken to refer to the karma kan la of the Vedas, the second cannot be understood otherwise than as an allusion to the jadna kanda So much for this view

Lec LXIVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Now, leaves constitute in more than one sense an important part of a plant. They help it to breathe and live, they supply to it mexhaustible energy from the sun. The condition of leaves generally indicates the state of health of the plant. The leaves precede and in a sense lead on to flowering and fruiting Considerations such as these may induce us to look upon the expression. 'chhandamsi', as indicating the most valuable part of the Revelation The leaves of the advattha tree, we may say, have a special value in enabling us to undertand the life of the tree itself. In this world there is nothing more valuable than the Vedis. For if the soul is to attain perfect bliss it must observe the sasvata dharma. of which God is the stay and support. And the Vedas teach us this everlasting rule of righteousness and elevate us to the world of indestru tible immortality and the enjoyment of the askantikasukha, of which S I Krishna spoke in the last sloka of the fourteenth chapter. They are the means by which we can attain salvation. The Vedas belong to this world because they are spoken words But they remain the most valuable part of this 'phenomenal' universe. They constitute the manifestation of the real life of this asvattha tree, whose invisible roots link it with God Himself

One who understands the truth about this fig tree is here declared to be a knower of the Vedas A Vedavit is not one who can chant all the hymns of the Vedas without the slightest error. he must know their meaning and understand the main purport of th ir teachings. To know the truth about the tree implies the knowledge of the divine support and foundation for the lite of sams tra and the realisation that the only goal worth striving for is the liberation of the soul from the bondage of matter. This of course does not mean that men must lead stunted or incomplete live nor that they should retire from the stress of life. It is alwa s well to remember that Sri Krishna s teaching as directed to make Ariuna fight in the great war. The Gita does not encourage passive maction. It teaches the necessity of work, but warns us against attaching ourselves to our work and achievement | Iudged by a true scale of values there is nothing worthy of our attachment in this world Let us do the duties that our embodied condition of existence imposes on us, but let us at the same time take care not to pin our faith and ambition to this world If we are wise.

we will strive to reach that other world of immortality, where there is perfect bliss. It is this view of life which is the burden of the Vedas A Vedavit must be one fully steeped in this teaching Knowing the truth about the fig tree means, therefore, knowing its position in the scheme of things. It includes the conviction that he life of $sams\bar{a}ra$ imposes l imitations on the soul and that the soul must try to reach God, who is the foundation and support of $sams\bar{a}ra$ through the divinely ordained law of righteousness. That is how we have to equate the knowledge of the Vedas

बधश्चोर्भ्वं च प्रस्तास्तस्य शासा गुणप्रवृद्धा विषयप्रतालाः । अधश्च मूलान्यसुसन्ततानि कर्मानुगन्धीनि मनुष्यलोके ॥ २ ॥

2. Above and below are spread its branches, nourshed by the gunar (of prakrit) and with the objects of the senses as their tender shoots, and down to the world of men extend (its) roots that result from karma

We were told in the last verse that the branches of the asvattha tree spread below, we now learn that they are spread above and below Some of you may feel that there is a contradiction here A moment's consideration, however, is enough to show us that we are merely told here that the branches which are below the roots, spread both above and below That is to say, in the region in which they extend, they spread in all directions These branches are nourished by the gunas of prakfits The life of samsara derives its sustenance from the gunas, though it is the soul which experiences, the raw matter of experience is furnished by prakrit. In this sense, the life of samsāra is fed and nourished by the gunas of praktiti objects of the senses, which constitute the physical basis of the phenomenal universe, sprout out from these branches There are also roots which extend down to the world of men remember that in the last floka we learnt that the roots of this asvattha tree reach upwards to the feet of God What then are these roots, which are here declared to extend down to the world of men? I think it is clear that the roots that are now referred to are different from the roots formerly spoken of In the language of botany, we may say that these roots are dependent roots

Lec. LXIVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

You have all seen the banyan tree. It has, of course, main roots digging deep into earth, but you must also have observed root-like structures growing from the branches and spreading down to the ground. These latter are called dependent roots. Now, the abratha tree has its main roots extending upwards to God. But it has also dependent roots reaching down to the world of men. And the nature of these roots is determined by karma. In other words, these roots bind the embodied beings in the world of men by means of their karma.

The stanza is thus a description of the visible part of the great advattha tree. The purport of this stock is different from that of the first, which delineates the entire tree with its invisible roots. The visible part consists of the branches with their leaves and dependent roots. Of these a detailed description is found here. But even of this visible part of the tree in which our life is cast, Srl Krishna proceeds to point out, we can get only a slimmse here.

न रुपमस्पेह तथोपलम्यते नान्तो न चादिनं च संप्रतिष्ठा । अध्वत्थमेनं सुविरूडमूलमसङ्गरास्त्रेण दढेन छित्वा । ३ ॥

ततः पद तत्वरिमार्गिनव्य यस्मिन्गता न निवर्तन्ति भूयः। तमेव चार्यं पुरुप प्रपद्येयतः प्रवृत्तिः प्रस्ता पुराणी ॥ ४ ॥

3-4. In this manner, its form is not comprehended here, nor its end, nor its beginning, nor yet its support. Having cut this firm-rooted asynatiba tree with the strong sword of non-attachment, that seat has then to be sought for, wherefrom those who go there never return, and one should seek refuge with that primal Person from whom this ancient process emanated.

The complete outline of even the visible part of the tree with its radiating branches, its tender shoots and dependent roots, sannot be perceived by any one here in this world of samsāra. We cannot say where the branches end or begin. Think of a big banyan tree extending over an acre of land. And suppose you place yourself quite close to it. How much of this tree can you see at a time? Not the whole tree surely. It will be possible for

you only to see a small part of it. In a like manner, only a tiny bit of this vast phenomenal universe comes within the range of your observation and experience. But that ought to be sufficient to enable you to make out that the branches spread in all directions, that they are nourished by the gunas of prakrit and have vishaya for their tender shoots. But what you see does not help you to determine how big the tree is, where it begins and ends. We have already seen that we cannot make out either theginning or the end of samsara. But we do understand something of it. And it is this something which has been described in the previous stanza.

You may say that to be able to know the truth about a thing, we must know its origin as well as its culmination. That is of course true When you know these, your knowledge becomes certain, complete and perfect, but if you cannot know them, it does not follow that what you know is untrue or unreal You may remember that we were dealing with a similar case in discussing the anadstva of karma I remember to have drawn your attention then to the nature of our knowledge of a river If you do not know the source and mouth of a river, you cannot say that the river is unreal It means only that you know a part instead of the whole Similarly, the fact that we cannot know the beginning nor the end of this tree does no make it unreal Only a particular part of the tree falls within the range of our experience It may be that different persons learn to know different parts of it, and that part is different from part. Each of the infinite number of beings in the universe has only a partial and incomplete knowledge of the tree, and none knows the whole This does not entitle us to hold that the tree of the universe is non-

We cannot also see its sampratishthat. The beginning and the end of this tree must be parts of this visible universe, for and the end of the tree must le within its borders. But even these are beyond our knowledge. Some may be near the 'beginning' or knowledge thereof but not as the beginning or the end, since such precise knowledge involves knowledge of the whole While

Lec. LXIV] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

thus even the beginning and the end of this tree elude our knowledge, it is hardly surprising that's hat is above and beyond the universe and is its foundation and support should lie beyond the ambit of our knowledge. The whole of this universe cannot fall within the range of our perception; its invisible divine stay and support lies even farther away from the field of our vision.

The roots of this tree are well-grown and firmly established. Two kinds of roots, you may remember, were spoken of, and both these may well be understood to have been referred to here. The roots by which the tree derives its sustentation and support from the one and only store-house of life and energy in the universe, as well as the roots by which embodied souls are bound to this world, are siverifidha But, as will be seen presently, the dependent roots of karma can be cut as under and destroyed, while the male roots are indestructible.

Such in brief is an outline of this asvattha tree. Sri Krishna now proceeds to state that it has to be cut by the weapon of non-attachment. Let us try to understand clearly what this cutting means. It cannot mean a fatal rending and splitting of the tree It does not lie within our power to annihilate this phenomenal universe, which is indestructible. We cannot cut and destroy what is immortal We cannot divide the tree from its divine foundation and deprive it of its support and sustenance. But every embodied being is imprisoned and bound by the dependent roots of karma. These, too, are well-grown and firmly established. And it is these which we must cut and destroy, if we are to rise to the world of immortality. It is this partial cutting which is referred to in this sloka. No severance of the connection between the tree and its main roots in God can be meant here. The instrument with which we can cut ourselves away from the entangling roots of karma is the sword of nonattachment For, as we have seen, it is the attachment that we form to our work and to the results thereof that creates for us the bonds of karma Once we attain freedom from attachment, we are beyond the tyranny of karma.

Having cut the asvatiha tree in this manner and freed yourself from the entanglement of the dependent roots of karma, you have

to seek the abode of immortality, the seat of God. It is only when all attrehment has ceased that you can commence to seek the world of immortality. So long as the least taint of selfishness lurks, so long your attempts to attain salvation will not succeed. That is why Sri Kriihna makes use of the expression, 'tatah' (then); it clearly signifies that the tree must be cut before the search is begun for that bourns whence no traveller returns. Returns, that is, under compulsion For there is nothing to prevent the emancipated souls from re-entering the world of samsāra, when they want to help those who are struggling down there.

That abode of immortality is your destined goal as well as your natal home Trailing clouds of glory do we come from the feet of God and thither do we return at the end of our long pilgtimage. The stream of life and work took its rise there and will assuredly find its final haven of rest also there. To attain this consummation, we must surrender ourselves to God. Seeking the abode of immortality is to seek refuge at the feet of God. As we will see later, the surest and quickest way of reaching God is by the method of self surrender. With the utmost trust and confidence in His grace and with a felt realisation of our own numerous imperfections and weaknesses, we must throw ourselves entirely at His mercy, leaving it to Him to dispose us of, as He pleases.

It is well to note here some of the different readings adopted for the third line of the fourth floka. The translation given above follows the version of Rāmānujachārya and is based on the reading, prapadyst yatah. Sankarāchārya reads prapadys yatah and splits it up thiswise—prapadys yatah. In that case, the translation must tun: "One must then seek the abode of immortality, (thinking) 'I seek refuge (with Him) from whom ..." It up as prapadya yatah had coording to this view, the verse must be interpreted thus. Surrendering ourselves to God, from whom all this (visible universel) as prapag forth, we must seek the abode of immortality. It will be seen that the different readings and of interpretation noticed do not materially affect the main purport of the verse, which recommends seeking refuge at the lotus feet of God as the sureal means of salvation.

Lec LXIV] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

निर्मानमोद्दा जितसङ्गद्दोषा अध्यात्मनित्या विनिवृत्तकामाः । द्वन्दैर्विमुक्तास्सुष्यदु यसंद्वेर्गन्छन्त्यमुदाः पदमन्ययं तत् ॥ ५ ॥

5. Those who are free from pride and delusion, who have conquered the evil of attachment, who are constant in (the comtemplation of) the self, who have abandoned desire and who are free from the (influence of the) pair of opposites known as pleasure and pain, reach undeluded that immutable seat.

In the last floka we were taught that one must seek the seat of immortal bluss only after one acquires certain qualities and these were comprehensively described by the metaphor that first of all the afvattha tree must be firmly cut with the sword of detachment. That idea is further elaborated now. Those who reach that world of immortality wherein the attainment of God becomes possible, must be free from faults of intelligence and faults of emotion. Their head and heart must both be sound and sane.

They must be devoid of the pride and conceit arising out of a mistaken sense of their own importance. They must have a proper sense of values and suffer from no delusions of any kind Once the evil of attachment which springs from delusion is conquered, it is easy to contemplate the nature of the soul and its destiny Freedom from attachment to things of the world very naturally leads on to the transfer of our attention to the welfare of our souls. This, however, cannot be complete or satisfactory so long as a relish after the enjoyment of the objects of the senses persists. It is one thing to give up the things of this world, and it is quite another to give up the relish after enjoyment of those things. The desire to have more and more of things which happen to be pleasurable is different from attachment to things which one considers to be near and dear. Freedom from bama is even more diffi ult of accomplishment than freedom from attachment To suppress the tendency of the mind to run after objects of desire is the hardest of tasks A sannvasin cannot be said to be internally free unless the relish for enjoyment of the objects of the senses has left him, although he might have acquired a certain amount of external freedom by cutting himself

away from the obligations of family and social life, by owning no property and by deciding to live on whatever food he may obtain in charity. To give up the relish for things of enjoyment is more difficult than to give up the things of enjoyment themselves Unless the relish for things of things of enjoyment themselves unless the relish for things of this world is killed at the heart, one cannot be vinivitia kāma, and this is the most essential qualification for attaining unto that world of bliss and immortality

When one is free from all desires it follows that one will be free from the natural and universal tend-ney to like that which is agreeable and pleasant and dislike that which is disagreeable and unpleasant. Intellectual as well as moral wisdom comes to one who has attained these qualities, which open the gateway to immortality. Rich in the wisdom of the spirit, and free from agnorance of all kinds, he enjoys perfect bliss and attains unto God. It is thus abundantly clear that we must use above this world, if we are to conquer the next.

lxv

Last time I endeavoured to point out to you how the fifteenth chapter is a kind of commentary on the last \$Ioka\$ of the fourteenth chapter, wherein \$Si\$ Krishna declares that He is the foundation and support of this visible universe, of the world of indestructible immortality which appritation to the soul, of that rule of righteousness whereby souls pass from their life of material bondage to freedom and enjoyment of eternal bliss and of that divine and perfect happiness which belongs to the condition of the emancipated souls. We must try to se how these things are explained in the fifteen h chapter.

Set Krishpa began this chapter with the description of a prouliar afvaitha tree with its roots reaching upwards to God and its branches apread down below. It is awe saw a metaphor for this world of pain and pleasure of life and dea h. The figure of the tree with its roots in God brings home forcibly to us the fact that God is the support and basis of fits universe. The souls are cablined, cribbed, and confined here by the dependent roots of karma. If the souls are to rise from this world of samsfar to that other world wherein there is neither birth nor death then they

Lec LXV) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

must cut themselves free from the entanglements of karma. To win freedom from the bondage of karma and to help the soul to regain its own natural sphere of existence, it is necessary for us to be free from selfish attachment. When we have conquered the alluring influences of selfishness the roots of karma are cut asunder and the sates of Heaven open before us.

Now follows a description of that world of indestructible immortality, of perfect and undying bliss. It may be doubted whether the inture of that world can be fully understood by us. For we may be sure that the world of emancipated souls cannot be the world of space and time. It is the limitation which the phenomenal universe places uron the power of the soul, that is largely responsible for our apprehension of the universe as one of space and time. That other world is often spoken of as transcending space and time. What this means, it is hard to realise for our knowledge is bound up with ideas of space and time. Knowledge of what transcends this universe is ordinarily impossible for most if not, all of us. Language which has grown out of the notmal experience of mankind, cannot describe the world of immortality.

Even our mental conception of that world is bound to be more or less materialistic, being determined by the very nature and constitution of our minds. And any oral or written description must be much less adequate than our mental image of that universe. Still some kind of description is necessary, however vague or unsatisfactory if that world is to be something more than a mere word to us. In the verse that follows. Still Krishna attempts to describe what is essentially indescribable.

न तद्भासयते सूर्यो न दाशाङ्को न पावक । यद्दत्वा न नियर्तन्ते तद्धाम परमं मम ॥ ६॥

6 The sun does not illumine it, nor the moon, nor fite, it is My supreme abode, going to which they do not return

We have already seen what is meant by the statement that the blessed do not return to this world. They do not return under eompulsion, but they may and do return frequently with the beneficent object of guiding and redeeming those who are compelled to sojourn in the tragic world of samsāra. Sri Krishipa Himself came down to the world of men from His own supreme abode and lived here as a man among men for the establishment of righteousners, the Forection of the good and the destruction of the wicked So let us bear in mind that the declaration of Sri Krishipa that those who reach His supreme abode never return to this world means merely that they are fully free from the bondage of karma and are no longer compelled to be born again and again in the world of samsāra

Śri Krishņa describes His supreme abode as being lighted neither by the sun, nor by the moon, nor even by fire A cynic might infer with inexcusable flippancy that it must be a very dark place The true intention of \$ri Krishna in making this description is not very hard to seek What He means to convey may be gathered by considering certain phenomena which have come within the range of experience of most of us. In the presence of the sun, the moon retires into obscurity A lamp held in the sunlight fails to give us any light whatever It cannot, however, be that either the moon of the flame ceases to illumine in the presence of the sun They continue to serve as sources of light, but the intensity of the illumination that they produce is insignificant when compared with the incomparable radiance of the sun and thus they escape our notice In the presence of a larger and more intense light the small and weaker one naturally fades away It is in this sense that we have to understand the sta ement that in the supreme abode of the Lord the sun the moon and fire do not give any light A light is there which is more powerful and more intense than even the light of the sun Like a flame held in the sunlight, the sun appears thoroughly insignificant there, and when even the sun fails to be of any consequence there is no need to speak of the moon or of fire

It is of course, of some interest to ask whether, by this reference to light, we have to understand light in its ordinary physical sense of something which had a characteristic effect on the retina of our eyes, or whether we have to attribute thereto a figurative significance. In the latter case, light must stand for the

Lec LXV) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

principle of consciousness, which makes us aware of ourselves and of the world around us Light in this context, therefore, may mean simply splendour, or it may signify effulgence of power, knowledge and wisdom. Whatever it may mean, the underlying idea is clear. The most radiant thing in this world, whether in a physical or a metaphorical sense, pales into insignificance in the presence of the Lord. Notice that Sti Krishna speaks of this world of immortality as His own supreme abode. It is thus that He is the stay and support—the praisistifia—of that world which He described by the epithets, "avyaya" and "amrita", inexhaustible and immortal, in the last stanza of the fourteenth chapter.

Now, the question may arise if that other world of indestructible immortality, to which we have to rise, is the abode of the Lord Himself how are we entitled to make that our permanent home? It is not every one that can share our home with us. Strangers dare not make free of our homes. The fact that some one is sharing your home with you is enough to make others infer that that person is intimately related to you by ties of love or blood. Since Sri Krishna offers His home to those who surrender themselves to Him, it is reasonable to infer that some kind of intimate relationship must exist between the struggling souls in the world of samsara and the Lord Himself. Of that relationship, He now proceeds to speak.

ममैवाशो जीवलोके जीवभूतस्सनातन । मनष्पष्ठानीन्द्रियाणि ब्रह्मतिस्थानि वर्षति ॥ ७ ॥

7 It is an eternal part of Myself, which, having become an individual soul in the world of life, draws to itself the senses, which are seated in *prakriti*, and of which the mind is the sixth

All the souls in the universe have spring from God like sparks from a fire. Each is an eternal part of God, a fraction of the divine sesence. Nothing can be more closely related to us than an integral part of ourselves, and it is this intimate relation that enables the souls to claim the supreme abode of God as their own abiding home. All souls are of the nature of consciousness,

of knowledge and bliss, and in this they partake of the nature of God Himself It 15, however, necessary to note here that the idea of every soul being a part of God—the concept of amsa—has its own difficulties Let us take a mass of matter, which is divisible into parts If some of these parts are taken away, the original mass suffers diminution, it is so much less, proportionately In looking upon the individual soul as an amfa of God, we must guard ourselves against being influenced by materialistic analogies of

You may remember the famous text, which I quoted from the Upanishads in one of our former classes.

पूर्णमदः पूर्णमिदं पूर्णात्पूर्णमुद्रिच्यते । पूर्णस्य पूर्णमादाय पूर्णमेवायशिष्यते ॥

"That is infinite this is infinite. The infinite rises above and beyond the infinite. On taking the infinite out of the infinite,

Here, as I have told you before, we may see a reference to two manities, the visible universe and that other invisible world of mdestructible immortality You may remember, too, the memotable declaration in the Purusha-Sukta that all this visible universe is only a fourth of the great Purusha, three fourths of Him being immortal in Heaven There again, two infinites are referred to, and if we please, we may look upon the invisible infinite, which comprises three-fourths of the Purusha, as being greater than the visible universe, which contains only a fourth of Him None the less we are asked to look upon both as infinite, and we are also informed that if the infinite is taken out of the infinite, the infinite itself remains The infinitude of God is such that nothing which we can take away from Him, so to say, will affect it in the least. An amsa, therefore, must be regarded as a part, which, when subtracted from the whole, does not affect it in the least soul is a spark from the Divine, a part of the infinite God part is to a very large extent an essential attribute of the whole, still, however numerous these parts may be and however essentially divine in nature, they do not tend, when taken away, to affect the infinity of the central whole Please bear in mind that an amfa of God is a part of God in this special sense

Lec LXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

The advocates of the monistic school of the Vedanta understand the term, 'amsa', in a different light Sankarāchārya in his commentary on the sloke points out that the Supreme Brahman cannot have any parts in a materialistic sense. The individual self is merely imagined to be a part on account of the influence of avidva It is a part of the Brahman, somewhat in the sense in which the reflection of the sun in a sheet of water is a part of the sup or the space within a jar is a part of the universal ether of space. On the removal of the limiting conditions that create the illusion of a part, the one indivisible unity remains We may, if we please, speak of a part of the sun returning to its home when the sheet of water ceases to be and the reflection vanishes, or of a part of space uniting itself with the universal akasa when the jar is broken and the dividing walls of separation are destroyed. These are merely figurative ways of describing the great truth that nothing exists but the Brahman without a second. Here, too is the full explanation of the statement that those who reach the supreme abode of the Brahman never return

It is then stated that when an amsa of God in the shape of an individual soul is sent into this world to become incarnate it draws to itself the indrivas, of which manas is the sixth You are sure to remember that Indian psychology looks upon manas. which may be rendered in English as the faculty of attention, as constituting an indriva It is further stated that all these indrivas appertain to praktiti I believe I have discussed this question more than once in these classes. Consider the case of a blind man. He is suffering not from a spiritual but from a purely physical defect. His impaired power of percention is due to this bodily deficiency It would be wrong to regard the blinding of the eye as implying a maiming of the soul. The lens of the eye, for instance may have been rendered opaque by cataract, and when this is removed, the eye sees again Similarly deafness. dumbness and other defects of the senses may be shown to be purely physical in character They respond to physical treatment, they are cuted by physical means Otherwise, if for instance, blindness of the eye is due to some inborn malady of the soul person born blind must remain so till the end of his life. No physical treatment can force a response from the soul If there is the possibility of one who, let us say, has lost his sight.

recovering his vision again through surgery or medication, then the inference is clear that he must have been suffering from some physiological malaise. The senses then are physical and They may be instruments of the soul; they may be deor-ways of experience, the channels of knowledge in this world; but they do not appetrain to the soul. They are of the earth, earthy, they belong to the flesh and not to the spirit

द्यारीरं यद्द्याप्नोति यद्याप्युत्कामतीश्वरः । गृहीत्वैतानि संयाति वायुर्गन्धानिवादायात् ॥ ८ ॥

8. When the ruler (of the body) acquires or leaves a body, he goes on his way taking these (senses) with him, as the wind moves along taking away perfumes from their place.

The amsa of God which becomes the jiva in relation to embodiments in this visible universe, is spoken of here as isvara, ruler, master It is the isvara of the body, wherein it dwells. This master of the body at one time takes up one body as its abode and another at a different time There is a successive taking up and giving up of embodiments In the history of each soul, we find births in different embodiments and deaths too therein These bodies form, so to say, the passage of the soul in this universe The passing of the soul through many 'births' and deaths' is like the passing of a breeze over a garden full of fragrant flowers. One may be a mile or two away from the garden, still, the breeze brings there the perfumes of flowers And from the smell of the breeze, one can guess the nature of the flowers it meets on its way. It is also capable of losing that fragrance If the breeze blows for a long time and over a great distance, its fragrance becomes disseminated A stage may come when it may lose all the perfumes it acquired Imagine the history of the soul to be like the passing of the breeze from place to place. In the course of its wanderings, the soul takes up body after body, dwells in each for a time, and then abandons it in the manner in which the breeze scents itself with many a perfume, earries each along with it a part of its way and then loses it

Lec LXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

The eminent commentator, Madhvācharya, understands this sloka to relate to God The word, 'isuara', of course, is generally used only in that sense He writes that God, being the distributor of the fruits of karma, futnishes each soul with the senses and the body that it has merited by its past karma. The soul, by itself, does not possess the power to create embodiments for itself. It is only the Lord, who presides over the great law of karma, that can clothe the souls in flesh. This view also applies to the succeeding two or three verses, where something more is said about the isvara referred to here. They must all be understood as treating of God and not of the soul

धोतं च मुस्सारीत च रसनं घाणमेव च । अधिष्ठाय मनश्चायं विषयानुपसेतते ॥ ९ ॥

9 And he enjoys the objects of the senses, presiding over the senses of vision, audition, touch, and smell and the mind also.

Srī Krishņa here declares that the soul which acquires an embodiment experiences the objects of this visible, perceptible universe by presiding over the six endrevas. This is a philosophic position of great importance. It opposes the view that knowledge is nothing but sensory response to external stimuli. The external world reacts on our senses in various ways. The ear hears sounds, the eye sees colour and form, the nose smells, the tongue tastes and the skin feels. It has been urged that, if we analyse our knowledge of things, we would find it to consist of sensations of hearing seeing, smell taste and touch Experience on this view is nothing more than a haphazard collection of sensations, and consciousness is merely a by product of material processes, reflecting within itself physical responses to external stimuli the view that Sri Krishna puts forward here is radically different from this He maintains that there is a rive or soul in the body which feels the perceptions It is a spark of the divine flame Seated within the body, it experiences the objects of this universe through the instrumentality of the senses. The sensations flow within from outside But by themselves they do not constitute the internal consciousness and mind of man. There is something seated within us independently and of its own accord, and it is this soul or jīva, which makes it possible for the senses to operate as door ways through which the p-reeptions of external objects are experienced. The reality of a soul within us is postulated here. It is also implied that the ultimate responsibility for all our experiences rests with the soul. Our very capacity to experience is due to our being endowed with souls.

It may well be asked if the soul alone is responsible for our experience, then what is the good of the senses? What purpose do they serve? Why do we want eyes and ears, nose and tongue and skin? In reply to these questions, it must be noted that the sensory organs are conceived not so much as instruments of knowledge, but rather as weak and imperfect tools that limit the field of knowledge The soul, in its state of freedom, possesses greater powers of knowledge than when it is immured within a body The accomplished and successful yogen is a standing proof of the fact that the body places grave limitations on the powers of knowledge of the soul Think of the soul in its state of freedom as a man standing in the midst of a wide plain, with his eyes free to travel from horizon to horizon. Then, suppose screens are built around him, confining the range of his vision This would be a good similitude for the body which limits the range of knowledge of the soul The senses are like slits in the screen, affording fragmentary glimpses of the outside world Suppose a man placed behind a screen sees through one slit therein red light, through another yellow light and so forth The red or yellow light cannot be seen, if there is no 'seer on the other side of the screen Neither the slits in the screen nor the phenomens of the outside world can explain away the person who sees And it would be clearly absurd to look upon him as consisting of red or yellow light We are something more than bundles of sensations, brought into existence by the chance reactions between the outside world and our own sensory organs

The complex relationship between the body and the soul can understood only when we regard the soul as the dominant teality. The capacity to know and the power to experience are dependent on the essential nature of the soul, it is the soul that knows. What it knows from time to time is dependent on the physical organs of sense. The original freedom of the soul is lost

Lee LXV) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

in its embodied condition. It is as though the soul was confined within the walls of a dark dungeon, with flashes of light creeping through cracks and crevices in the walls. The knowledge which the soul gains through the senses is imperfect and limited knowledge. And the fact that it is knowledge which the senses provide us with and not a chaotic stream of sensations demonstrates to us the directing and unifying function of the soul. It is both blockter and justifying the enjoyer and the knower it presides over the senses and makes use of them for perceiving the objects of the external world.

उत्कामन्तं स्थितं चापि भुक्षानं चा गुणान्तितम् । चिमृहा नानुपर्यन्ति पदयन्ति धानचक्षुपः ॥ १० ॥

10. The deluded do not perceive (the soul), departing from or staying (in a body), experiencing or in association with the gunas (of prakriti) They see, who have the eye of wisdom

Foolish people do not recognise the existence of the soul, even though it is seated within every being in the universe and even though they themselves could have no experience or knowledge, but for their being endowed with souls Every moment of our lives, we are having some experience or other and the agent in every experience is a soul. Memory, the unity of consciousness, the need for a background to our perceptions. these facts of our conscious life constitute, the bases for a belief in the existence of the soul Even if these are ignored, the phenomenon of death must bring home to our minds the existence of an enlivening, experiencing agent whose departure brings about physical mortality. To those who may not be swayed by these arguments, yet another way of considering this quesion In the last chapter, we learnt a good deal about the relations between the soul and the gin is of braktiti. We saw that the soul becomes associated with the ginas of brakrits when it is incarnate. And this association with different gunas of braktite gives rise to various mental and moral tendencies. The experience of one who is predominantly under the influence of the sativa guna will differ markedly from the experience of one who is swaved by any of the other two gunas. From this too.

we ought to be able to draw some conclusions regarding the reality of the soul If the body is the sole reality, why is it that the experience of one body is different from that of another? Neither physiological nor chemical analysis can provide us with an answer to this question. It is only when we recognise that something other than the body is in association with the body, that we can learn a little about such products of their interaction as the divergent mental and moral tendencies of men

All these considerations are ignored by those who deny the existence of the soul, and in the opinion of Sri Krishpa, it is stark stupidity to maintain such a view. Over and above arguments like these, there remains the proof of direct experience for the existence of the soul Of that, Sri Krishna now proceeds

यतन्त्रो योगिनश्चेनं पटयन्त्यात्मन्यवस्थितम् । यतन्तोऽप्यकृतात्मानो नैनं पदयन्त्यचेतसः ॥ ११ ॥

11 Yogans, ever striving, see it abiding in themselves But, try as they may, those with minds undisciplined and destitute of (the highest powers of) consciousness do not

Some clue is here given about that eye of wisdom by means of which one may see the soul It may be acquired by the practice of yoga As you are aware, our thinkers have held that the experience of the yogen in the state of samadhe affords the most emphatic evidence in favour of the reality of the soul In the state of samadh; the yogin is so full; absorbed in self awareness as to be utterly oblivious of the external world The door ways of his senses are barred, the slits in the screen are closed, there is no loop hole, through which the external world can act on the yogin Even his memory is not allowed to play on any past experience of his If the contents of our consciousness are resolvable merely into a bundle of sensations, the mind of the 30gin in the state of samādhi must be dark and void, contentless and unaware of fiself Since, as a matter of fact, we have ample testimony to prove that the yogin in such a state does not lose the experience of his own reality, we have to hold that consciousness consists of

through the intellect alone but through the whole of our being.

Thus only can one gain the eye of wisdom, right vision and insight into truth.

यदादित्यगतं तेजो जगद्गासयतेऽखिलम् । यधन्त्रमसि यचाग्नौ तत्तेजो विद्धि मामकम् ॥ १२ ॥

12. That splendour which, being in the sun, lights up the whole world, that which is in the moon and that which is in fire, know that splendour to be Mine.

Sometime back we tried to understand the exact significance of the term, 'amsāa'. It means generally a part, but when we say that the soul is an amsā of God, we must not conceive the relation in any materialistic manner. The finite self is a part of the infinite, and being so, it is a part which, when taken away from the whole, does not affect the latter. With the object of guarding u. aguinst material analogies in dealing with God and His relations with the world, Sri Krishpa now proceeds to teach that He is the source and feuntain of all light in the universe.

Modern astronomy tells us that the light of the moon is derived from the sun, and Hindu astronomy, by the way, is also aware of this Now, we have to ask our-elves Does the moon, because its light is detived from that of the sun, take away a part of the radiance of the sun? Or again, if the moon does not shines, will the sun shine any the better? Let us look at another similar phenomenon. Suppose there is a dark room whose walls comist of mirrors. Introduce therein an electric lamp All the mirrors will reflect the light of the lamp and shine. Their light is dependent on the light of the lamp and shine. Their light is dependent on the light of the lamp But here, again, we may sak. Do the mirrors deprive the gloving electric bulb of part of its light? Will it ships say the better if there are no mirror? We may understand from examples like these that light derived from some source does not diminish the power of the centre.

In a similar way. God is the centre of all light, whether light is understood in a physical or a figurative sense. But for Him, the great luminaries of the universe would be dark and dull; but for Him, too, the feeling and thinking beings in the universe would

Lec LXV] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

not feel and think All their experiences, all their feelings of pain and pleasure, all their knowledge of truth everything in short, which these centres of consciousness can and do feel all these proceed from Him. The souls have the capacity to expertence, because they are parts of Him who is consciousness itself. And the sun, the moon and fire serve as centres of light because they too are parts of Him who is the fountain of all light. But both the souls and these sources of physical light are parts of an infinite whole, they are parts which, when removed, do not cause the whole to suffer any diminution.

In the following two slokes, Sri Krishna points out the intimate teletionship between God, who is the centre and source of all light and life and consciousness, and the various beings in the universe

गामाविदय च भूतानि धारयाम्यहमोजसा । पुष्णामि चौपधीस्सर्वास्सोमो भृत्वा रसात्मकः॥ १३॥

13 Entering the earth, I sustain all beings by My power Becoming the moon, full of rasa, I nourish all plants

Every one of you ought to be familiar by now with the idea that the order of the universe is conceived in the Gua to be maintained by God, who permeates penerrates and controls all things By the term, 'gau', which has been translated as the earth, we have to understand here a reference to the whole visible universe the prapancha, as they say in Sanskrit Having stated that the whole universe is intimately pervaded and continually sustained by God, Sri Krishna cites some striking illustrations in support of His theme Through the moon, He declares He nourishes all plant life. In Sanskrit, the moon is often spoken of as the lord of plants (oshadisa) in the belief that some mysterious lunar influence is responsible for the circulation of sap in plants The verdict of modern science has not yet been delivered on this view, but it seems probable that there is some justification for this opinion The term, 'rasa', which I have left untranslated, is rich in significance and may bear any one of a number of meanings in this context 'Sap' is a plausible translation, and it is that view which I have just put forward Sri Ramanuja interpress

the term as the essence of nectar, the lunar rays often being looked upon as nectarine. Sri Sankara explains that the moon is the home of all essences and that it nourishes all plants by interpenetrating them with its own essences.

The underlying idea, however, is clear, whichever view we may prefer Plant life is nourished by God; He influences its may prefer Plants, like men and animals and stocks and stones, are parts of that Tremendous Whole whose physical frame is the entire universe of matter and energy, and being so, they have no life and energy apart from what they have derived from God

अह वेदवानरो भूना प्राणिनां देहमाधितः । प्राणापानसमायकः पन्नास्यतं चतुर्विधम् ॥ १४ ॥

14 Having become the fire of direction, I become associated with the bodies (lit. body) of (all) living creatures, and united with the in going and out-going breaths, I cause the digestion of the four kinds of food.

This floka is also intended to teach us the intimacy of the relation between the power of God and the power which our lives and souls manifest in relation to our bodies The expression, 'vaisvanāra'. Which has to be understood as the digestive fire in this context, means literally that which pertains to all men. The power to direct food is an important and characteristic attribute of life By the identification of this power with God, we are taught that it is the breath of Gad which has endowed all beings with life and soul Every soul is a part of God, a spark of the Divine Flame Seated within the various beings in the universe, the souls are the experiencers of all the experiences. It may seem, as though the various souls that animated all beings in the universe had become separate and distinct from God and free from His control To guard us against arriving at this erroneous conclusion. Sti Krishna definitely tells us that they are all under the guidance of God constantly influenced by Him in His capacity as antaridmen

One or two small poin s in this verse may need some explans tion. The terms 'prāna', and 'ajāna', mean respectively the breath

Lec LXVI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

which is inhaled and the breath which is exhaled. As you are all awate, respiration is responsible for the very maintenance of life, and it is needless to point out that the 'fire' of digestion is lit by the energy that arises out of our respiration. The four kinds of food that are mentioned here are explained by the commentators to be those that could be masticated, drunk, sucked and swallowed. The intention is to include all kinds of food that are eaten by the various beings in the universe. The power to eat food and digest it is a characteristic attribute of life, and from the amoeba to man, all varieties of life 'eat' in some way and 'digest' in some manner. This vital function is here traced to the power of God Himself.

Let us conclude here our work for today

lxvi

Last time we were studying a description of the characteristics of One whe will presently be designated as the Uttama Purusha We saw how He is the internal controller of everything in this universe. We were also reminded by forceful examples of the theme of the tenth ehapter, that whatever has beauty or power in this universe derives all its excellence and value from the Parama Purusha who is the source and fountain of all light and power. This is summed up in the \$loka\$ with which we have to begin our work today.

सर्वस्य चाहं हृदि सिन्निविष्टो मत्तरस्मृतिर्ज्ञानमपोहनं च। वेदैश्च सर्वेरहमेय वेद्यो वेदान्तरुद्धेदविदेच चाहम् ॥ १० ॥

15 And I am placed in the hearts (lit heart) of all From Me (come) memory, knowledge and their loss also I alone am to be known from all the Vedas I am the author of the Vedanta, and I alone am the knower of the Veda

I remember to have spoken in these classes more than once on the light that is thrown by the phenomenon of memory on the reality of the soul Memory has been regarded in this country as a kind of samskara—an impression which experience of any kind leaves on the soul From this it follows that, but for the existence of the soul and its unifying power, there would be no such thing as memory. We will do well to bestow a little thought on this question. The necessity for the postulation of a soul has been questioned by many acute thinkers, arguing from many standpoints. The external world may be resolved into a bundle of actual and potential sensations, and our consciousness into a continual stream of ideas and sensations. Analysis, having come so far, must pause before the residual phenomenon of memory, the recurrent state of one and the same mental condition, asserting a mysterious thread of unity in the midst of apparent diversity

Take the question of recognition The classical example for the process of recognition is given in Sanskrit thus So'yam Devadattah, he is that Devadatta This person, whom I now see before me, is that same Devadatta whom I saw some time ago That is how recognition comes to our minds and you can easily see how memory is fundamentally involved here. The impress of memory of the Devadatta whom I saw before is compared with the direct percention of the Devadatta who now stands before me. and when the impress of memory is seen to be the same as the impression of direct perception I say that the Devadatta whom I now see is that same Devadated whom I saw before Now how can such a feeling of recognition arise, unless what perceives Devadatta now is the same as that on which the impress of a former perception of Devadatta was left? As Sankaracharsa observes in his famous bhashva on the Brahma Sutras "Remembrance can take place only if it belongs to the same person who previously made the perception. For what one man has experienced is not remembered by another man. How. indeed, could there arise the conscious state that I now see what I saw before if the seeing person were not the same in both cases?

If the mind is nothing but a fleeting stream of sensations and idea, brought into existence by the random contacts between the senses and the so called external world, where is there any place for any feeling of recognition? The fact that we have this power of recognition leads the Indian psychologist to infer that the bearer of the former perception of Devadatta is the same as the receiver.

Lec LXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

of the present perception of Devadatta Memory, in other words, furnishes striking testimony in favour of the existence of a permanent, enduring knower and experiencer within every one of us The varying contents of consciousness, indeed, prove its uniform and invariable existence We have to posit a permanent background for consciousness in the midst of fleeting contents The objection that, as consciousness deals only with discrete phenomena, we are not and cannot be conscious of a connected flow of consciousness has been met by the argument that as the lamp, bright though it is, requires an intellectual agent, furnished with instruments such as the eye, for itself to become manifest, even so the mental state requires an intelligent inner principle to make irself manifest

Having arrived at the reality of the soul in this manner, we may What is its nature? The answer to this question, in brief, what is its nature? The answer to this quantum within every is that the soul is the knowing and experiencing agent within every conscious being in the universe. This is less a fact of direct experience than an inference from the facts of conscious life To reference than an inference from the facts of conscious in with attributes, and these attributes can be no o her than the impression that we receive of the object through our senses And of the soul, we can predicate no such attributes We cannot ascribe to it form or colour, sound or smell Nor can it be tasted or touched. It is beyond the range of our senses And our intuitive contact with tt leads us to its presence and not to its knowledge. It is thus only as a matter of inference that we must learn of the reality and nature of the knowing and experiencing entity within us which makes us subject to pleasures and pains and which makes us move and act, perceive, think and enjoy

What is its origin? In reply. ene question now temans and the control of the cont by the existence of memory is a part of God Himself. It is, of the existence of memory is a part of the existence of memory and knowledge and therefore, easy to understand how memory and knowledge and their disappearance as well are due to Him. The power that we metr disappearance as well are due to recognise is derived from the have to know, to remember and to recognise is derived from the have to know, to remember and to recognise is defined from the Lord Himself It is because we are all infinitesimal parts of the cone fountain of all light and wisdom, sparks from the Flame one fountain of all light and consciousness, knowledge and memory Divine, that we possess consciousness, So much is clear But some of you may wonder why the loss of these also should be traced directly to the Lord This doubt has, indeed, led to an alternative interpretation of the word, "apohana", as merely "hana", that is, knowledge by way of reasoning and inference But it is also possible to understand apohana' as loss or removal, and yet give a reasonable explanation of the stanza we are at present considering. It is notorious that many memories are undesirable and many kinds of knowledge lead us to ruin Smriti is a kind of samskara, and it naturally gives rise to tendencies to think and act. Our experiences determine our tendencies to thought and action and shape our characters It is thus clear that only such smrite is desirable as is conducive to the creation of good tendencies. We have to get rid of all memories that lead us towards wickedness and obliterate from our minds all knowledge that gives rise to evil propensities Only then can we attain the natural heritage of our souls term, 'abohana', may therefore, be understood as the absence of undestrable knowledge and undestrable smrite. And just as the acquisition of desirable knowledge and smrits is due to Him, whose parts we all are the absence of undestrable sudna and surrete are also due to Him In other words, an unseen Power shapes our ends and directs our destinies

Many of you may know the famous declaration in the Upanisheds that the Lord is not to be attained through thinking or meditation or stored up learning but that He reveals Himself only to these whom He desires to favour (Kathopanishad, 1 ii 23) This does not mean that we have to abandon all endeavour and effort and remain passive in the belief that if we are fated to attain the emancipation of mobsha God will surely lead us to it. The point that we have to grasp is that in any attempts that we may make to realise God our success depends more on Him than on ourselves. We can succeed in our quest only if He chooses to reveal Himself to us After all, as I pointed out to you once before our faults are so many and our weaknesses so numerous that none has any right to claim, as something won or achieved, the great boon of salvation It is a gift from the Lord, presented to those on whom He looks with a gracious eye. How and why God bestows His grace on anyone is a problem which we cannot selve. The guiding motives of God can be understood only by

one who is greater than God It is, however, enough for us to know that we must not ascribe to ourselves the credit for any success that may reward our efforts in seeking self realisation and God realisation For, if it were not for His graciousness, His readiness to reveal Himself to us, failure must greet us at the end of our toil Whatever power of success and achievement that we may have, comes to us from Him who is the source and centre of all power

It is thus that the $sm^{2}ti$ and the $j\bar{n}a_{11}a$ necessary to endow us with desirable tendencies and impulses and to lead us onward to God are His gifts, and it is thus, too, that such $sm_{t}it$ and $j\bar{n}\bar{a}_{11}a$ as may hinder us in our great quest are r-moved by Ehs grace. For has He not promised out of His abounding grace, to destroy the darkness of ignorance and illumine our minds with the shining light of knowledge, so as to lead us on to the salvation of soul graneration and God attainment? (X 10 & 11)

We may now proceed to consider the statement that the Lord alone is to be known from all the Vedas. This does not indicate merely a unity of theme in all the Vedas I feel that something more comprehensive is intended to be taught here. There is no harm in understanding the term, ' Veda', here to mean Divine Revelation in general And it would be quite in keeping with the spirit of boundless tolerance that pervades the teachings of the Gita You may remember that Sri Krishna has more than once told us that all religions have Him for their goal, whether wittingly or unwittingly, and that all the rewards earned by all kinds of worshippers are bestowed on them by His gracious favour we believe in the ultimate oneness of God and if we further believe that all forms of religion and worship are either knowingly or unknowingly directed towards Him, then there will be no difficulty in understanding how the term, ' Veda', may be endowed with a more comprehensive significance, so that the Allah of the Moslems, the lehovah of the lews, the Father in Heaven of the Christians and the God of gods of the Hindus cease to be diverse and distinct and become the one and only object of all scriptural revelation the world over Whatever may be the nature, the channel and the language of Revelation, the one and only God is the theme. Oning to perversity and ignorance, we forget the great truth that all religions have a striking unity of objective and wage ruinous, interneeine wars. Though history is dark with the blood that religious intolerance and bigotry have shed, all religious chant the same refrain. The Lord is the theme of all their messages, the burden of all their songs. There is thus no difficulty in giving a special significance to the term, "Veda", have, seeing that the more comprehensive meaning is demanded by the spirit of gracious charity and generous tolerance that permeates the teachings of the Gita.

Sri Krishna then declares that He is both Vedanta krit and Vedavit, the author of the Vedanta as well as the knower of the Veda It is a fair inference that in the opinion of Sri Krishna the subject of the Leda cannot be far different from that of the Vedanta At least, one school of Indian thought does not accept this view. The Puria mimamsakas hold that the object of the Vedas is to enable us to perform various sacrifices in the correct manner and attain the results that accrue therefrom If that be the case the Veda til need not necessarily be the Vedanta krit There is no need for the Himamsaka, who is primarily interested in the performance of sacrifices, to postulate a fundamental reality like God, commanding our worship and adoration. He takes an interest almost wholly empirical and practical in discuss one on the nature of the divine. It is argued that the gods are those who are offered oblations in the sacrifi es and that there is no necessity to pursue further any inquiries about them. Our sole duty is to perform the various sa rifices correctly. At a time when ritualism of this kind was dominant in our land something which may be called a grammatical definition of God was formula ed Mans of you may I now that every Ledic verse is believed to have been spoken in praise of some cod or other there is a de ata for every mantra And the decata was once defined as something, which is connoted by a word that being in the dative case, governed the formula of oblition sigha in the mantra

The Led3ntin views the problem in an entirely different light. The performance of sacrifices is secondary to considerations of overwhelming importance such a. the nature and attributes of Godhead and our duty to God and to the world in which our lot

It is in this way that we have to understand the close unity of theme between the Veda and the $Ved\bar{a}nta$. The implications and presuppositions on which the $Ved\bar{a}$ bases its prayers and rites are explained in the $Ved\bar{a}nta$, which, indeed, means literally the end of the aim of the $Ved\bar{a}$. If our study of the $Ved\bar{a}$ is sufficiently thorough and complete, if we study the question not merely as the $Mim\bar{a}nsaka$ does nor again only from the historical and critical standpoints, but include within the scope of our survey the significance, purpose and philosophy of the various sacrifices prescribed, then it will inevitably be seen that the knower of the $Ved\bar{a}$ is also the author of the $Ved\bar{a}$ is also the author of the $Ved\bar{a}$ is

In declaring Himself as the author of the Vedanta, Sri Krishna very probably desires us to understand that He is among the prominent teachers of the Vedanta Tradition assigns the authorship of the Vedanta sūtras to Vyāsa Modern scholars feel some difficulties in subscribing to this view We may, however, hold tentatively that Vyasa might have been the original propounder of a school of thought which later acquired the name of the Vedanta Now, there is also another tradition concerning Vyasa to the effect that he was the arranger of the Vedas word, 'Vyāsa', itself means in Sanskrit arranger or compiler is believed that the Vedas were not divided into the four books that are now known to us before Vyasa carried out the great classification Four different kinds of priests, it is said, were associated with the performance of sacrifices from remote antiquity, the hot; the adhvaryu, the udgats; and the brahman. Each of the first three groups had a specific revelational literature, on which they based the part they had to play in the sacrifices And this literature was handed down from teacher to pupil from generation to generation

But there was no regular arrangement and classification of the traditionally revealed literature connected with the religion of the Vedas before the time of Vyasa. He gathered together the sacred literature of the hotts priests and called it the Regereda. In a similar way the literature of the adhicarsu priests became the Vapur reada, and that of the negatifs priests was styled the Samareada. Side by side with these, there was another body of religious literature, which was apparently derived in the same manner

Lec LXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

traditionally, from time immemorial and which, though it had little to do with sacrifices, had still agreat deal to do with the religious life of the people. This was all gathered together separately by Vyāsa, and it became the Atharva veda. Here we have a rough account of the way in which Vyāsa is said to have arranged the Vedas. Those who have an internal acquaintance with the subject will know that the arrangement of the Vedas must have required on the part of Vyāsa a complete mastery of the contents of each of the four Vedas. He must certainly have been a Veda vit. And as Vyasa is also credited by tradition to have been the founder of the school of philosophy and religion known as the Vedanta, we have some further support for the statement that the Veda vit and the Vedānta krit are both one and the same

Yet another point of interest is the suggestion made that Sri Krishna, by calling Himself Vedania krit, probably meant that there would be no Revelation after Him. The term, 'Vedania', Iliterally means the end of the Vedas. And interpreted in that sense, Sri Krishna must be understood to say that He was the maker of the end of the Vedas, that the Revelation was complete with the teaching that He gave. This does not necessarily imply that succeeding ages would be dark without the light of Revelation but it certainly means that the fullest account of the purpose of God and the duty of man may be found in the teachings of Sri Krishna having been the knower of the Veda, became also the author of the Vedania, then it must be that the Vedania is the culmination, the ripe fruit of the teachings of the Veda The Vedania, in short, is the fulfilment of the Veda.

In the four *Slokas* that still remain to be discussed Sri Krishna summarises all that we have been taught so far in this chapter. The first of these reads as follows

द्वाविमी पुरवी लोके क्षरश्चाक्षर पय च । क्षरस्तर्वाणि भृतानि उटस्योऽक्षर उच्यते ॥ १६ ॥

16 There are two purushas in the world, the destructible and the indestructible. The destructible (purusha consists of) all beings, (while) the indestructible one is spoken of as being above the universe

and the other indestructible. All the beings in the world, being subject to change, are destructible. The indestructible entity is the maya-sakis of the Lord, the wonderful illusive power or energy which is the cause of samsara and which keeps the world going. This power is eternal and indestructible, as samsara is beginningless and eternal. And it is kūtastha, because it is changeless like a mass of rocks and boulders that make up a mountain Or again, the term, 'kūtastha', may also mean something which manifests itself in various forms of illusion and deception. According to Madhvāchārva, all the beings of the world collectively may be spoken of as destructible, because each of them inhabits different bodies at different times. The unchangeable, indestructible entity is prakfifi. In whatever way we may understand the kshara and the akshara burusha, it is evident that no analysis which has come only thus far can be complete. Over and above matter and mind, the emancipated and the embodied soul, there is something which lies at the basis of all existence And of this, Sri Krishna now proceeds to sprak:

उत्तमः पुरुषस्त्वन्यः परमात्मेत्युदाहृतः । यो लोकत्रयमादिय विभन्त्वेव्यय ईश्वरः ॥ १७ ॥

17. But other (than these) is the Highest Purusha, called the Supreme Soul, who, as the eternal Lord, supports the three worlds, having entered them.

The Uttama Purusha is different from the kshara and the akhara purusha, as neither of these latter can be spoken of as akhara purusha, as neither of these latter can be spoken of as akhara purusha, as neither of these latter can be spoken of as akhara purusha and a suman, at is the finite self, and it is inferior in power and prestige to the Supreme Soul The Supreme Being is called purusha and atman, because He stands in the same relation to this universe of matter and mind as the soul stands to its embodiment. He is the soul of the universe, because He pervades it, gives it unity and coherence, and suitains it as the aouter and centre of all power and energy. And His support is not external but internal. Having entered into the three worlds, He sustains them from within as the antaryamin, the internal controller. His pervision of the universe places no

limitations on His power or freedom For He remains always the

The significance of the word, 'anya', in this sloka has given rise to some differences of opinion among the different schools of Vedantic thought. The controversies centre round the point How far and in what manner is God different from the individual soul? Some held that the use of the word, 'anya' here implies a sharp distinction between God, who is spoken of here, and the entities described in the previous verse. More particularly, it is maintained that God and the finite self are essentially different from each other. Another view is that we need not believe in any such fundamental distinction between the two. The soul and God are essentially alike but there is a difference, shall we say, of state God is free from all limitations the soul suffers from a few of them. And it is this difference in condition which justifies the use of the word, 'anva' And this difference of opinion leads to a corresponding difference of opinion on the exact significance of the supremacy attributed to the Lord in the expression, 'Paramatman' The three important schools of Vedanta have their several views on this question, these being determined by fundamental philosophical positions taken in regard to the relation between God and the universe. According as we regard the universe as an indescribable manifestation of the wonderful power of God, or as the embodiment of God, or as the dominion of God, our ideas of divine supremacy will vary

यस्मात्क्षरमतीतोऽहमश्रराद्गि चोत्तम । अतोऽस्मि लोके वेदे च प्रथित पुरुषोत्तमः ॥ १८ ॥

18 Because I transcend the destructible (puruba) and (am) also higher than the indestructible (puruba), therefore am I celebrated in the world and in the Veda as the Supreme Person

Sti Krishni now pro ceds to explain why He is designated and the Uttaina Purisha, after having taught us the significance of the word, 'Piramatman', in the last verse Emphasis was laid there on the immanence of the Lord To be the soul of the universe, He must intimately interpenetrate it. Now we are being told that

equal stress must be laid on His transcendence as well. Even though He pervades this universe of matter and mind, no limitations bind Him He 15, so to say, unattached to the universe. At the same time that He pervades it, He also transcends it. He pervades every jiva in the manner in which the jivas pervade every material thing in the universe. If the jiva is the soul of material embodiments, He is the soul of the jiva While thus pervading the jivas and their material embodiments as well, He 19 not subject to any limitations from which they may suffer. In this manner, He transcends both prakrits and purusha, and is, therefore, justly celebrated as the Purushottama, or the Highest Purusha The mukta jiva may be superior to the baddha jiva, but the Lord towers above them all We may note in passing that the word, 'loka', has also been glossed as smrsts, in which case Sti Krishpa must be understood to declare that He is celebrated as Purushottama both in the srute and in the smrste, the revealed scriptures and the sacred literature based thereon

यो मामेयमसम्मृद्धो जानाति पुरुयोत्तमम् । स सर्वविद्वज्ञति मां सर्वनावेन भारत ॥ १९ ॥

19 Whoever, being free from all delusion, understands Me thus to be the Highest Parniba,—he, knowing all, worships Me in all states of mind.

The logic in this \$loka must be clearly noted \$ri Krishna's reasons for declaring Himself the Param2tman and the Purushot Jama have been given in brief in the last verse of the fourte-nith chapter He is the Supreme Bring, because He is the pratishtha of everything in the universe of prakriti, of the world of indestructible immortality that apperrains to the soul, of theverlasting tule of righteou ness and of the divine blass which is the portion of those who are delivered from the toils of samsāra And it is thus because any serious attempt to understand the universe leads us to analyse it into three ultimate constituents. matter, soul and God If we endeavour to understand the interrelations among these three analytical postulates of human thought, we will arrive at the conclusion that matter is subordinate to spirit or soul and that both these are subord nate to God, who

Leg LXVII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

is the supporter and sustainer of everything in the worlds of matter and of mind. It is stated here that one who knows what has been set forth above, knows all. In other words, the implications of the position taken up yield a complete and satisfactory philosophical system.

To look on the soul as being superior to matter implies that matter is guided and prompted by the soul when the latter becomes associated with any physical embodiment. All the processes of development and change and growth in the world cannot be fortutous or haphazard, they must be the result of purposive direction and guidance from the principle of consciousness which, we have every reason to believe, is all pervading. If the causal position in biological evolution is assigned to variation in function, rather than variation in structure of the different organs of various forms of life, then the whole process of universal evolution may be easily seen to be teleological. It is of course, true that scientists are divided on the question as to whether variation in structure or variation in function is the cause of evolutional development. The interdependence of variation in structure and variation in function in the life of organisms is fully recognised on all hands. While it may not be possible to pronounce a decisive opinion on the point at issue, it is op n to us to urge some considerations to show that the polition of the Vedanta and the Sankhya is not fanciful or untenable. Consider the question of formation of habits, for instance. A habit is said to be formed when an action which was once volun ary becomes involuntary. This may well lead to a change in the structure of some physiological organ or other. Here is an example of the way in which consciousness affects matter. Facts like these have led Indian thinkers to declare that the process of evolution is directed for the good of the soul. In the opinion of the Sankhya philosophers the emancipation of the spirit is the goal of universal evolution and this view is fully endorsed by the Ve Innta soul apprisoned in matter, struggles to regain its freedom ceaseless play of change that we see all around us is the result of the attempts of the soul to liberate itself

Now, let us picture to ourselves the entire universe of matter and mind as being the body of the Supreme Soul. It will then be

easy to see that the Lord is intimately related to the universe and that the process of the universe is imp-lled and guided by Him If the phenomena of the universe of matter are prompted and guided by the universe of mind, the latter in its turn derives its power and energy from God Himself The activities of the universes of mind and matter are to be traced to the Lord, who is

Our analysis in this chapter has led us to the postulation of three fundamental entities prakriti, purusha and Utiana Purusha Of these, prakriti is subordinate to purusha, and both these are subordinate to the Parama Purusha When this is understood, the riddle of the universe is practically solved When one understands God to be the Uttama Purusha, one understands all that has to be known And such a knowledge is bound to have a characteristic effect on the course of one's conduct Having understood that prakliti is jada that the purusha is chaitanya. immortal and indestructible, that the state of embodiment limits the power and freedom of the soul and that the purusha is a part of the Lord Himself, we can see that the path of wisdom lies in emancipating the purusha from the bondage of prakfiti must all endeavour to see that our couls tise above the limitations of prakfis and acquire more and more the characteristics of Him, of whom every 1100 is a part This is the natural direction of the progressive evolution of the soul, and we are all called upon to shape our lives in consonance with this Such a life means a life of service tendered unto Him, who is the source and centre of all power and of whom we are all parts The right kind of service implies at least two things It is of itself positive but it requires a negative preparation Unless we vanquish the tendencies in favour of selfishness and sensuality that arise out of the influence of praktit oper the purusha it will not be possible for us to live that life of positive service which is demanded by the rule of equality. A life of satisfice and service in this world is a life of service rendered unto God who is the supreme lord and the supreme

One who knows the truths taught in this chapter, will live that life continually The lode star of his faith will guide him in all states of mind amid all chances of fortune Under no

circumstances whatever will he swerve from the path of absolute equality and unselfish altruism The expression, 'sarvabhavena', has also been understood to mean that such a devotee will serve God in all manner of ways, by the various methods of worship that have bren taught

इति गुहातमं शास्त्रमिदमुक्तं मयानघ । एतद्वध्या युद्धिमान् स्यास्कृतकृत्यश्च भारत ॥ २० ॥

20 Thus, O sinless one, has this most secret science been taught by Me Knowing this, O Arjuna. one becomes wise and fulfills all duties

You must have seen by now how the fifteenth chapter is a lengthy commentary on the last stoka of the fourteenth chapter At the same time it is also a summary of the great conclusions of the Vedanta. The philosophic basis of the realities posited by the Vedanta is here expounded, and the metaphysical foundation for its ethics is also clearly explained. In this chapter a brief and synthetic survey of the teachings of the Vedanta is given as in no other The whole of the Gita is a sastra And this chapter in particular is styled the most secret sastra, because it deals with the mysteries of the philosophy of conduct Perhaps, my translation of the word, 'sastra', as 'science' is not quite accurate
The word, 'sastra', is derived from the root, sas, to command Sastra is literally that which commands, and it is easy to see why the term has come to be applied largely to that which authorita tively imposes injunctions of conduct. Nothing can impose rules of conduct on us with such authority as truth. If one asks why a particular course of conduct is to be followed, the only satisfactory answer is that such a course of conduct and that alone is in consonance with truth

Many European thinkers have discussed the ultimate basis for the authority which we all ascribe to the demands of imperative duty. Like the imperitous command of a despotic sovereign the call of duty has to be obeyed. It is an order which exercises a compulsive force and often imposes on us a course of action which may seem to be against our immediate interests. It bids

us not to lie, even though by speaking the truth we may suffer. Wherefrom does it derive this authority? The only satisfactory answer is that duty is in consonance with truth, that is to say, with the real nature of things The command to lie, even though it may come to us at times with all the power and prestige of selfinterest, rests on shaky foundations. It is invalidated by the fact that it is not in consonance with the ultimate truth of things It is here that we can see the advantage of philosophic investi gation, which has to rest the authority of duty not merely on the scriptures, but has to go further and show that the authority of the scriptures rests on the ultimate truth and reality of things Thus. that which gives us commandments on conduct is also the science relating to the ultimate truth of things Knowledge and conduct are in this manner indissolubly connected together. To know the truth is to know what is right, and to know what is right is to know the nature of one's duty

If you have followed me so far, you will not find it difficult to understand why Sri Krishna describes this chapter as "guhyatsmam Sastram". It is the most secret Sastra not in the sense that it is kept purposely hidden and taught only to a privileged few, but in the sense that its teachings are not easily grasped. To understand them, a trained mind must carafully analyse the phenomena of the world. Few of us have seen God but it appears to me rather foolish and impetitient to deny the existence of One who is the foundation and support and guide of all. It is the difficults of the understang that Sri Krishna emphasies.

Whoever knows this is possessed of intelligence This instrument of knowledge has been given to us to understand what is most worthy of our attention. It has not been given to us to change truth into untruth, or to show untruth as plausible truth It will have served its real purpose and fulfilled its true function only when it enables us to know the truth. Only by knowing the truth can we justify the existence of our intelligence. Consequently he alone can be called wise, discerning and intelligent, who knows the truth. Knowledge of truth must also enable us to justify our lives, our very existence. In other words, by knowing the truth, we earn the right to be called intelligent, and by the same token we are compelled to order our life into consonance

Lec. LXVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

with the knowledge that we have gained. When our life is thus regulated, nothing which we ought to do remains undone. All the duties that fall to our lot are duly performed; we become kfila-kfilyas, free from sensuality and selfishness and devoted to a life of samatva and service. By learning the truth, we justify our intelligence; by living nobly and unselfishly, we justify our very existence.

As it is already late, please allow me to stop here for the present,

CHAPTER XVI

lxvii

Last time we concluded the fifteenth chapter, which goes by the name of Purushottama-yoga. Its theme is the great Purusha, who is the supreme ruler of everything that there is in the worlds of mind and matter. In a few striking phrases, Yāmunāchārya sums up the chapter thus.

अचिन्मिश्राद्विशुद्धाच चेतनात्पुरुपोत्तमः। व्यापनाद्भरणात्स्वाम्याद्भ्यः पश्चद्दशोदितः ॥

In the fifteenth chapter, the Purushottama is declared to be other than the soul which is in its state of pristine purity and freedom as well as the soul which is in association with praktit, as He pervades and sustains and exercises sovereign supremacy over them.

You must have made out by now how a knowledge of God in terms set forth above is equivalent to a knowledge of all that is worth knowing. The distinction between prakrits and purusha, and that between these and God form the foundation of all ethics and philosophy. The code of conduct that we have to follow is no more than a statement of the interrelations among these ultimate analytical postulates of human thought. All these are discussed here more or less as a commentary on the last foke of the fourteenth chapter, wherein Sit Krishpa declares Himself to be the foundation and support of the visible universe, of the world of indestructible immortality, of the law of sternal righteourness,

and of the supreme happiness which is attained by all those who reach the goal of salvation. The contrast that is referred to here between two worlds, one changing and therefore destructible, and the other changeless and eternal, is a contrast between mind and matter, as you must have made out from your knowledge of the teachings of the second chapter of the Guiā. The everlasting rule's of righteousness is declared to have. God for its praisalthā, that is, it depends on God for its obligatoriness. This chapter derives its importance mainly from its exposition of this theme.

Philosophers the world over have not yet ceased disputing over the foundations for the laws of ethics There is no agreement What is the sanction behind the moral law? on the question It is one thing to be told that a certain course of action is right, that is to say, desirable from some points of view, but it is quite a different thing to understand that what is righ must be followed at all costs Why should one do the right and eschew the wrong? Here is a question as interesting as difficult. It is possible to prove that many of the rules of morality observed by various communities in various stages of civilisation are conducive to the good and happiness of the several communities among whom they are prevalent. For example, it is an almost universal moral maxim that one should always tell the truth There is no great difficulty in proving that, since we all have to live and move in society, it is to the advantage of both ourselves and of the community in which we live never to tell a lie. Circumstances may arise when telling an untruth may offer immediate advantages But Ising one's way out of an embarrassing situation or lying with intent to deceive is always bad policy For one cannot keep up the game for long And when one is found out, the consequences are sufficiently detertent. In this way we may go about proving the usefulness of most moral laws But no amount of skilful reasoning of this kind can furnish an answer to the question. Why is one compelled to do that which is right? The voice of duty comes to us with the force of an imperative command. When one seeks to understand the sanction behind this so called categorical imperative, one is led to the conclusion that there is no satisfactory basis for the moral law, unless we give it a divine foundation It is only when we regard God as the foundation and support of the worlds of mind and matter as well as of the law of eternal righteousness, that

Lec. LXVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

we can understand how the moral law is obligatory and obedience to it compulsory. If you do not obey that law, you miss the chance of finding your own natural destiny

You may remember in this connection our discussion on reconciling the freedom of the individual with the pre determination of the process of the universe by God. The question arose when we tried to understand the significance of Sri Krishna's statement "Become merely an instrument in My hands, O Arjuni" (XI 33). The battle, Sri Krishna says in effect, is not to be staved off by Arjuna's sentimental objections. The guiding hand of God has brought the forces of history to this conflict, and individual responses to the situation cannot materially affect the general trend of events. Those friends and kinsmen for whose possible death Arjuna mourns, are sure to die in the ensuing battle. If Arjuna declines to fight and lays down his arms, he will incur the slur of failing to do an unpleasant duty. Viewed against the background of the surging tides of history, we seem utterly insignificant, we are like specks of dust flosting on a flood. It is rot given to any one, however great, to alter the plan and purpose of God.

As I told you then, we may look upon the whole universe as a magnificent machine, directed and controlled by God It is working towards a definite, well aimed purpose which is beyond our grasp. We are all placed near that machine and compelled to relate ourselves to it in various ways. These relations may be characterised by either harmony or disharmony. If we adjust ourselves harmonieusly to the working of the machine, we fulfil the purpose of our lives. If we choose to be recalcitrant, the plans of God are not hindered, we only succeed in contriving our own ruin. In the former case, we enjoy the privilege of becoming fellow workers with God though our contribution is insignificant. In the other case, we become rebels against His authority, breakers of the moral law.

In this manner, we have to make out the existence of the three great entities prakrits or matter, purusha or soul, and Purushottama or God The Purushottama is the Lord of the mountain and support of both prakrits and purusha, and the basis on which the relations among these three

are determined. These interrelations make up what is spoken of as the moral law. They teach us a great deal about the manner in which the burnsha has to adjust himself in relation to brakriti-And they tell us too about the right manner in which we may set about shaping our ideals. Our answer to the question whether it is the pain and the pleasure that are associated with the body, which ought to determine the mandates and prohibitions of our moral code, is closely dependent on our view of the relation that subsists between the body and the soul. We prefer the interests of the soul to those of the body, only when we know that the spirit is more important than the flesh Take again the question of our relations with our fellow-men We have been repeatedly told that our conduct must be guided and controlled by the rule of equality; and it is easy to see that this rule is based on the view Such differences that the soul is more significant, than the holls as exist among the various beings in the universe are due to the material configurations of their physical frames. All souls are alike, and if they are the enduring and significant parts of all embodiments, it is reasonable to hold that the guiding rule of conduct should be based on their signlature rather than on the physical and physiological differences that separate them from one another

You can now see how the mendates and prohibitions of morality are derived from the interrelations among the three ultimate analytical postulates of philosophy, namely, matter, mind and God. In the fifteenth chapter, these interrelations are described We learn that prakfits is subordinate to the purusha, who in turn is subordinate to God. The Purushottama is the foundation alike of the universe of matter and the universe of mind, and being so. He defines their attributes and determines the relations that subsest among them all. In other words, He is also the foundation and support of the everlasting law of righteousness and of the endless bliss of the emancipared. The highest effort of all philosophy, then, is to attempt to know Him in these terms; and such knowledge alone offers the best guidance for the proper determination of our conduct in life And it is for this reason that Sri Krishna declares that He has taught the most secret of sciences in this chapter. The secret of the basis of all morality is revealed to us here The word, 'sastra', as you know, means some

Lec LAVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

binding enactment of law which commands our obedience We speak of an enactment as \$\delta \text{sana}_a\$, while \$\delta \text{satra}_i\$ is that which makes the \$\delta \text{sana}_a\$ obligatory Once we realise that God is the foundation of the universe and the sanction behind the moral law, then all our difficulties in the matter of the determination of the right course of conduct in life vanish

Throughout the Gita, Sri Krishna's object is to impress upon Arjuna the great importance of doing one's duties without caring for the consequences and without attachment to the fruits thereof Rules of conduct like this may be enunciated easily enough but it is not quite so easy to establish the sanction and authority behind such rules Our natural tendency is to prefer what advantageous to ourselves The good is often confounded with self-interest I remember having read years are a famous work, Social Evolution by Benjamin Kidd which illustrates this tendency in a notable manner Writing of the function of religion in social evolution Kidd discusses the question with a great deal of insight into the historical development of European civilisation But his final conclusion on the subject invites some criticism He declares that religion has helped all social progress by giving an ultra rational sanction to morality In other words Kidd must be understood to maintain that our reason fails to give a satisfactory sanction to morality and that religion steps in to furnish an authority which is beyond the jurisdiction of reason Whatever is not to our own interest the argument runs stands condemned in the presence of reason egoistic self assertion is as rational as altruistic self sacrifice is irrational

I need not say how dangerous such a point of view may prove to be. A religion that rests on the futility of reason can end only in thoughtless indifference or traditional in. No thinking being can submit to any external authority which reason cannot criticise and which cannot find its validity and justification in the inward life of the spirit. We can allow ourselves to be led by authority, life of the spirit. We can allow ourselves to be led by authority but only if it is trustworthy. As Carlyle said long ago we cannot but only if it is trustworthy. As Carlyle said long ago we cannot always be verifying our ready reckoner and it is convenient as well as reasonable to submit to any authority which is ready at any moment to pass the test of reason. It is however quite a different thing to demand our allegance to an authority which

reason may never question As has been well said. "To maintain an unreal equilibrium between faith and reason, between a reverence which accepts and an intelligence which rejects the same things, can issue only in one of two results, practical unbelief or the violent suppression of doubt." If Revelation is the basis of morality, reason must not be denied competence to judge its credentials. It is hardly a legitimate endeavour to prove to reason a right to set reason at defiance.

All this difficulty arises only when reason is understood as an appreciation of one's own interests. As a matter of fact it is something higher. It has the power of balancing conflicting interests, sifting contradictory evidence The apparent conflict between the demands of self interest and the mandates of morality can be resolved only when reason is allowed to pass its judgment on all the facts of the case. We may learn that the good is ultimately identical with self interest, but only after undergoing the necessary spiritual and intellectual discipline Subject to the bondage of matter and in the grip of a boundless egotism, we do not have any adequate conception of the truth which may be revealed to the sanctified spirit Our life is so completely under the illusions of sense that we fail to realise our kinship with God If our bondage to these illusions is complete, if no one in the history of mankind had ever risen above them, then indeed religion would be a dream, a hypothesis, "the guess of a worm in the dust and the shadow of its desire" But as a matter of fact, inspired sages and seers have again and again come forward to impress upon us truths that we might otherwise have ignored There is nothing mysterious about these truths If we, too, go through the necessary spiritual discipline, we may also realise them

The laws of ethics rest ultimately on the vital demands of our survival experience. The presence of a conscience within us and our sense of freedom of will and moral responsibility indicate to us the existence of a power within us which makes for righteousness of the process of a power within us which makes for righteousness amadini, wherein the reality of our spiritual nature is made manifest to us. We then learn in a blinding flash of insight the supremacy of the soul over the physical embodiment. And from

Lec. LXVII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

that it is an easy step to arrive at the great law of samait a and service. Some persevering 30gins may even be vouchsafed the rare experience of God-realisation, when the deepest mysteries of the universe will stand revealed. Experiences like these demonstrate to us the truths on which the laws of ethics are based They may not be the possession of all, but the requisite training and discipline will enable us to realise them for ourselves The sages of the Upanishads declare that, when we subdue our passions and free ourselves from all perturbations and prejudices, the light of Truth will burst on us in all its glory. Says the Bfihadaranyaka Upanishad . "He who sees the Self, after having become quiet, subdued, satisfied, patient and collected, sees the self in the Self, sees all in the Self . . Evil does not overcome him, he overcomes all evil Evil does not burn him, he burns all evil Free from evil, free from spots, free from doubt, he becomes the true Brāhmana." (iv. 4 23)

It is now possible for us to decide which course of action is right and which is wrong Whatever is not in keeping with the everlasting rule of righteousness, of which God is the support, cannot be right For it is not in our own interests if we understand these aright After all, what is our true interest? Not certainly the pleasures, such as they are, of this life They all have an end, they cloy and satiate, they make us ever discontented and aim of life must be the attainment of the peculiarly unique happiness which is the portion of the soul that has obtained deliverance from the bondage of karma The unmixed bliss which constitutes the very nature of the emancipated soul is something of which we, in this life of material bondage, can have no adequate conception It is said that the yogin in the state of samādhi, when he turns his mind away from the outer world and concentrates himself upon himself, arrives at a state wherein he is entirely oblivious of the outer world but is fully alive to his own reality as a conscious being And the recorded experiences of the yogins declare that the power of the principle of consciousness to perceive, think and reason becomes enormously increased in that condition of peculiar psychological trance. In a way, we can see how such a statement cannot be untrue, for it is known to us all that the greater the concentration of the mind, the greater is the power of the intellect to reason and to understand, and the greater the power of the senses to perceive Nothing so weakens the mind as distraction. When the mind is fully and intensely concentrated on itself so as to be completely oblivious of the external world, we must expect the powers of our consciousness to be at their highest. This is the most that we can say, as men who have not had the illumining experience of the yogins.

However, the description of the state of samādhi and the distinctive bliss that one feels therein, as found in Sanskrit literature, must enable us to have some idea of the sukha which is said to be attained by the emancipated soul And surely it is more in our interests to strive after the attainment of this sukha rather than after the evanescent pleasures of this world Even selfishness, understood in a comprehensive sense, leads us to the path of samatva and service Reason and morality do not clash ultimately Given the choice between a higher and a lower good, if we choose the latter, we cannot be called reasonable. We are then irrational having judged our interests erroneously So then as this askantika sukha is the summum bonum of our lives and as the means for its attainment is the rule of righteousness you can easily see how a knowledge of the nature of the Parama purusha, who is the foundation and support of both these, is equivalent to a knowledge of the purpose of life and morality. And that is why the fifteenth chapter which reveals to us the deepest mysteries of ethics, is called the most secret of sciences

Now, it is a fact of experience that when we are offered a choice between a higher and a lower good, we do not always prefer the former k is not that we do not know which is the higher good, and how unreasonable it is to choose the lower good in preference to the higher. But the fast is that, knowing the better, we choose the worse. The question why this should be so has been answered. I believe, in the ninth chapter You may remember the statement made therein that prakrits acts as a visil or blinding wall to some and as the revealer of God to some others. Prakfits was said to be dature in the former case and data in the latter case. The same question is taken up for consideration in the sixteenth chapter, but from a different standpoint.

We are not now concerned with the attitude of prakrits towards the purusha, but have to study the question from the

Lec LXVII) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

point of view of the endeavour made by the purusha to follow the rule of righteousness which is established in God The question that we have to frame for our consideration is this that some follow the rule of righteousness, while others do not? The reason for this difference appears to lie in the inherited capacity of individuals It is within our experience that men are born with different capacities and endowments, that some are born wise and others foolish, that some are born strong and others ill and weak, and, what is more important, that some are born good and others evil and vicious While all bodies are made of the same praktiti and all souls are alike, all embodied creatures are different from one another These differences are determined by the karma of each individual soul endeavouring to evolve its final destiny. The soul in the condition of embodiment may be ine soul in the condition of embodiment into the reforming power of the discipline of the prison house is not sufficient the soul is sent on to another jail, to undergo a course of further discipline Now just as there are confirmed law breakers on whom the discipline of the prison does not have anything like the desired effect—we have all heard of impenitent 'jail birds' there are souls which persist in offending against the divinely ordained law of righteousness and which in consequence keep on passing from one prison house of flesh to another

In other words, the discipline of the prison of samsara does not act in the same manner in relation to all souls. The manner in which this discipline in any particular embodiment has helped an individual soul to make itself free determines to a large extent the nature of the new prison into which the soul is to be put next the nature of the new prison into which the soul is to be put next the nature of the new prison into which the soul is to be put next the nature of the new prison into which the soul is to be put next every embodied being is had cell with a prisoner in it. The Every embodied being is not like another in respect of see why one embodied being is not like another in respect of see why one embodied being is not like another in respect of physical, intellectual and moral tendencies. The history of each physical, intellectual and moral tendencies of the sufference in the nature of the burden embodied being is different from that accumulated by another embodied being and of karma, there are differences between one embodied being and of karma, there are differences relate to physical, intellectual and another. These differences relate to physical, intellectual and

moral capacities and are transmitted through heredity and other means.

It is not difficult to see now why prakrits acts as asuri in some cases and as daivs in others, and why some men are naturally disposed to obey the law of righteousness while others are not so inclined When individual tendencies are thus conflicting, we eannot entirely rely on our judgment and reason as infallible guides for our conduct in life And so, in the last sloka of the sixteenth chapter, Sti Krishna tells us distinctly that, in settling what ought to be done and what ought not to be done, the sastra is our authority If we leave men to follow their own impulses and inclinations, most of them would work out their own ruin Philosophical analysis, the practice of yoga leading on to selfrealisation and God realisation, these tell us that the aikantika sukha mentioned before is the worthiest object of attainment for us and that the rule of righteousness is the means for its attainment But the majority of mankind fail to realise this and prefer the pleasures of this world and the satisfaction of the senses

It would be absurd to base morality on the changing whims and caprices of men The democratic spirit of the modern age has striven to emphasise the importance of the individual at the expense of society There has been an endeavour to impose on society the discordant ideals and tastes of the individuals of whom it is made up, in matters of morality It is argued that society is nothing more than a collection of individuals and that it cannot set itself up as an arbiter of tastes and ideals. The morality of any society at any particular period, they say, is based on the desires and interests of the dominint group in that society There is certainly truth in this view but it ignores the important fact that society is not an arithmetical sum of individuals but something distinctive and unique by itself. Society as a whole has a characteristic individuality and power of its own, that are recognised by all statesmen and legislators, reformers and founders of religions. All the world over at all times in the history of civilisation it has been recognised that society has an authority which no individual can have Sometimes the exercise of this authority may not be entirely reasonable but on the whole the authority of social groups and the traditions that they

have successfully imposed on successive generations, have encouraged progress and civilisation. There is such a thing as solal experience apart from the experience of individuals. The problems that every headstrong youth desires to solve in his own way, have all been met and solved in the past, and in the interests of social harmony, it is essential that men should not be left to their own devices in regulating their conduct. At every moment of our lives, the influence of authority unobtrusively mculds our feelings, our aspirations and our beliefs.

"We must not forget," says Balfour, "that it is authority rather than reason, to which, in the main, we owe not religion merely, but ethics and politics, that it is authority which supplies us with essential elements in the premises of science, that it is authority, rather than reason, which lays deep the foundations of social life, that it is authority, rather than reason, which cements its superstructure" And he concludes that our superiority to the brute creation lies not so much in our capacity of convincing and being convinced by the exercise of reasoning as in our capicity of influencing and bing influenced by the exercise of authority We may if we please, amend this by saying that our superiority to the brute creation consists in our intelligently surrendering ourselves to trustworthy authority The fact, nevertheless, remains that human life has been moulded in the past and will be moulded in the future by social authority, that morality rests upon it, that the foundations of the state are laid upon it

It is legitimate to ask Whence does society derive this authority? Ultimately, this is not far different from the question. Whence does the moral law derive its sanction? The answer may be given in the words of one of the greatest thinkers of Europe—that we have to look on the moral law as the will of God. The society which compels us to adopt the everlasting rule of The society which compels us to adopt the everlasting rule of conduct, inasmuch as this rule is the embodiment of the of conduct, inasmuch as this rule is the embodiment of the will of God and inasmuch as, if we are left to the tender will of God our own reason and of our appreciation of our interests, we are sure to miss the goal Most of what I have told you now will become clearer during the course of our study of the systemth chapter. And this, we may begin now

The kind of life expected of us if we sincerely follow the law of righteousness, is first described in the following slokas:

थी भगवानुवाच--

यमयं सरवसंग्रुद्धिर्ज्ञानयोगन्यवस्थितिः । दान दमश्च यद्मश्च स्वाध्यायस्तप आजवम् ॥ १॥ अद्विसा सत्यमकोघस्त्यागदशान्तिरपैशुनम् । दया भूनेष्वछोलुष्य मार्दवं हीरचापलम् ॥ २॥ तेजः क्षमा घृतिदशौचमद्रोहो नाविमानिता। भवन्ति संपद देवीमभिजातस्य भारत ॥ ३ ॥

SRI KRISHNA SAID

- 1 Fearlessness, purity, firm and continued attachment to the life of philosophic wisdom, charity, self restraint, and worship, study of the Vedas, penance, and
- 2 Freedom from violence, truthfulness, freedom from anger, renunciation, peacefulness, aversion to slander, compassion towards (all) beings, freedom from avarice, gentleness, modesty and steadiness,
- 3 Genius, forgiveness, strength of will, cleanliness, freedom from treachery, lack of self-conceit,—(these) O Atjuna, are his, who is born to inherit the wealth of

The inheritors of daivt sampat are those who are endowed with the capacity to follow the rule of righteousness and are blessed with the vision which pierces the veil of majā and sees isto the heart of things—that is, those to whom praktiti has acted as the revealer of the Divine First of all, they are stated to be free from fear We have frequently discussed the nature and causes of fear in the course of our study. As you are aware, fear takes hold of us, when there is the prospect of our losing something which is priya or desirable to us, or of our experiencing something which is aprisa or disagreeable to us Now, the principles of karma yoga require that we should rise above pain and pleasure, desire and aversion and such like pairs of

Lec LXVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

opposites To a dvandvāiita, there is nothing which is priya or apriya Hence to acquire fearlessness, one must be a dvandvāiita

This may be achieved if there is sattva samsuddhi-a term which requires some explanation It has been interpreted to mean purity of the heart, but it appears to me that something slightly different is meant here You all know that Hinduism has made elaborate regulations about food Partly, no doubt, this must have been due to the common tendency of early religions to regard eating as a sacrament and to invest commensality with a religious significance In part, however, this was also due to the idea that the nature of the food that we eat affects us, not merely physically, but morally and mentally as well. There is a passage in the Chhandogya Ubanishad (vii 26 2) which states that the purity of the food that we est determines the purity of our physical constitution and that this in turn determines the strength and power of our smrits Ordinarily of course the term, 'smrits', stands for memory, but in this particular text it must be understood as referring to all the pow rs of our soul-will, intelligence and wisdom

It is not very difficult to see why such a view was held. It is known to you all that certain substances, when eaten or drunk, produce a marked effect on our mental and moral tendencies Alcohol, for instance, debilitates our understanding and undermines our sense of moral responsibility The effects of opium and other powerful narcotics are also well known There are certain drugs, which are capable of producing insanity if given in sufficiently large doses and for a sufficiently long period Years ago when I was a student in the Medical College, I remember il at our Professor of · Anatomy once traced the mental and spiritual differences between herbivorous and carnivorous animals to the nature of the food eaten by them. If we catch a tiger and imprison it in a cage we always find it restlessly and almost fiercely moving hither and thither On the other hand, a buffalo left free in the midst of an extensive field loves to sit still In some corner, where there is a little mire, it will settle down happily and find delight in quiet test These are two extremes, our Professor told us and they are more significant than any infervening examples we can think of

Lec. LXVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Food thus appears to have something to do with the constitution of our bodies and with the energy which the body has in store It may also be argued that, as between the vegetarian and the non-vegetarian fundamental differences exist, based on the amount of energy that they severally expend to convert their food into animal tissur. It may be urged that a greater amount of energy is necessary to convert veg-tarian than non vegetarian food into the tissues of our bodies, and that the meat eater, having at his disposal a larger amount of spontaneous physical energy, will be more inclined to be the slave of his body than the vegetarian this does not imply that vegetarian diet is weakening or debilitating The West has come to recognise, after the victories of the Japanese in the recent war against Russia, that vegetarian diet offers immunity against various diseases and confers great powers of endurance The facts that I have placed before you tend to show that the nature of the food that we eat determines our physiologi al constitution and that there is ample evidence in support of the declaration of the Chhandogya Upanishad If our praktiti 15 pure, it means that our natural temperament is of the desired kind and will help us to follow the law of righteousness

It may also be noted that the veg tarian is popularly considered to be more meditative and contemplative than one who lives on animal food One of the many reasons suggested for the difference between the meditative East and the aggressive West is the giving up of non vegetarian diet by the East I will not say that it is a complete answer to the problem, but it does seem to be a part of When our physical propensities are not in favour of a life of selfishness and sensuality, but are such as may help us in maintaining righteousness and achieving self restraint and self sacrifice, then we have some capacity to live the life of philosophic wisdom. It may be observed that in the case of every individual, whether he sa vegetarian or not, as he grows older and older, he grows more and more contemplative There is 'less of body and more of grace' With the decline in the visour of the body, the apirit asserts itself and makes it easy to live a life of self res raint and self-sacrifice Now, against the course of reasoning outlined here, it may be argued that to adopt the vegetarian diet and the life of contemplation as to court weakness. That this is not so may be made our from the victories of Japan over Russia

Lec LXVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT ,

Dana is not mere giving of gifts, but the spiritual impulse that is behind every act of charity and generosity Dama is selfrestraint, the power to tame the tiger and the ape within us Yajha is worship When we rise above the promptings of pleasure and pain and lead a life of philosophic thought, equality and service, when we practise dana and dama, then we will be naturally led to worship the God who is the foundation of the law of righteousness that we have to follow By stadhyaya is meant the study of the Vedas Adhana is the process of learning the Vedas by reciting the hymns in the manner in which the teacher chants them Svadhyaya is repeating the sacred hymns by oneself after learning them from the acharva, something very much like what the students call 'learning by heart' I think however, that the word is used here in a more comprehensive sense to indicate also serious study and independent thought on the problems of life that are discussed in the scriptures Tapas is the conquest of the self, the mortification of the flesh as they call it Dama is the power to tame the wild beast within us, tapas is the process by which the animal is tamed Fa ting exposure hard work, continuous thought and med tot on these and others constitute tapas, which is the process by which we can make pleasure cease to be pleasure and pain cease to be pain Arjavam is sincerity, agreement between the outer act and the inner thought

It is hardly necessary to say that the law of righteousness requires that we should practise ahimsa, that is, that we should inflict no injuries on others and that we should harbour ill feelings against none. Anger is only another name for a desire to harm and injure others, and freedom from this (akrodha) is clearly essential. It also follows that we should not stop with merely essential. It also follows that we should not stop with merely essential. It also follows that we should not stop with merely essential lit also follows that we should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should also live a life of positive service. Daya has been defined should have been defined should have been defined should have been defined should have been defi

yielding to temptations. There is also another reading, "alolustram", which also means practically the same thing Achāpalam, which is also later mentioned in this stanza, means freedom from fickleness. The fickleness must be understood to relate to both judgment and taste. The distinction between achāpalam and alolustram consists in this, that freedom from fickleness is, as it were, a necessary preliminary to the acquisition of the firmness which conquers all temptations.

Tyāga—to go back a little—is the readiness to renounce which is needed to acquire freedom from attachment Santi is that equanimity and evenness of disposition which has been described many times before in the Gija By 'mārdavam' is meant gentleness. Literally it means softness but it is preferable to understand it in the other sense here 'Hir' stands for modesty and the sense of shame It may be noted here that the sense of shame felt by the wrongdoer is different from that which is present in all peaceful and law abiding ciritems. The former is the result of an advere e judgment passed by public opinion. The latter, which alone must be meant here, looks forward to the judgment of society and, in anticipation thereof, moulds and guides conduct

"Tejas" means 'light' literally Figuratively, it is used in the sense of inforn power—the power which is possessed by heroic men of genus on account of their magnificent natural endowment. Such men are pioneers and pathfinders, the guides and exemplars of humanity. If the glow of genus is a divine inheritance, it may be asked whether we can do all that the great men have done intract their excellences as well as their defects. An ideal teacher is bound to ask his disciples to follow only whatever is good in his conduct. Slips and faults there may be in the lives of great men. They are not any the less slips and faults, however the splendour and power of genus are dwine and are capable of revealing to us the glory of God. Tras is that light of genus in whose presence smill faults and imperfections become insignificant like the sunspots in the splendour of the sun Kshama is patience forgiveness. It has been pointed out that, while akrosha is merely the suppression of the internal disturbance.

Lec LXVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

arising out of acts that provoke to anger, kshamā is the maintenance of an attitude of calm friendliness even while unfriendly acts are being done Dhris is the power of will, the quality of decision Saucha is cleanliness of body and mind Adroha is freedom from that vilest of all sins, treachery Natimānitā is humlity, absence of vanity, freedom from the tendency to think too much of oneself

In relation to the person who is so nobly born as to inherite data's sampat, there is this fund of good attributes. Even sintellectual capacity and physical vigour are matters of heredity and endowment, moral worth is also to a large extent determined by brith and inheritance. While some men are born to inherite the wealth of the gods, there are others who are denied this legacy Of these inheritors of diabolical wealth, Sri Kṛishṇa now proceeds to speak We may, however, postpone consideration of the question till next week and stop here for the present

leviii

The decas and the asuras have figured largely in Hindu mythology. The former are the powers of light, the latter are spirits of gloom and darkness In the Upanishads, they assumed a figurative significance. The dayas and the asuras typified respectively the good and evil propensities in us that Srl Krishna is using these terms in a very similar sense in the The det as Sri Ramanuia savs, are these who follow the commands of God and by the same token, the asuras are those who rebel against the authority of God and fail to render due obedience to the law of righteousness promulgated by Him Disti sampat is, therefore that moral endowment which helps us to lead a life that is in harmony with the will of God while daniel same at is such a fund of inherited qualities as leads us into wrong doing Last week, we studied Sri Krishna's description of the noble wealth of divine endowment. The stan-as with which we have to begin our work to-day, deal with the qualities that are danel or demoniscal

दम्भो दर्पोऽतिमानश्च क्षोधः पारुप्यमेव च । अज्ञानं चाभिजातस्य पार्थ सम्पदमासुरीम् ॥ ४ ॥

4 Pomp, arrogance, self-conceit and anger and also harshness and ignorance (belong) to one who is born to inherit demoniacal wealth, O Atjuna

Dambha is ostentatious display of self importance Darpa is hauteur, arrogance, the feeling that one is strong enough to do what one likes By 'abhimana' is meant self-conceit, a complacent faith in one's own greatness and importance Darpa and abhimana may seem synonymous, but there is a distinction that may be noted The latter is equivalent to atimania (thinking too much of oneself) the former is the feeling of nonchalance, the calm conviction of superiority that comes out of too high an estimate of one s abilities and qualities. Under its influence, criticism and opposition are of no avail and restraints are set at naught. When both darpa and abhimana are present, dambha is the inevitable outcome Krodha of course is anger, and parisham rudeness, harshness, or even cruelty

These qualities constitute the demoniacal endowment. Some of you may wonder why the assert qualities that are mentioned, are fewer than the data? Apart from the fact that Sri Kṛishna presently elaborates on the character and conduct of those who act up to these tendencies it is worth while to note that the vices enumerated here are more than sufficient to set off and counteract all the desirable virtues described in the first three Stokus

Now, if persons are born in this manner, some endowed with a capacity to live a life of purity sacrifice and self restraint, and others with a pronou seed tendency to lead a life characterised by selfishness and sensuality the question naturally arises. How are that we are born with definite moral tendencies does not render us morally irresponsible. It is left to us to choose the manner in which we may use those tendencies, and by that choice we shall be judged. We are not to pursue the path of least resistance and to place ourselves entirely under the sway of our natural instincts and impulses. If men are to obey nothing higher than their uniturored.

Lec LXVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

instincts and impulses, they would be prone to lead a hie of sensual pleasure rather than one of wisdom and self-restraint. In the first fifteen chapters of the $Gti\bar{a}$, the inferiority of a life of selfishness and sensuality to a life of samatva and service has been elaborately demonstrated. It must, therefore, be plain to you that davivi qualities are to be nurtured and cultivated, while the \bar{a} suri ones are to be suppressed and conquered. Sri Krishna distinctly informs us in the next verse what would happen to us if we allow ourselves to be guided entirely by our natural instincts and impulses, both when they happen to be divine and when they are demoniscal

दिवी सम्पद्धिमोक्षाय निवन्धायासुरी मता। मा गुचस्संपद दैवीममिजातोऽसि भारत॥ ७॥

5 The heritage of the gods is deemed to be (the means) for deliverance, that of the demons for bondage Grieve not, O Atjuna, for you are born to inherit the wealth of the gods

The divine wealth of moral endowment is calculated to lead us on to final release, while the fund of diabolical attributes tends to a rengthen our bondage. These tendencies with which we are born, are, of course, the result of our past karma They tend to colour our life and shape our character. But it must always be remembered that the soul can never escape its moral responsibility and that it is ever possible for us to rise above or fall below our inherited endowment. Even a man of the asure type may see the error of his ways and set his face towards light. When Sri Krishna divides mankind roughly into two classes, dairs and asuri. He is recording a fact of observation It is not His intention to teach that the inheritors of dair! sampat alone are eligible for salvation and that others are doomed to eternal "Here". He says in effect, "are desirable characteristics and here are undesirable traits. If you are naturally endowed with these uplifting qualities, cultivate them and encourage their influence, so that you may be led on to deliverance from samsdra If, however, it is your misfortune to be cursed with unlovely attributes, very to reform yourself " Understood in

this light, \$ri Kṛishna's teaching on this subject can never be confounded with the fatalism that kills all incentive to moral effort

It is noteworthy that Sri Krishna here definitely assures Arjuna that he is on the side of the angels It is true, of course, that Sri Kfishna thoroughly disapproves of Arjuna's attitude towards war In His view, to retire from the battlefield will constitute a clear dereliction of duty If, however, we analyse Arjuna's attitude, we will find that, b-hind his refueal to fight, there is no lack of moral sensibility, no sense of indifference to duty He is all the time acutely conscious of his duty, he is only anxious to know what his right and proper duty is at the moment He is involved in a dharma sankata faced by a conflict of duties He feels that he is in honour bound to fight in the war as a soldier who has enlisted himself in a just war, he feels at the same time the horror and cruelty of war the useless slaughter of those whom he respects and loves and fears the grave and wide spread social confusion that every major war is bound to leave in its wake Pity and sympathy well up in him, and these have their roots we must recognise in a desire to sacrifice the Interests of the self for the sake of what he deems to be higher things Not for the sovereignty of the three worlds is he prepared to kill his own kith and kin Troubled by a conflict of duties, Arjuna is tossed on the waves of doubt Unable to come to any decision he suffers the pangs of despair

I remember to have pointed out to you during our study of the first chapter that one who feels a conflict of duties is morally apperior to one who is indifferent to the claims of duty. The former is anxious to discharge the burden of his duties but unable to decide what the right course for him to adopt in a troublesome situation is he may be overcome by indecision and remain passive. The latter does not care for him duties at all. Deliberately perform what is recognised to be one's duty is a clear violation of duty. But Arjuna is so intensely intent on the performance of his duty that he does not want to perform that duty in any wrong manner. If he is capable of feeling earnestly and sincerely a conflict of dutes it indicates his readiness to carry out his

प्रमृत्ति च निवृत्ति च जना म विदुरासुराः। न शौचं नाषि चाचारो न सत्यं तेषु विद्यते ॥ ७ ॥

 Demoniacal persons know neither pravritti nor nivitti. Nor is purity found in them, nor even regulated conduct, nor truthfulness.

As you are well aware, our religion teaches two different ways of life known by the names of pravfitti-marga and nivfitti-marga. The former is the life of aggressive achievement, the latter is the path of renunciation and retirement. Our sastras enjoin both When imperfectly understood, pravisti; and nivritis appear to be mutually contradictory It is rather difficult to understand their true significance and effect a reconciliation between them And hence, Sri Krishna's teachings in the Gita are mainly concerned with showing how the life of active work and achievement may well be reconciled with a life of renunciation and true self surrender Arjuna's dharma sankata arose out of the opposition that he saw between the call to action and the spirit of renunciation He thought mistakenly, that the life of renunciation and asceticism was superior to the life of action and achievement In this sense, of course, Arjuna did not know what pravritti was and what niviiti was Nevertheless, this ignorance is not an asuri characteristic for the reason that Arjuna was earnest and sincere in his consideration of the question and was eager and anxious to learn the truth The ignorance of the asuri type of people about this question is a wanton and wilful ignorance They do not care to consider the question at all

Further, cleanliness of body and mind, regulated conduct and truthfulness are not found in them. These qualities constitute the deepest layers of the foundation on which the edifice of one's moral life has to be built. If this foundation is wanting, there will be no moral life worth spaking of You may remember in this connection the story that I told you in one of our former classes from him a promise never to tell a lie. Truthfulness is at the basis of every rule of conduct. When there is no truthfulness, nor regulated conduct, nor purity of mind, one's moral life is wrecked. And for this reason, the lack of these essential virtues is brought

Lec. LXVIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

to our notice at the very commencement of Šri Krishna's description of the āsura sarga

असत्यमैत्रतिष्ठं ते जगदाहुरनीश्वरम् । अपरस्परसंभृतं फिमम्यत्कामहेतुकम् ॥ ८ ॥

8 They say: "The universe (is) unreal, without any basis, without any God, produced without any relation between an antecedent cause and a subsequent effect, and intended for the satisfaction of desire. What else (is) necessary to account for 12.

Here is a description of the philosophic outlook of persons of the demoniscal type. They refuse to recognise the reality of the universe. Nothing is real to them except their own desires. To believe in the reality of a universe is to recognise the necessity for establishing ethical relations with it, and that is the last thing they want to do. Being unreal, it has no basis and no God. It follows that the universe need obey no law of causality. A fortuitous concourse of atoms brought into existence by chance, the universe need not be understood by us to have any purpose other than the satisfaction of our desires.

We may note in passing that the expression, 'aparaspara sambhutam,' has given rise to some difficulty in interpretation. It has been taken to mean 'not produced by mutual union (of the sexes)' Along with kim anyat, it may be construed thus "What else is produced without mutual union?" The answer to this rhetorical question is palpably in the negative. We are, therefore, to regard the asuri philosophy as holding that sexual passion is the only cause of the universe. I prefer however, to think that a characteristic philosophic position held by the Charvakas and other secularis's is outlined here. If you believe that the universe is real and sustained and supported by one who is its Lord, then you can see that the law of causation reigns supreme in the universe. God willed, and the universe came into existence. It is regulated by the laws ordained by Him for that purpose This, in short, is the theistic position. The atheist does not believe in a God and will say that the universe came into existence anudentally. He does not believe in a final cause which

is to be regarded as the creator of the visible universe. If you retort that the universe which is after all here for all of us to see and experience, must have had a cause, he will answer that the universe is unreal and therefore appraisable. It did not come into existence in accordance with any law of causality, nor is it governed by any such law.

The question then arises If the universe is unreal, unsupported, Lordless and brought into existence without any adequate and accountable cause, what is its purpose? Whatever may be the philosophical explanation of the birth and progress of the universe, our experience tells us that there is a universe of some kind It may be a vanishing phantasmagoria, it may be real or unreal Still it is there, and being there, it is legitimate to enquire what object is served by its existence. The asuritype of their own desires. Their moral level is not much above that of the beasts of the field

यतां रिष्टमवष्टभ्य नष्टात्मानोऽस्पवुद्धयः । त्रभवन्युप्रकर्माणः क्षयाय जगतोऽहिताः ॥ ९ ॥

9 Maintaining this view, they lose their souls, become mean minded, and as enemies, do fiercely harmful deeds to the destruction of the universe.

In the position of the materialist, as explained above is there any room left for the category of the soul? Most likely he will maintain that the soul is something not far different from what we generally call the mind, functioning with life and ceasing with death. As we have seen, Srt Krishpa has given us many reasons in favour of a different philosophy based on the existence of God and the immortality of the seul. If we accept the teachings of Srt Krishpa it is easy to see that the attentive position is not only men of the assurf type are described as nashifitimanh and alpa buddhayah, persons of lost and souls and mean intellects flaving no faith in a soul which on souls and mean intellects life, nor in a God enforcing the moral law, they become the slaves of their desires. And in trying to satisfy them, they brook no

lvix

The whole of mankind is divided in this chapter into two distinct groups, the daster group and the aster group This classification is based on the belief that, just as men are born with peculiar physical traits and characteristic intellectual capacities, they are also born with distinctive moral idiosyncrasies Some are born with inner potentialities that enable them to lead lives of purity and strength There are others again with congenital tendencies in favour of crime This belief, that men are born with special moral endowments, is not against the demonstrated conclu sions of science We may even go farther and say that modern scientific investigation is tending to support this view. Not that we are to understand that our moral lives are pre-determined at birth The implication is that the natural endowment and power with which any individual is born, is an important factor in the constitution of his moral life and cannot be ignored. It is only when we bear these considerations in mind, that the classification of humanity into those who are blessed with the capacity to lead the moral life and those who are not so endowed, becomes

Last week we were dealing with the characteristics of those who belong to the asurt stishit. They adopt, we were told, an ideal of life in which neither God nor the soul has any place They believe that this universe is unreal, unsupported and free from the control of a Divine Governor, and they are convinced that the only guidance for the conduct of their lives is to be derived from the play of their own natural instincts and desires Adopting an atheistic view of the universe and the secularist view of morality, they lose their souls. They miss the supreme end for which they have been granted the boon of life They manifest neither the strength, nor the intelligence which will enable them to arrive at the truth As was pointed out last time, by leading lives based on atheism and secularism, they not only ruin themselves, but also hinder others from attaining their salvation Association with them spells danger for all The description of their characteristics is continued in the floras that follow

Lec LXIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

काममाधित्य दुष्प्रं दम्भमानमदान्विताः । मोहाद्गृहीत्वाऽसद्गृहान्प्रवर्तन्तेऽशुचित्रताः ॥ १० ॥

10. Abandoning themselves to insatiable desires, full of pomp, pride and arrogance, (they) adopt vicious resolves through delasion and bind themselves to unholy vows

We have already learnt that desire is by its very nature insatiable, that as fire is fed by fuel, even so desire derives nourishment from the objects of desire. It grows by what it feeds Persons of the demoniacal type have no ideals except the satisfaction of their desires. And, as desire is a barsh task master whom nothing can ever satisfy, they lead lives bereft of purpose or direction, void of joy or peace. It is further stated that they are all characterised by pomp, pride and arrogance. The corresponding Sanskrit expressions, 'dambha', 'mana' and 'mada' are all cognate in meaning and imply some kind of over-estimation of the self Dambha is said to be the manifestation of a piety and a purity which do not actually exist Religious hypocrites are common the world over and are always characterised by the desire to show themselves off as above the common herd in matters spiritual Mana is the conviction that one is more worthy of honour and respect than one deserves. When we want to be praised and honoured for virtues and qualities that are not ours. then we are characterised by mane. It corresponds to pride in a somewhat derogatory sense Mada is the delusion of over confidence in and over estimation of one's abilities. You may, if you like, differentiate these three qualities in this context by looking upon dambha as relating to the religious aspect, mana as being concerned with the moral aspect, and mada as referring to the intellectual and physical aspects of our life

The underlying assumption of self importance in all these cases may be regarded as the means for the fulfilment of an end, anmely, the satisfaction of desires. It gives the needed impetus towards making the necessary efforts and helps to overcome such scruples as may stand in the way. The desire to stand well in the eyes of society and to earn honour and respect, a proper appreciation of one's own qualities—these are not necessarily

vices More often than not they constitute a powerful incentive to moral effort But when they lead to humbug and hypocrisy and to a continuous display of aggressive selfishness they become demoniacal attributes This is made clear by the reference to asad graha and asuchi vrata in the later half of the stan'a Asad graha is an improper mental resolve All resolutions made for satisfying the promptings of desire are improper, judged from the standpoint of the teachings of the Gita relating to the salvation of soul emancipation and God attainment The determination to amass wealth or to titillate the palate cannot show us the way to liberation Desire gives rise to sanga sanga breeds karma and karma creates bondage Now improper resolves are made because people do not know the truth and are deluded about their real interests And to carry out these improper resolves they become asuch vratas wedded to unholy vows Graha is the mental resolve vrata is the carrying out of the resolution

> चिन्तामपरिमेयाञ्च प्रल्यान्तामुपाश्चिता । कामोपभोगपरमा एनावदिति निश्चिता १०॥ थाज्ञापाञ्चनेवद्धा सामसोधपरायणा ।

- ईंडन्ने नामभोगार्यमन्यायेनायसञ्जयान् ॥ ० ॥ 11 Subject to immeasurable anxiety ceasing only at death, holding the satisfaction of desires to be the highest (ideal), convinced that this to all
- 12 Bound by a hundred tres of hope, wholly devoted to desire and anger, they wish (to obtain) heaps of wealth by unjust means for the gratification of (their) desires

No one can be free who bases his life on desires and their eastisfaction Endless worry will gnaw at his heart. Countless desires will clamour for his attention every mement and the satisfaction of any one of them will only give it renewed strength. He will be like a man bound by a thousand chains each drawing him in a different d rection. Servant of many masters tossed

hither and thither by the demands of conflicting desires he will lead a care worn and anxious life. He has freed himself from the mandates and prohibitions of ethics and society only by pledging his soul to the all devouring fangs of desire. Death, alone can free him from the never-ceasing and exorbitant demands of desire, and even then only apparently For the karma that he has created in his vam pursuit after the will to the wisp of desire will ching to him and handicap him in his next birth. To go after objects of desire in this manner is no uncommon thing. Many of us do not know that it is necessary to conquer desire to prosper here and hereafter. And even among the few who know the power to rise to the height of renunciation is rarely found. Nevertheless it is not often that we come across people who deliberately hold that the satisfaction of desires is the highest object attainable by man and that no worther ideal can demand our homage. For most of the voraries at the shrine of desire have at least an obscure feeling that man can seek higher things. It is only those who deliberately adopt an atheistic and secularist c philosophy that formulate the satisfaction of desire to be the supreme end of life. And this is a sure indication of the asuri nature

When any one of set purpose places, himself in this manner at the disposal of desire he is deluded by the vagaries of hope Nothing kindles desire so much as the rosy pictures which fancy paints of its satisfaction and the man who bases his life on the satisfaction of kama is literally bound by a hundred ties of hope Continually obsessed by desires and ever expecting to realise them nothing daunts him in his endless quest. No failures dishearten him the renewed demands of desire reinforce his zest. He cannot call his soul his own Every passing fancy of the moment every little temptation enslaves him. Distracted and disintegrated his life is without lasting peace or satisfaction. Compare him with a samuasin who is master of his soul Superficially, it may seem as though the latter led a stunted and impoverished existence On a further consideration it will become clear that the samuacen is freet in the sense that he is not so much dependent on his environment he can adjust himself to all conditions. He can bear hunger and thirst. He can find his repose on the inhospitable ground with the sky for his canopy Desires do not obsess him. temptations leave him untouched. He is free to otder and integrate

his life and make it serve some great ideal Forgetting his self and its petry demands, he can attain enduring happiness and peace For happiness and freedom have to be won, not through indulgence, but through renunciation True varrägya conquers fear and destroys disappointment

Now, those who are enslaved to desire become the slaves of anger as well For it is not always that one can accomplish one's desires Obstacles may stand in the way Disappointment may crown one's efforts And when there is disappointment, there is anger against those who are held to be responsible therefor, rightly or wrongly Every one, when free from desire, will be free from anger also, for it is thwarted desire that rouses our wrath Desire is maddening and tyrannical in its hold. Anger is a blinding and imperious force Those who are swayed by anger and desire are the tools of tremendous forces that know no distinction between right and wrong. They will be restrained by no scruples in guiding their lives They will seek to amass wealth by fair means or foul in order to satisfy their desires, and likewise, by fair means or foul, they will seek to remove all obstacles standing in their way. The promptings of desire cloud our moral sense And unfair accumulation of wealth is only a reflection in conduct of a mind enslaved to desire, demoniacal in its indifference to right and wrong

The dush $p\overline{u}_{ratva}$ of $k\overline{a}_{ma}$ is further explained in the following verse

इदमय मया लज्यमिमं प्राप्त्ये मनोग्थम् । इदमन्तीदमपि मे भविष्यति पुनर्धनम् ॥ २३ ॥

13 "This has now been gained by me, that wish I will fulfi! This wealth is mine, and that shall also be

Can one attain peace and satisfaction after the acquisition by unfair means of a certain amount of wealth? Is there ever a stage when the ambitions of those who are the slaves of desire, get fulfilled? If they at any time gain that satisfaction, we can say of them that, though their past was full of heedless wrong doing in

Lec LXIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

their ceaseless hunt after objects of desire, there is promise of a better future, their ambitions fulfilled and desires satisfied, they may take to ways of virtue and righteousness But, as a matter of fact, desire knows no end. The satisfaction of one desire breeds another, or in the alternative, gives it another lease of life with renewed strength and vigour. The successful quest after one object of desire gives them no contentment. Ever restless, they look around for fresh fields and pastures new Similar is their attitude to the wealth that they have acquired for the purpose of satisfying their desires. At the heginning, perhaps they may not be over greedy; they may fix a limit to their soaring ambition But, day by day, as they progress nearer and nearer that limit, at will recede farther and farther away like the horizon. And as this money is earned unjustly, there is no limit nor end to the injustice that is practised. We cannot say "We shall practise injustice only so far or so long After that, we shall become honest" Even as desire is endless the injustice that is perpetrated as a means for its satisfaction is also endless

So far we have dealt with kama, the positive incentive to the satisfaction of desire. In the next \$toka \$rt Krishna deals with krodha which helps one negatively in the fulfilment of one's desire.

असी मया हतदशर्दुहिनय्ये चापरानिष । ईर्यरोऽहमह भोगी सिद्धोऽहं वलवान्सुखी ॥ १४ ॥

14 "This enemy has been slain by me Others, too, I shall slay I am the lord (of all), I know how to enjoy myself (lit I am the enjoyer) I am successful, strong (and) happy"

The quest after the objects of desire is not always crowned with success. And whenever there is failure, there is disappoint ment. This in turn gives rise to anger against those who are considered rightly or wrongly, to be responsible therefor. The votaries of desire have rivals and enemies. And it is part of their creed to destroy all who stand in their way. One after another the enemies must be eliminated and success must be achieved at any cost. When at last success is guard in this manner, there is a any cost.

feeling of exhibitation. The entire universe seems to lie at their feet for the moment, they are the monarchs of all they survey It may be asked how this feeling of satisfied elation is to be accounted for, in view of the statement frequently made that desire is by its very nature insatiable The answer is that this feeling of exhibitation does not arise out of contentment and peace, out of satisfaction at the fulfilment of desires, it is the normal but evanescent accompaniment of success from moment to moment The man who holds the satisfaction of desires to be the highest ideal is the lord of none least of all, of himself His desires lord it over him He has no contentment no satisfaction Success gives him no lasting happiness He is not prosperous, he is not strong he is not happy. But he feels that he is all these. And in this stanza we are told not what he is in reality, but what he thinks himself to be Get rid of all ideas of justice and injustice, of right and wrong Keep away all kindness and mercy. Think only of the fulfilment of the objects of your desires and live your life accordingly Then how would you feel? That is what is described

आढथोऽभिजनपानस्मि मोऽन्योऽस्ति सद्दशो मया । यद्दये दास्यामि मोदिष्य इत्यज्ञानविमोहिताः ॥ १५ ॥

15 "I am rich and nobly born. Who else is there like unto me? I will perform sacrifices, I will give alms, I will be happy" Thus, deluded by ignorance,

The bad would pass off as far better than what they are No one, however deliberately unscrupulous he may be in winning the objects of his desire shums the glamour of respectability, the flattery of fame. Hypocrisy wrote Bacon, is the homage which vice pays to virtue. The wicked do not care to be exposed in their true colours. They clothe themselves in garments of virtue and expect to be accorded the respect due to models of integrity and puntity. They may think that, though they have earned wealth unfairly and unscrupulously, they can set themselves right with their conscience and with society by applying their wealth to ostenatious worship and pompous acts of charity. In all this, they are actuated not so much by a genuine repentance which will change the character of their lives, as by a love of fame. Even

Lec LXIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

heartfelt remotss has value only as it moulds the future pattern of our lives. This showy homage to virtue falls far short of that And of such as these who have guided their lives first by the demands of desire, and then by a greed for fame, can we say that they have acted well and with a knowledge of the true purpose of life? It is evident that they are blinded by ignorance, deluded by wrong ideas about the worthiest object of human attainment And so. Srt Krishna continues, they progress thus

अनेक्चित्तविभ्रान्ता मोहजालसमावृता । प्रसन्ता काममोगेषु पतन्ति नरकेऽशुचौ ॥ १६ ॥

16 Bewildered by numerous thoughts, surrounded by a network of delusion, addicted to the gratification of desires, they fall down into impure hell

A life dedicated to the gratification of desires, is inevitably a life of distraction, of warring aims and purposes. No single aim can animate it and give it strength and direction. As each desire is satisfied, another in full strength rises up. This distraction, this absence of singleness of purpose is due in the main to two reasons Firstly, a desire, when partially satisfied, loses its strength for the moment and begins to pall upon us A glutton, heavy with a good dinner, for the time being is not greedy for food. But he may well be actuated by some other desire. The desire for good food has to recoup its energy, before it sways him again Secondly, no desire can last throughout the life of a man Variable by nature it changes from time to time according to the physical and psychological conditions of an individual People in sound health have desires different from those entertained by invalids The sight of appetising food may bring hauses on to a sufferer from indigestion. The desires of children are notably different from those of youth and these, again, are hardly similar to those of old age. If you bear these facts in mind, you can see how the votaries of desite are compelled to lead a stormy and distracted life Having many objects in view they become confused and bewildered They do not have the calm and clear intellect which will enable them to grasp the reality of things. Addicted to the gratification of desires, they are caught in the meshes of delusion And, in the end, they fall down into impure hell Very soon

Sri Kjuhna explains what He means by this impure hell. No definite locality in space is referred to, no 'other world' in a concrete and material sense. Only a condition or state of life is to be understood, a condition or state which the light of wisdom dees not illuminate and which is darkened by delusions about the true ideals of life.

Of the true purpose of life, the goal we have all to strive for, Sri Krishna has already spoken to us in great detail have been taught to distinguish between the body and the soul, so as to understand that, while the body is changing and mortal, the soul is immutable and immortal The association of the soul with the body is a forced one brought about by the influence of karma, and has the effect of imposing grave limitations on the powers of the soul to know, to experience and to enjoy For the soul to attain its freedom, the effect of karma has to be neutralised And this, we all know, may be achieved in various ways The reality of the soul and its true nature are demonstrated to us in the revealing experience of self-realisation. Further illumination awaits us in the rarer experience of God realisation We can thus easily see that the position of the Charvaka or the atheist, as explained in the eighth stanza of this chapter, is wrong and untenable The true aim of life we have been clearly taught, is the attainment of moksha or emancipation by the soul, whereby it may free it-elf from the bondage of matter and enlarge its powers to know the truth and to enjoy supreme bliss This is the summum bonum of life One who misses this goal strays away into an unworthy and impure condition. It is this condition, which Sri Krishna styles as asuchi naraka, impure hell

व्यात्मसंमाधिनास्स्त घा धनमानमदान्तिता । यत्तन्ते नार्मयग्रस्त दस्मेनाविधिपूर्वरम् ॥ १७ ॥

17 Self-conceited, stubborn, filled with the pride and intoxication of wealth, they worship ostentatiously with nominal sacrifices in a manner which is not in accordance with the ordinances of the Satira.

In this verse \$1! Krishpa deals further with the ostentatious piets of those who have hoarded wealth by unfair means and who

Leg LXIX) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

are actuated by no sense of moral obligation. That they have a high opinion of themselves goes without saying Having had their fill of pleasures in the first Instance, they begin to seek fame by advertising themselves through stately acts of worship and generous gifts to the needy and the poor. It cannot be denied that society, as a whole, benefits by this kind of activity on their part Money, whether justly or unjustly acquired, always relieves the needy and the poor. A starving mouth can be fed equally well by both None the less, society, if and when it knows the facts, will disdain to honour the man who follows up a career of merry wrong-doing with a display of pompous piety. There will be always whispers behind his back, allusions to his dark and stormy past. You all know the Tamil proverb which ridicules one who attempts to make amends for his killing a cow with a present of shoes made out of its hide. Can the gift counteract the sin especially when the gift is made not so much out of repentance as out of a love of fame? Social opinion will refuse to recognise spontaneous generosity or sincere piety in such seekers after public applause. They will be honoured only by themselves, though they may believe themselves to be honoured by all

Their acts of worship will be only nominal. They betake themselves to religion only to attain respectability. They may conform to the letter of every scriptural ordinance and every religious ritual, but the heart and core of religion will be wanting in their worship. Lacking faith and sincerity r ligious ritual is a mockety and a farce A slavish conformity to the details of religious rites will not save these from being irreligious in the true sense of the term Essentially therefore, the p ety of these seekers of fame cannot be recognised by the sastras However they may succeed in hoodwinking those around them the watchful eyes of God will see through them and the eternal law of karma exact its just retribution Note especially Sri Krishna's description of insincere piety as being against the laws of the saetras. The practice is prevalent amongst us of starting every act of worship with a sankalba, the object of which is to clarify our attitude and ensure that our desire in regard to the performance of the worship is genuine and based on true faith. If the desire is not of this kind, the worshipper would feel that he is untrue to himself.

When the sankalpa is untrue and unreal, the act of worship cannot be in accordance with the laws and ordinances laid down in the satura. In other words, insincere worship sins against the spirit of the sastras, even though it may conform in every particular to the letter thereof. The Pharisee cannot taste the fruits of true religion

अहङ्कारं वलं टर्पं कामं क्रोधं च संधिताः। मामारमपरदेहेषु ब्रिडियन्तोऽभ्यस्यकाः ॥ १८ ॥

. 18 Indulging in egotism, (the exercise of) strength, pride, desire and anger, these envious persons hate Me in their own bodies and in those of others

Some of you may feel inclined to ask why we should question the sincerity of those who seem to turn religious late in life There is nothing to prevent people from turning their faces towards the light after years of mis spent life It is never too late to repent and seek forgiveness at the hands of God We are, however, here concerned only with those who practise the rites and virtues of religion with a view to self advertisement these, it must be said that every act of worship that they perform is vitiated by insincerity and is, therefore, against the laws and ordinances of the scriptures Their fundamental Insincerity, Sri Krishna points out in this stanza is based upon their refusal to recognise God as He is in themselves and in others, as pervading penetrating and sustaining everything and every being in the universe If such persons perform acts of religious worship, they can only be nominal acts of worship. It is impossible to be an atheist and be religious at the same time, or as they say, we cannot simultaneously pay our homage to both God and Mammon The record of their past shows us the principles on which they have been conducting their lives And from this, it is easy enough to understand their attitude towards God and their

Suppose we real se that God pervades all things in the manner in which a thread is seen to run through the gems of a necklace, and suppose further that we attune our moral tendencies so as to love God Under these circumstances, can our life be Lamasrita and kama lastuka? Would we not rather lead a life of samatra

Lec LXIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

and service? If all are the abodes of God, all are equal to one another in the eyes of God. And from this is naturally derived the great ideal of samate a, which makes us realise the sorrows and joys of others as our own and impels us to sacrifice our interests for the sake of others. The realisation of the ideal of samatua compels us to wear ourselves away in the service of others how can there be service without sacrifice, without the power of self-restraint, without mastery over desire? If you are the slave of your passions, how can you render any service to any one at any time? The votaties of desire cannot lead a life of service and That is, they have not realised God in themselves and others. A life which has for its object, the gratification of desires. is incompatible with God realism on or even with faith in God When a worshipper at the shrine of desire performs religious worship, it is hardly unfair to characterise such worship as merely nominal

Egotism, pride in strength, desire and anger these characterise the demoniacal type. And because of their reliance on these, they not merely fail to realise God in themselves and in others, but actually hate the divine. You are already aware that, throughout the Mahābharata, there runs the idea that the still silent voice within us is the voice of God. When we have realised that the universe is periaded, supported and sustained by God, it is not difficult to see that He reigns from within our heart and admonishes and advises us through the voice of conscience. This warning voice which commands us through mandates and prohibitions rises up from the deepest layers of our being and makes its presence felt in moments of moral crists. It is not a syllogism of logic, it does not come to us as the result of aroued conviction but flashes spontaneously across our minds. A

A voice within forbids and summons us to refrain And if we bid it to be silent, it is yet not still

it is not in our control

It acts without our order, without our asking against our will lt is in us, it belongs to us, but it is not of us it is above us

It is moral, it is intelligent, it is not we, nor at our bidding. It pervades mankind as one life pervades the trees.

It has been suggested that it would not be wise to leave people to regulate their conduct in accordance with the dictates of their conscience, because at times conscience may incite some to wrong doing This view presents us, however, not with a moral, but with a linguistic or rather a lexicographical difficulty, for arguments such as these understand by conscience something radically different from what we believe it to be. Conscience is that sense of moral judgement within us which leads us to appraise ourselves more often unfavourably than favourably, which impels us to follow disadvantageous virtue rather than advantageous vice. Evil-doers may succeed in almost smothering it out of existence, but while it continues to exist, it will always operate in favour of virtue and morality. The manner in which it operates may be different in different communities and persons variously circumstanced It is certainly true that conscience depends on accepted ideas of morality and justice But, given that these vary from time to time, from country to country, from one state of eivilisation to another, it may be observed that conscience prompts men to act up to the accepted rules of justice and righteousness in the society and civilisation to which they may happen to belong Whatever the community and civilisation we may belong to, whatever may be the code of morality or law, the conception of virtue and duty that may be prevalent, so long as there is some code of morality, idea of justice and rule of virtue, so long our conscience will try to persuade us to follow that code of morality, that rule of virtue, that law of justice

This is a large question in ethics, and difficulties appear at every point. It may asked, for instance, whether conscience in general is different from fear of social consequences and whether, in exceptional cases, it is not a form of self expression, of a will to impose one's own opinions on one's community. Hindu thinkers are quite familiar with the idea of moral codes changing from time to time and from community to community. That is why they speak of sāmānja āhānmas, duties for all times and all sorts and conditions of men. That is why, too the fundamentals of Hindu citics are based on the enduring reality of the soul. The ideal of samatua and the duty of service, as expounded in the Gliā, may be seen to be universal in their significance. They hold good for all times and for all states of civilisation. Minor rules of social stimes and for all states of civilisation.

Lec LXIX] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

behaviour may and often do vary from time to time, but this cannot affect the broad foundations of a sound ethles. We may say, if we like, that the apint of morality endures always, though its expression varies with changing times and circumstances. And conscience is ever the call of the higher nature of man, of the spirit of justice seated in our souls. Frequently it takes the form of a command to conform to the current moral code, but, occasionally, it rebels against laws and usages that have outlasted their usefulness and have ceased to be in conformity with the foundational laws of ethics. Whatever the circumstances, we are justified in regarding the voice of conscience as the voice of God

Now, let us take the life of a man who is kamasrita Till he succeeds in completely strangling his conscience, at every moment the relentless mentor within will be voicing its protest Conscience may well seem to him to be a teasing torment, a nagging annovance, marring his joys and killing his hopes. No wonder that he hates the God within him. If the God who is within his fellow creatures attracts his homage, he will be induced to lead a life of service and self-sacrifice. When the miseries of others fail to move him in this way, when appeals for help fall on deaf ears, and when the cause of personal advancement leads him to deal with those who stand in his way with ruthless efficiency then surely it is easy to see that he must be hating the God in others. This harred, however, cannot kill the God in him or in others He may hope that, if the calls of conscience are disregarded a time may come when it will cease to function altogether. But this is never the case A conscience which appears powerless and weak and which seems to have been put to sleep long ago, is only waiting for its apportunity. And when this arrives, it reasserts itself with tremendous force

The greater the power with which the conscience is kept crushed down the greater the force of the ultimate rebound. In the play of Macbeth, Shakespeare has portrayed with imaginative splendour the futile war of a powerful will with the voice within We see the struggle in all its phases from the initial recoil of Macbeth from the foul deed of murdering his king and guest to his dull despair at the end, when life seems to him "a tale told by an idor, full of sound and fury, signifying nothing." All the career

of crime which intervened was only a mistaken effort to restore peace to a mind, continually tormented by a repressed conscience seeking to assert itself. Nothing, however, can kill that God within; none can stalk the world, free of heart and buoyant in spirits, after rebelling against the commands of conscience.

Much less can we kill the God in others. The divine may thus seem to be very inconvenient to those who hold the satisfaction of selfish desires to be the highest ideal in life. Their hatred of God proves harmless against Him. And impotent hatred paves the way for envy Envying and hating God, they lead lives of egotism, self-confidence, pride and anger. But to what end, to what ultimate purpose? And what is to be their destiny? The answer to these questions is furnished in the next \$loka, which, with your kind permission, I propose to take up for study next week.

Ixx

We were dealing last week with the general characteristics of the people who belong to the asuri srishts, that is to say, those who cannot or do not choose to rise above the tendencies of the flesh. We learnt something about their philosophy and their code of conduct. Starting with the assumption that the whole universe has no foundation in reality and that it is not supported by God, they arrive at the conclusion that the universe exists for the sole purpose of enabling them and those who think like them to enjoy life in the manner they think best. Holding such an ideal, they hunt after the satisfaction of their desires and the means therefor. In this quest after selfish satisfaction, they are hindered by no scruples, but after attaining a certain amount of success in their career, they begin to court the good opinion of society by pretending to practise the virtues of religiot. In reality, they hate God as He manifests Himself in themselves and others And in addition, they envy Him as well: for hatred, when powerless to wreak harm, ends in envy. The question arises. What is the destiny of those who go on leading selfish and vicious lives in this manner, hating and envying God? That question, Sri Krishna now proceeds to answer : and incidentally, in the course of that answer, He also makes clear what His conception of hell is,

तानह द्विपतः क्रान्संसारेषु नराधमान् । श्रिपाम्यजन्नमञ्ज्ञानासुरीप्वेच योनिषु । १९ ॥

19 These enemies, cruel, unholy, the worst of men, I throw continually into demoniacal wombs in the worlds of tamenta.

Those who are described as enemies here are, of course, the demoniacal type of people who we were told, hate God in themselves and others. The more they hate God, the more they add to the increasing burden of their karma. The reason for their inimical feelings towards. God is to be found in the fact that they think that God stands in the way of their pleasures. To one who knonours the voice of conscience as the voice of God and who realises the divinity in all beings, a life of selfish pleasures and enjoyments is not possible. The best course of life for him would be the path of samaton and service. Those who hate God do not really hate Him so much as the life of sacrifice and unselfish altruism. They know only how to serve what seems on a superficial examination to be their own interests. Mistaking pleasure for happiness, they commit serious blunders in life.

The distinction between pleasure and happiness, or what comes to the same thing, between the pleasant and the good, is well brought out in the Ubanishads Even in the smits literature, they differentiate between what is priva and what is hita. It is only when we fail to realise that what is pleasant need not necessarily on that account be good as well that there is danger of ruin to our moral life Those who are characterised by demoniscal traits are possessed by the spirit which confounds pleasure with happiness and which recognises no higher good than the satisfaction of desires Such people would naturally hate anything that stands in the way of their enjoyment and satisfaction. The ideal of samatva with all its ethical implications seems to them an obstacle. tending to prevent them from realising the purpose of life and as such, they hate the voice of God within themselves and the manifestation of divinity in others with equal fervour. They are thus haters of God, cruel in disposition, the worst among men

n addition, they are also spoken of as asubha, inauspiaious in relation both to themselves and others. For they are the enemies of themselves quite as much as of the world

To them, it is stated here, the Lord awards the punishment of recurring births and deaths in the world of samsara Life in this world must be looked upon as a means to an ultimate end If it is a legitimate question to ask why at all souls should have been compelled to so journ here and if one may venture to speculate on the purpose of God in maintaining and guiding the process of the universe, with any hope of catching the faintest glimmering of truth, then it may be suggested that the life of samsara is something ir the nature of a training-ground for the soul, a gymnasium where it acquires strength, self restraint and the power to make self sacrifice It is, of course, somewhat like a prison house in that the powers of the soul are curtailed and limited But then prisons are intended to serve as reformatories to teach the erring criminals good citizenship and sound so ial vittues The discipline of the prison however, sometimes acts in a contrary way it fails to act as a corrective but tends to harden the prisoners and make them impenitent. Even so in some cases the discipline of samsara, instead of acting as a chastening influence leads only to a fall before temptations. To such the world of discipline becomes a world of bondage. They emerge from one prison house of flesh only to enter into another

At the beginning of this chapter we learnt of the division of humanity into the divine and the demoniscal groups the former born with tendencies that are naturally helpful to a life of purity and self restraint the latter inheriting traits that make for purity and self restraint the latter inheriting traits that make for purity and self restraint the latter inheriting traits that make for purity and self restraint the latter inheriting traits that make for builting majority of men are more demoniacal than divine. I do not say that the world is peopled by wantonly wicked men there can only be a small number of people whom we can describe as deliberately and almost irrede-mabily bad. Fewer still are by nature fitted to lead a life of service and sacrifice and thus become the great exemplars of morality for all. Of the large majority who belong to neither of these groups, it can only be said that they are likely to prefer pleasure and enjoyment to renunctation and service.

Lee LAAL HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

If men are left to themselves, if they are guided in their conduct by the promptings of flesh, they will be born again and again in this world. And by the burden of their Larma, they will be compelled to be born of parents who cause them to inherit tendencies not favourable to a life of samatia and service. They will be handicapped in their struggle for salvation, handicapped by obstacles they have themselves created. These obstacles, though they spring up as the natural consequences of their deeds, may set be looked upon as the punishment awarded to them by God for their wilful wrong-doing. They are the architects of their own ruin, but the Lord sees to it that the inevitable fruits of their sins reach them. Naraka is really bithin evil wombs, and all the suffering that it entails. To be born again and again of parents who transmit evil qualities to their offspring—such is the hell wherein misguided souls suffer

नासुरीं योनिमापद्मा मूढा जन्मनि जन्मनि । मामप्राप्येय वौन्तेय नतो यान्त्यधमा गतिम् ॥ २० ॥

20 Fallen into demoniacal wombs, deluded in birth after birth, they go down to the lowest state, O Arjuna, without attaining Me at all

If some souls are born in this manner again and again from demoniacal wombs, they become progressively burdened more and more with undesirable tendencies against morality, self discipline and self realisation. And those who are born in the assers group are naturally deluded, as they lack the power of philosophic discrimination that would enable them to distinguish what is good from what is merely pleasant. It is only when they understand that whatever is good need not be pleasant and that what is good may be either pleasant or painful, that they can be free from delusion. Seeing that they are born with qualities and tendencies that must prevent them from making this distinction, they must be regarded as remaining deluded in birth after birth.

Now think of a soul which abuses its opportunities in life and is in consequence born out of parents who impose on it a disposition not at all favourable for the attainment of liberation.

In this state of reincarnation, it is manifestly in a worse state than before Progressing in this manner, it will recede farther and farther away from God in birth after birth There is a progressive deterioration of the powers of the soul its capacity to realise God and its own essentially free nature becomes less and less Suppose a man is sent to prison for having committed a serious crime There, of course, he is subjected to a rigorous discipline Now let us imagine that there also he commits offences against the discipline of the jail What will happen to him? It is certain that harsher restraints will be imposed on him. He may be tried for breaking the regulations of the prison and sentenced to a further term of imprisonment. If wisdom drawns on him after this, all will be well in the end. But if he should still prove refractory, further restraints will be put on him and a further sentence may also be passed A spirit utterly unyielding and recalcitrant will thus succeed only in postponing the day of freedom, there is no chance of liberty till it reforms itself

The position of the reincarnating soul is very much similar to that of a criminal sentenced to a term of imprisonment. In its state of embodiment, the soul has the choice, broadly speaking, between two courses of action. It may realise the error of its ways and set about attaining its own salvation, like the prisoner who turns over a new leaf after expiating his misconduct by a term of imprisonment. Or it may persist in wilful wrong doing and go on strengthening its own bondage even as those refractory prisoners who break prison regulations and are sentenced to further and further terms of imprisonment. If the soul chooses the latter alternative in its next state of reincarnation it will be born with an endowment less favourable to self-realisation and God realisation. Veil after veil of darkness will shut it off from the light of truth. And if this kind of progress continues it may be that the soul will never attain salvation.

It is well to note here that there is no necessity for thinking that the plan and purpose of God include the abandonment of some souls to eternal perdution of this kind Sri Kṛṣṣḥṇa is only snxious, it appears to me, to impress upon us the serious consequences that may flow from our refusal to distinguish

THE LAX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

between pleasure and goodness So long as we continue to act in this manner, there is no hope of freedom for us Indeed, all the time we succeed only in binding ourselves more securely with ever stronger and stronger chains

त्रिविधं नरकस्येतद् द्वारं नाशनमात्मनः।

कामः कोधस्तथा छोभस्तसादेतत्त्रयं त्यजेत् ॥ २१ ॥

21 Threefold is this pathway to hell, ruinous to the soul—desire, wrath and covetousness. Therefore, let man give up these three.

The hell whose meaning I sought to explain above has three doorways through which you may enter into it easily Traversing any of these, one may succeed in ruining the destiny of one s soul Nothing, of course can annihilate the soul, for it is immortal The ruin the destruction that is spoken of here can relate only to the chances of its regaining its own freedom. Notice now that the "three gateways," are closely related to one another. If you have any one of these three qualities, you are sure to have the other two as well. Desire is the parent and anger the child of disappointment. Likewise desire is the parent of cov tousness as well, for it is the nature of desire never to be satisfied. As bama is illimitable and insatiable, we cover more and more of the means for the satisfaction of desire and thus become covetous hama. kredha and lobba are thus so closely interrelated that each of them is capable of giving rise to the other two. And each of them by itself is sufficient to ruin the destiny of the soul and condemn it to the toils of samsara

Therefore, says Sel Krishna give up these three In fact if you give up one you will be practically giving up all the three I mention this just because it may well appear that Sel Krishna is demanding of us something formidable in asking us to launch a frontal attack simultaneously on the most tenacious and the most insidious of our evil qualities. But as a matter of fact, you may begin wherever it seems to you most convenient. Try to give up whatever is easiest to you, you will soon find that you are conquering all the three vices. When you give up these three, you will be redeemed from hell, that is, you will be reborn with tendencies that will aid you in your struggle for salvation.

पर्तैर्विमुक्तः कौन्तेय तमोडारेखिमनंदः । आचरत्यात्मनः श्रेयस्ततो याति परां गतिम् ॥ २२ ॥

22 A man who has escaped from these three ways to darkness, O Arjuna, works out his own good and reaches the supreme goal.

"Tamas" literally means darkness, but figuratively it is often used to denote ignorance in regard to whatever is the ultimate truth about the realities of the universe, as also in regard to the proper course of conduct in life which is in fact nothing other than the right adjustment of life to those realities 'Tamas' is also the name of one of the gunas of prakists. The meaning 15 clear, whether we understand it in the sense of the darkness of ignorance clouding the light of truth, or as the least desirable among the gunas of praktit; You are all aware, of course, that all the three gunas of prakrits influence our lives, though only one of them is predominant in the life of any one of us. The life of desire and enjoyment will force us to be born again and again, so that at every new birth we are burdened with stronger and stronger tendencies against the life of selflessness which alone can lead to salvation Translated into the language of Sankhya this is the same as saying that the persistent pursuit of desire and enjoyment will make the preponderance of the tamo guna more and more manifest, as the soul gets into embodiment after embodiment The life that is swayed by any one of these three qualities is really at the gates of hell

The fact that the expression to diara' is here equited to 'naraka doar; ought to enable us to understand what really is meant by naraka per less quie clear that no separate world localised in space and time is alluded to Popular religion all the world over has spread ta'es of torment in another world to induce obedience to the rules of morelity. Hell has always been the ultima ratio of virtueus conduct. Our puranas have given us many descriptions of the abodes of punishment for the wicked The cosmogony of the Buddhists also makes provision for worlds of suffering and torture for the sinful. The Chris lain hell, with its eternal fires, fed with ever burning "ulphur unconsumed," is

Leg LXX) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

believed by some modern critics to be an idea derived from a valley near Jerusalem, "where some of the Israelites sacrificed their children to Moloch, and which, on this account, was afterwards regarded as a place of abomination and made a receptacle for all the refuse of the cuty, perpetual fires being kept up to prevent pestilential effluiar."

The Vedānta has no need for special worlds of torture constructed by God with such meticulous attention to the details of horror for the benefit of erring souls. Even the hells of our purāṇas are temporary houses of correction for the expiation of past sins, the duration and horrors of life therein being determined by the nature and magnitude of the sins committed. However, from the higher standpoint of the Vedānta, the misery of life here on earth and the boadage of the soul constitute a sufficient punishment for the most hermous of sins. "Those whose conduct has been good will attain good birth" says the Chhāndogya Upanishad (v 47), "but those whose conduct has been evil will quickly attain an evil birth". There can be no worse hell than what men manufacture for themselves in life. All that we are told of distinct worlds of torment may well be regarded as a figurative representation of what actually takes place here.

If you live your life well, worthily, honourably and dedicated to the cause of samatra and service, your soul will gether strength to break the fetters that bind it and to win its freedom from the limiting and restricting influence of its contact with matter. If your life, however, is one of pleasures and desires, then the freedom of the soul is as far away as ever It will be thrown once again into the whirl of samsara, sentenced, as it were, to another term of imprisonment in an embodiment and subjected to a more rigorous ordeal. If it chooses continually to misuse the opportunities of life, it will be subjected to harsher and harsher disciplines, as birth succeeds birth. The light of knowledge will become dimmer and dimmer This life of ignorance, of animalism in which the interests of the soul are subordinated to those of the flesh-this life is really hell Life, indeed, is full of suffering for all. but to one who can realise the nature of the bliss and freedom there await the liberated soul, the life of delusion and senseless pursuit of desire must seem worse than infernal in the miseries it entails

So, if one is free from kāma, krodha and lobha, then one has tutned away from the paths leading to derkness Just as the man who is at the beck and call of his lower nature, becomes worse and worse until he reaches the lowest state, even so one who has tisen above desire and passion and covetousness works out his own goad and reaches at last the supreme goal

Implicitly, Sti Krishņa taises here a very important question, the question, namely, of the right manner in which men are to lead their lives We are all mixed in nature, the battle fields between the forces of light and darkness. The so-called higher self-the voice of conscience, the call of duty, the overwhelming obligation of self sacrifice-directs us in one way, the demands of desire and the relish after enjoyment drag us in another direction. These conflicting and contrarious tendencies exist in all of us, their relative strength, of course, varies with individuals While being thus kin to both the brute and the divine, man always finds it easy and convenient to yield to the promptings of the flesh pursuit of the good often involves pain, the pleasant is always attractive And it is quite in keeping with human nature as it is, to prefer the pleasant to the good But if we allow ourselves to be swayed by our lower selves and become subject to kāma, krodha and lobbs, we are bound to get into the Inferno of reincarnation and bondage to matter The best course of conduct for us 15, however, prescribed to us in the fastras whose mandates we may reject only at our penil

यदशास्त्रविधिमुन्मृत्य प्रतने सामसास्तः । न म मिडिमपामोति न सुखं न परा गतिम् ॥ २३ ॥

23 Whoever disdaining the commands of the *Saitras* lives under the influence of desire, does not attain perfection, nor happiness, nor the supreme goal

Srl krishna now proceeds to point out that in matters of conduct the authority for us is the fastra, the revealed and authoritative scripture. The word, 'fastra', as you are aware, is derived from the toot, fas, to command its etymological meaning is, therefore, 'that which commanda'. Whatever authoritatively commands us in matters of conduct is fastra

Lec. LXX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Every community in the world which has endeavoured in the course of its history to lead a life of virtue, has its own authoritative scripture for regulating its ethical ideals. How does dastra acquire this authority? What is the sanction behind scriptural mandates and prohibitions in morality? One of the authority of the scripture is to say that the scripture is a direct revelation of the will of God to man and as such must needs be obeyed. This argument is well known to every religion that his holy books of its own. We speak of the Veda as being divinely revealed. To the Christian, the authentic will of God is madmanifest in the Bible. The Koran is held in similar sanctity by the Moslem. Even to the Buddhist who professes no positive fault in any deity, the 1951 sissima verba of the Enlightened. One glow with insuration.

What after all is this inspiration? Why is peculiar sanctity attached to the words of these great teachers of mankind, the mystics, the sages and the founders of religions? In all their cases. we find that inspiration came to them unbidden, that suddenly and without any conscious effort of their own they felt themselves uplifted to regions of ecstasy where the secret of the universe and the riddle of existence were laid have before their vision. And ever since they felt the urge to shoulder the responsibility of a mission to promuleate and propagate the truths that they learnt in this almost mireculous manner. It is as though some extraneous Power chose them as the channels of a Revelation Even to the Buddha who acknowledged no deity, the radiant vision of truth appeared suddenly and without forewarnings of any kind Now. from the standpoint of the personal feelings of these original discoverers of ethical truth, it may seem an obvious fact that God has revealed to them commandments of conduct

Let us, however try to understand what this means to us from our point of view. Bri Krishna has been telling us repeatedly that the life of samativa and service is derived logically from the illumining experiences of self realisation and God realisation. Any one who has not this ideal before him is leading a faulty and imperfect life. It is, of course, open for us to strive to a train these experiences, but they presuppose a certain psychological and

apritual discipline. So long as we do not have these experiences ourselves, we are asked to accept the authority of those who have had them in matters of conduct. Our life is after all regulated by authority in most respects. If, for instance, we want to protect our house from lightning out the advice of an expert in lightning conductors. In a like manner the yogin is an expert on the nature of the soul and its relations with the world around. Free from bias and disinterested, he teaches us what the right course of conduct for us is. Presumably he, too, must have been like us in the past, ignorant and selfish. Having risen thence to a higher state, he speaks with knowledge and authority. There is no reason why his advice should be other than disinterested any more than that of the scientific expert on lightning conductors.

Sastra 1s, therefore sometimes described as pathya vakya wholesome and sailutary advice intended exclusively for our own good. It is the commands of those who have our welfare at heatt, and who consequently teach us what is good rather than whit is delusively pleasant. The scripture does not speak to us sugared words, it does not set us on the primose path to eventual perdition. Its theme may not be prigar but it is undoubtedly hild and pathya. We may thus derive the authority, of the fastra from the rare insight and uncommon knowledge of the founders of religious and propounders of moral codes who have every right to be regarded as specialists and exprists on the venence of conduct Ultimately we must trace their genius to the inflow of divine energy into them. They are special manifestations of the power and glory of God and spring from our of His resplendent energy (x 41).

It is in this way that we must try to understand the cause for the authority trad tonally ascribed to the fastras. To obe, their commands in matters of conduct is no more irrational than to follow the advice of an expert in seine or medicine. The philosophy of conduct taught by the fastras is based on expertences that give us an insight into the nature of our selves and of the right kind of relations that we ought to maintain with the universe it teaches what is pathya, for it leads us to the goal of supreme and unending bliss. Any other code of conduct, however convenient and attractive it may seem at first sight, can

Lec. LXX) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

only lead to a series of births and deaths, during the course of which our capacity to live the higher life will be weakened more and more. Therefore, one who teaches the life of sacrifice, samatra and service is our true friend; his word is \$\vec{sa}\sistra\$, for unpolluted by any kind of interested motives, it is rightly related to the truth of things. Hence we may come to the conclusion that what the \$dharmay\vec{h}\alpha\sistra\$ have taught us in regard to conduct is \$\vec{p}\simta\simta\sigma\sinta\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\s

The need for an authoritative scripture of some kind, which is capable of commanding conduct, is universally recognised. In the tutmoil of life, with our whole nature rent and split by the conflicting demands of the spirit and the flesh, we feel the necessity for something to look up to, some authority that will help us to solve our moral perplexities and give us inner peace and harmony. Left to ourselves, we are prone to hanker after comfort, ease and pleasure, and attach no weight to any other considerations. The felt authority of universally recognised commandments of scripture is not merely a guide in hours of need, it is also a perpetual inducement to follow the higher life. It is the beacon light of wisdom, lighting the darkness of the moral wilderness in which men find themselves, and beckoning them on to endless progress.

What is it that scriptural commandments all the world over have taught? Take there in all ages and in all countries. Study the teachers of the various kinds of religion that the world has known What is in essence the teaching of Sri Krishna, of Christ. of the Buddha, of Mahomet? There is a marked unanimity amongst them all in regard to matters of moment, in spite of varying cultural heritage and social environment. All great teachers have taught that right conduct must be based on selflessness, samatua and sacrifice. The authority for these commandments, though derived from the experience of the great sages, is generally regarded as superhuman, the communication to the chosen of the laws of God. That superhuman authority may be variously named, called Vasudeva or Alla or Jehova. Though everywhere such commandments have been operative, they have differed in numerous points of detail The greater the capacity of a community to live the life of samatva and service, the more

humane will be its morel regulations. But, however they may differ, through them all runs, as a golden streak, the idea of the imperative obligation of selfiessness, of the necessity to sacrifice the interest of one's self for the sake of the commonweal

Left to himself, man cannot realise this fundamental law of ethics Even when he knows the better, he is always prompted to do the worse And once he enters the downward path to darkness, it is very difficult for him to set his face towards the light streaming from above For weakness and sin cling to him like habits, they grow from strength to strength. The more one sins, the more likely is one to sin again. The sinner is like a man who is slipping down a precipice to a destination he cannot see with a velocity increasing every moment Hence it is that we need some help to arrest this downward progress, someone to give us his hands and to lift us beyond ourselves In a famous hymn, Sankarāchārya prays to the Lord to give him karāvalambhan, the gracious help of uplifting hands And so everywhere men have risen, sages and prophets, who struggled to understand the mysteries of ethics and cut a way for themselves through the tangled jungle of human motives and impulses Achieving self realisation and God realisation, they have taught us the great law of equality, by following which all men can attain salvation These, along with their true followers, constitute the daivs stishts, and to them we must turn for guidance in conduct. Their teachings are sastra, their example is an inspiration for all and an incentive to work out our own salvation In this storm tossed sea of samsara, man has thus at his disposal a certain help for finding direction and harbourage in the sastra But one who disdains the commands of the sastras and lives a life prompted by his own lower nature, cannot attain perfection nor win true happiness nor achieve the supreme destiny of his own soul

तम्माच्छास्त्रं वमाणं ते रायारार्यव्यवस्थितौ । बात्वा शास्त्रविधानोत्तं रमे प्रतुमिहाईसि ॥ २४ ॥

24 Therefore, the saira is your authority in determining what ought to be done and what ought not to be done Knowing what has been declared by the ordinances of the saira, it behoves you to act here

Lec LXX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

After demonstrating that the sastra is the authority for us in matters of conduct. Sri Krishna proceeds to apply it to the case of Arjuna How do the authoritative commands of the sastrax help Ariuna to solve his moral perplexities? They declare that it is the duty of a warrior to fight on behalf of justice and righteousness Having enlisted himself on the side of justice Ariuna must not give up his duty, however painful it may become Pleasure is not the end of life It need not, and frequently is not coincident with goodness and duty. It certainly is not pleasant to have to kill one's kinsmen, friends and teachers, but the sastra says that a warrior who has enlisted himself in the ranks of an army fighting to safeguard righteousness, cannot when that cause is in danger, fly from his post of duty Sri Krishna says to Arjuna in effect
"Do not hesitate, fight The fastra is your authority, for it teaches you your conduct better even than your inclinations If you had not enlisted yourself in an army fighting on behalf of righteousness, and had thus taken upon yourself the duties of a soldier, you would not have been called upon to perform this painful task. But you are by birth and training qualified to be a soldier. You have been a great warrior in the past. Now in order to establish righteousness and to terminate the reign of flaunting vice, you have joined an army. It is your duty to fight in the war which is imminent and to do your best to lead your army to victory You are not pursuing a personal quarrel, the fight is between the forces of light and darkness Eschew, therefore, all personal considerations and do your duty, irrespective of the pleasure or the pain it may bring you and irrespective, too, of the ultimate issue of your action Do your duty and rest content "

This brings us to the end of the sixteenth chapter, and here we may stop our work for the day

CHAPTER XVII

lxxi

देवासुरविभागोकिपूर्विमा शास्त्रवश्यता । तत्त्वातुष्टानविद्यानस्थेम्ने पोडश उच्यते ॥

With the object of firmly establishing the knowledge of the pastice of truth, submission to the fastras is taught in the sixteenth (chapter) after making known the distinction between the divine and the demoniacal

So runs Yāmunāchārya's summar, of the sixteenth chapter whose study we concluded last week. You may see how this makes clear the manner in which the authority of the £ istras in matters of conduct is brought to the fore. Why such an authority is necessary for us and why man cannot be left free to regulate his conduct are demonstrated to us by taking into consideration the nature of man. From the standpoint of moral behaviour, we learnt, humanity may be divided roughly into two groups, those who are born with a special kind of fitness for leading the righteous and moral life and those who are not so born. The latter were described as the asuri strable, and the former as the dase!

authority of the \$\vec{sastra}\$ The large majority of men are prone to be selfish and sensual, they are without foresight or wisdom. Left to themselves, they would wallow for ever in the petty joys of samsara and never strive for the liberation of their souls.

If the higher nature within us is to be obeyed then we must have some authority like the \$d\text{sira}\$ compelling our homage with all the weight of tradition, of social acceptance and more than all of truth. The source of that authority may be various the scriptures commanding ob-dience may be different. For both social happiness and individual salvation it is essential to have the controlling authority of a \$d\text{sira}\$ which asserts the supremsey of the spirit over the flesh and urges men on to the narrow path of virtue, sharp and fine as the edge of a ra-or. The way to peace and blessedness is through humble submission to the imperative mandates of the \$d\text{sira}\$ so the business of the \$d\text{sira}\$ and places himself at the disposal of his own desires can never attain the supreme goal of life (XVI 23)

At this point, the question naturally arises whether the spirit or the letter of the śastras is more important, whether it is absolutely essential to conform to the details of orthodox ritualism or whether it is enough to have an outlook on life broadly similar to that of the śāstras. This question though, perhaps, in a different form Arjuna proceeds to ask Srl Krishaa at the very commencement of the sevententh chapter.

अर्जुन उपाध---

ये ज्ञास्त्रविधिमासस्य यजन्ते श्रद्धयान्विना ।

तेयां निष्ठात का कृष्ण सरतमाहो रजन्तम ॥१॥

ARIUNA SAID

1 Those who, giving up the ordinances of the acripture, worship with faith—what is their state O Krishna? Is it (one of) tatha, or (of) rajas, or (of) Immat?

Here we have a class of people whom we did not take into consideration in the exteenth chapter. There two classes of men

were referred to, those who obey the sastras and lead the higher life, and those who disdain the authority of the Sastras and live a vicious and worthless life But this classification is not exhaustive, it does not include all possible types. Take the case of the typical rationalist who is interested in the higher values of life. The sastras of antiquity speak o him in an outworn and obsolete language. They do not appeal to him their commands do not seem to him to possess the compelling authority of reason. He may have faith of some kind, for even scepticism has to rest somewhere But that faith need not be the same as that which is advocated by the sastras Take, again, the case of the considerable number of people who are heterodox, who refuse to subscribe to the authority of the orthodox scripture, but live worthy and honourable lives All these cannot be called bad or vicious They may and frequently do lead morally praiseworthy lives They are not atheists believing in no values higher than the satisfaction of sensual and selfish desires They live the higher life though they do not consciously place themselves under the guidance of the sastras They are truly religious they worship with faith They are sincere and honess, and do not parade their religion for the sake of publicity

In the modern days of scientific enlightenment, we have a large number of such men in our society, and, indeed, in any society where there is culture and lively intellectual curiosity Men having no faith in the traditional fastras, but leading upright and honourable lives, full of faith in religion, righteousness and morality, present a problem which has to be faced boldly by all those who advocate the authority of the \$2stras Suppose you live the right kind of life, because you have faith in the sovereign authority of the sastras And suppose you have a friend who has no faith in the sastras but who lives a life of virtue, righteousness and self-restraint because he sincerely believes in that kind of life as the best. Is this man living a life which is by all standards worthy and honourable or is he beyond redemption on account of his want of faith in the \$8stras? This is not a new question, something peculiar to our own times or to our country Readers of Dantes Drive Comedy can imagine for themselves the difficulties of the great Catholic poet in his a tempts to assign the proper position for

Lec LXXI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

the illustrious thinkers of pre Christian Greece and Rome in his hierarchies of Heaven and Hell. As they were predecessors of Christ, they could not be called Christians and orthodox dogma made it plain that Heaven could be attained only by Christians believing in Christ. There too a similar problem arose and even the large charity of the poet's heart could secure for them only an honourable and comfortable position on the outskirts of Hell.

So far as I understand the teaching in this chapter I believe that Sri Krishpa tackles this problem with His characteristic tolerance and generosity. In the course of this chapter He classifies $3aj\bar{n}a$ the life of religion or worship under the three categories of sativa rajas and tamas. And we are asked to judge all men by the nature of their $yaj\bar{n}as$. It appears to me that He understands by $3aj\bar{n}a$ the nature of the life you lead—the kind of restraints you put upon yourself the kind of worship that you perform the kind of gifts that you give and even the kind of food that you eat. All these make up the life of religion that you lead Each of these is taken up for consideration in turn and classified as sativika rajasa and tamasa. The underlying idea evidently is that a life of true religion must affect all aspects of life

श्री भगवानुवाच-

त्रिविधा भवति श्रद्धा देहिना सा स्वभावना । सास्त्रिनी राजसी चैत तामसी चेति ता श्रणु ॥ २ ॥

SRI KRISHNA SAID

2 The faith of men is of three kinds in accordance with their nature sathika, rajasa and tamasa Hear about it

First Sri kṛishṇa takes up the question of fath. This therefold classification of faith is an amplification of the division of mankind into the divine and the demonical groups. The previous class fication rested on the assumption that character and conduct are a large measure matters of inheritance. The same idea is there retaited from the standoont of the faith that men have

Everyone believes in what he was born to believe in It is a matter of inherited tastes and capacities and is dependent on the physical, mental and moral constitutions of men. And as these are determined at birth, faith is also practically an inherited legacy. And this faith is of three kinds, even as the constitutions of men are threefold. The quality of one's faith we may say, is an index of the quality of the individual hims-lf. Sri Krishpa makes this abundantly clear in the next verse.

सत्त्वानुरुषा सर्वस्य श्रद्धा भर्मते भाग्त । श्रद्धामयोऽयं पुग्यो यो यच्ड्रदस्य एव सः ॥ ३ ॥

3 The faith of all, O Arjuna, is in accordance with their dispositions. Man here is of the nature of his faith Whatever that faith is, that he is indeed

The faith of every one is in consonance with his or her natural disposition It is dependent on what one is If you know what a man's faith is, you can make a sure guess about the nature of the man himself Man, we may say, is made up of his faithfraddhamayo purushah What exactly does this mean? When I say that this table before me is made up of wood, I mean that there is in it nothing of value other than the wood of which it is made Similarly, when we say that a man is made up of his faith, we must understand that there is nothing more valuable within him than the faith which actuates him As 2 man's faith is, so he This is an idea which is well worth pondering over What we are, is dependent on the though's that we think the desires that we entertain and the work that we do And these in turn are determined by the nature of the ideals in which we gut our faith This is true all the world over and in all periods of history Contrariwise, the na ure of your faith also shapes your conduct As they say faith moulds manners If you put your faith in a noble and worth/ ideal and endeavour to act up to your faith, then, in spite of occasional failures, you will be a better man for having lived that life Therefore it behoves us all to take care of our ideals, of our a ms and aspirations Let us see that our ideals lead us to a life of self restraint, self sactifice and service, and not to one of calkshness and lose of pleasures

Lec LXXI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Now faith is classified into three types If a man is characterised by the sāttoika faith, he is sāttoika, if he is possessed of the rājassa faith, he is rājassa; and similarly, if his faith is of the iāmasa quality, he is also of a like nature. Of whatever quality the faith of a man is, of that same quality is the man as a whole Presently we will be taught the several characteristies and attributes of these three kinds of faith. By knowing them, we will be in a position to shape our ideals correctly. With that object in view, Sri Krishna proceeds to delineaste the three kinds of faith.

यजन्ते सारिक्षा देवान् यक्षरक्षांसि राजसाः । वेतान्मतगणाश्चान्ये यजन्ते तामसा जनाः ॥ ४ ॥

4 Those who are sattrika worship the gods, those who are rajata worship Yakshas and Rakshas is And other men who are tamasa, worship the dead and the hosts of spirits.

Students of comparative religion will be in a position to understand that the classification made here corresponds to different types of religion known to history. There is first the kind of religion which is based on faith in either a God or a plurality of gods, a religion which is theistic. Then there is magical religion, which may be subdivided into the religion of white magic and the religion of black magic. The former of these is directed to the satisfaction of benevolent genit with the object of selfish advancement. It is, therefore, rajasa. The cult of black manic is intended for evil ends. It is practised when the ruin of others, the death of enemies and such like malignant objectives are in view. It is, therefore, the worst type of religion and is deservedly characterised as tamasa In this way, by dividing religion into the theistic and the magical, and by effecting a subdivision of the magical religion into a superior and an inferior type we can make the three kinds of religion, sattrika, rasasa and tamasa, correspond to the forms of faith known to the study of comparative religion.

Please notice that here we are dealing with a classification of men according to the faiths that they hold And the kind of faith which actuates any one of them is something that is natural and inbern; it is not artificially ins lanted. The lives that they live are more or less governed by natural impulses proceeding from within

अद्यास्त्रविद्वितं घोरं तप्यन्ते ये तपो जनाः । दम्भाहङ्कारसंयुक्ताः कामरागाउङान्त्रिताः ॥ १० ॥ क्दीयन्तद्द्यारीरस्यं भृतग्राममचेनसः । मां चैत्रान्तद्द्यरीरस्थं नान्त्रिद्धयासुरनिद्धयान् ॥ ६ ॥

- 5 Those men who perform terrible penances not ordained by the fastrat, who are full of vanity and pride, who are impelled by the force of desire and attachment,
 - 6 Who lack the power of discrimination, who starve the collection of elements in the body and (who starve) Me also dwelling within the body—know them to have resolves that are demoniacal

Sri Krishna here tells us what kind of life is to be associated with resolves that are demonized, that is to say, with a faith which is idmasa Men who undergo strange penances and subject themselves to terrible mortifications for purposes that are selfish and harmful, are condemned here They lack the power of discrimination, they act under the directive force of desire Notice the expression, kāma rāga-balanvitah' 'Kāma' is desite, the love of pleasure 'Raga' indicates something slightly different, it implies the operation of the will in relation to the love of pleasure The desire that prompts you to act is kama, the will power directed in obedience to the dictates of desire is rage Kama and raga can give one a certain amount of strength and power Think of a man ardently in love with a girl For the sake of that love, he may do deeds that he would not otherwise have done The power of that love will help him to overcome all obstacles standing in the way

Subject to these influences, the men of demoniscal resolves states and torment the physical body. It is foolish to think that mere mortification of the firsh can give us power and lead us to

salvation There is a distinction between self-control and meaningless mortification. Impatient critics of Hinduism who see in our higher ethics only a call to revolt against the world and subject oneself to all kinds of self-torture, conveniently ignore this, and seem blissfully ignorant of this passage in the Geta Merely because the body is forced to go through a fantastically rigorous discipline, one does not get holy or spiritually illuminated Fancy a young man in love, refusing food and starving himself to death in the hope that his sunt may succeed. It is as foolish to win the grace of God by stupidly observing meaningless austerities, while the fountain springs of thought and conduct remain polluted. While the body in this way is made to suffer, the anteryāmin within also, is reseed and troubled.

Of course, the God within is not a physical concept and you cannot starve Him as you can starve your body. The underlying idea is something quite different. I have already told you how the promptings of conscience are conceived in the Gua land in Hinduism generally) to be the utterances of the voice of God The tamesa individual lives a life which is heedless of the commands of the mentor within Frequently, men know the better and do the worse, and every time this happens, the voice of conscience goes unheeded. In this manner one may harden oneself against the dictates of conscience, in other words one may, so to speak, render the antaryamin within weak and feeble It is thus that we have to understand the starving of the God within No doubt there is a correlation between the performance of horrible penances and the blunting of the moral sense the former may so distort one's sense of values as to lead to the latter But it is well to remember that while one is a physical the other ss a spiritual phenomenon

आहारस्प्रिप सर्वस्य त्रिविधो भवति त्रिय । यद्यस्तपस्त्रथा दान तेपा मेदमिमं शृणु ॥ ७ ॥

7. The food dear to all is also of three kinds, and so too (are) worship, penance and charity. Hear about their distinction

We have learnt that it is possible for us to find out whether a man is sittinka, rājasa or tāmasa by the kind of life that he

lives Now, Sri Krishpa tells us that in trying to judge the quality of an individual's life, we have to take into consideration the acts of worship that he performs, the restraints that he imposes on himself and the discipline to which he subjects himself in thought, word and deed, the generosity that he displays and the food that he eats. The conduct of a man indicates his faith, and vice versa, the faith of a man indicates his conduct. The two are closely interrelated. Here we are taught the means by which we can make out the nature of a man from salient features of his behaviour.

आयुस्सस्यालारोग्यसुराशीतिविवर्धनाः ।

रस्यास्त्रिग्वास्स्यिग ह्या आहारास्मान्त्रिमन्त्रियाः॥ ८ ४

8 Foods that promote longevity, goodness, strength, health, happiness and pleasure, that are juict, rich, nourishing and agreeable are dear to the sattrika

You may remember the discussion we had some time ago on the relation between the nature of the food eaten and the moral temperament of an individual. The kind of food that we eat affects us physically, psychologically and morally It is under the influence of this belief that our fastras have prescribed for us rules regarding the food that we ought to eat Here we are told the characteristics of the best kind of food, that is to say, the food which is concomitant with a sattivika outlook on life will notice in this description a blending of physical, moral and emo ional effects And that is quive in accordance with the declaration of the Upanishads that the purity of our physical constitution depends on the purity of our food and that this in turn leads to the strengthening of the powers of the soul (1.1de p 157 supra) One special point of interest in this verse is the distinction that is implied between 'sukha' and 'prits' We may take 'prils to be the feeling of pleasure at the time of eating and 'sukha' as the feeling of satisfaction afterwards. There are many kinds of food that give pleasure at the time of eating, but cause acu e injuries to health later These, of course, cannot be held to be sutreska in character Most of us, it is true prefer pritt to sukl a the pleasure of the moment to enduring health and happiness But the does not alter the fact that the most desirable kind of food is that which is pleasan to taste, nourishing,

Lec LXXI) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

healthful and satisfactory in all ways. Regulating our diet in this manner we will enjoy physical health, and a sound mind being in a cound body, intellectual and moral health as well

कट्टम्ललचणात्युष्णतीक्ष्णरूक्षविदाहिनः। स्राहारा राजसस्येष्टा दु.खशोकामयप्रदा ६९॥

9 The foods dear to the rajara are astringent sour, saltish, very hot, pungent, butning and dry, producing pain, grief and disease.

The food dear to the sattlikes it was stated promotes health, pleasure and happiness. In contrast, we are told here that the range type of food tends to produce pain, grief and ill health This kind of food has a strong hold on people who have cultivated a taste for it It appears to be a somewhat morbid kind of taste, depending for us existence on some kind of nervous excitement Take the case of a man who is fond of hot and pungent food By its very nature that type of food is bound to produce acute physical discomfort at the time of eating and numerous ill effects afterwards. Let he eats it with evident relish. He feels that he is enioving something when water is streaming out of his eyes and the tongue is haid and burning. The relish for the food is deter mined by one a nature but the pain or the pleasure that is felt at the time of eating is determined by the nature of the food eaten We can account for the taste for such things as chillies only in this way. So, in spite of the duhkha experienced at the time of eating many want food of this sort on account of the spe jal relish they have for it. But in the end they come to grief, the protest of their physical system leads sooner or later to a crisis, and they feel all the inconveniences of a damaged digestion if indeed they feel nothing wors-

यातयाम गनरसं पृति पर्युपितं च यन् । उच्छिष्मपि चामेध्यं भोजनं नामस्वियम ॥ १० ॥

10 Food which is not fresh, which has lost its taste, which is patrid and stale, which is of the leavings and unlost, is dear to the far as

The food dear to the $t\bar{a}masa$ is not merely unwholesome, but unclean and foul as well. It tends to injure health and indicates a deadened taste. Those who like this kind of food—they may like and do anything, however foul. Note the statement that this kind of food is described as unholy, amealinya. It implies that it has not been offered to God. In other words, the man who eats it is an atheist or one who fails to realise his duty to God.

In passing, it may be observed that the epithets, 'yala yanam' and 'paryushitam', indicate different degrees of staleness The word, 'yana' stands for a period of time roughly yāla yamam. The term 'paryushitam', indicates something which has remained overnight. The point to note is that all kinds of stale food are here condemned.

\$ti Krishna now passes on to a consideration of the different kinds of worship

मफलाकाहिमियंत्रो विधिदणे य इत्यते । यष्ट-यमेपेति मनस्समाधाय स सास्त्रिकः ॥ ११ ॥

11 That worship is tattvika, which is found in the sattrax and which is performed by those who have no desire for fruit, with a mind convinced that it is one's duty

"Vidhi dfishta" is that which is found in the fastras The right kind of worship is found in the fastras. You may perform the ether because you are cons lously placing yourself under the life may be lived either in conscious obedience to the command ments of the scripture or apart from it. In either case, you life is fitting a fatter of the fastras of the fastras advisedly uses the expression, father drishfa", meaning nothing more than what is found in the

Then He proceeds to indicate the proper stritude on the part of the worshipper He does not seem to attach so much attitude towards God and the performance of worship generally

Leg LXXII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

In the first place, worship must be conducted without any ulterior motives. You may remember the fourfold division of the devotees of the Lord we studied in the seventh chapter, the aita, the 111 nasu, the artharthin and the 1 nanin There, it was stated. the wise men's worship is the best for the reason that it is disinterested The excellence of one's worship depends entirely on one's freedom from selfish motives. It has sometimes been urged against this teaching as you know well that disinterested action is a chimerical concept which does not rest on truth. One one differ on this question. We always tend to judge others in the light of our own. knowledge of ourselves. Anything that we can do, we believe that others also can do, and similarly what seems difficult or impossible to us appears also to be difficult or impossible in the case of others Sri Krishna tells us that disinterested action is possible, that a life of benevolence can be practised without ulterior motives of any kind. What we may call motiveless altruism is the key note of His life Such being the case, there is no reason for us to accept the view that disinterested action is impossible. The performan e of duty is frequently and always must be disinterested. It must be done because of the feeling that it ought to be done worship is felt to be such a duty and performed without ulterior motives of any kind and with an outlook broadly similar to that of the tastras that worship is sattueba

अभिसचाय तु फलं दम्भार्थमपि चैंप य । इत्यते भरनश्रेष्ठ तं यद्धं विद्धि राजमम् ॥ १२ ॥

12 Know, O Arjuna, that worship to be rajasa which is performed with expectation of fruit and for the sake of ostentation

The worship of the wise man which is marted by no selfish mours and which is performed as an end in itself, is sattevide. But more frequently we see people worshipping seriously and sincerely, without however being free from the expectation of some reward. Worship appears to them the means for the attainment of something desirable. The removal of affliction for instance, is one of the most common causes for fervent worship of God Then too, for the sake of wealth or knowledge, we may direct our devotion to God. In all these cases, our worship, though not

entirely unworthy, must still be considered to have fallen below the high $s\bar{a}_{tivuka}$ standard. Similar is the case of one who worships in order to win a reputation for piety. All these types of worship are $r\bar{a}_{1}asa$.

विधिद्दीनमसृष्टान्नं मैन्प्रदीनमदृक्षिणम् । श्रद्धाविरद्वितं यद्गं तामसं परिचक्षते ॥ १३ ॥

13 And they say that that worship is tamasa which is against the ordinances of the scriptures, which is not accompanied by distribution of food, in connection with hymns of praise to the deity are performed, in which no actuated by faith

The most important characteristic of the samasa type of worship is its somplete lack of sincerity, its utter want of faith. In addition, it is against the commandments of the scriptures. If we are to understand aright the spirit of Sri Kṛṣshnā's teachings in the Gira, we must take this to mean that the type of worship which is described here, runs clearly counter to the spirit of the sastras, that it is condemned by all values dear to religion.

It may seem to many of you as curious that Sri Krishna should consider the distribution of food as an essential part of true religious worship The early history of most religions attaches great importance to the question of dining together Commensality was for long the symbol of religious brotherhood, an indication that those who dined together were worshippers of the same God Our early sacrifices were probably intended to own and proclaim formally kinship through communion. The full moon and the new moon sacrifices, perhaps the oldest of their kind, were in the beginning animal sacrifices, and the secrificer and the priests were expected to partake of the flesh of the victim seventh book of the Astareya Brahmana describes the division of the sacrificial animal into several pieces and their distribution among the officiating pri sts, the sacrificer, his wife and others connected with the performance of the sacrifice. The ritual of the soma sa tifice required that all persons connected with its

Leg LXXI) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

performance should drink together the juice of the soma plant, thereby indicating that they all belonged to one and the some religious brotherhood. The madhiparka rite, the offering of the "honeyed meal", required the use of flesh in ancient days and serves to illustrate very well the view that early sacrifices were acts of communion intended to establish kinship. The practice for long prevalent in our Vishqu temples of offering food to the deity and then distributing it among all the worshippers is of the nature of a communion confirming the bond of universal brotherhood among them all as children of the same God.

Dr Martin Haug, in the introduction to his edition of the Attareya Brāhmanta says that the ancient Parsis "knew of a patticular ceremony of minor importance (called stoma) which consisted in consecrating a meal (meat is at this occasion indispensable) in honour of an angel or a deceased person to be enjoyed by the whole party assembled." Renan points out that primitive sacrifice among the Semites was only a preliminary preparation to a solemn repast, that to eat in common was with them a sacramental act, and that compacts and alliances made between persons or tribes or clans were all celebrated is the accompanient of solemn sacrifices. Early Christian brothethood was cemented by the sharing together of consecrated wine and bread.

brand of inhospitality, have done him to death as he deserved? Had he murdered my father and afterwards partaken of my food and my bowl, not a hair of his head could have been injured by me."

The laws of hospitality, thus enunciated, owe their force to their association with early religion. It is, therefore, easy to see why so much stress is laid on the distribution of food to worshippers here. Eating together indicates formal recognition of fraternity in almost every religion, and the partaking together of the remnants of a sacrifice, yayfiae-dishla, is intended to show that those who share the offerings of food are all worshippers of the same deity and followers of the same religion and bound together by religious ties of brotherhood. Thus, if religion is to serve its social ends, every sacrifice must be associated with an offering of food to the deity worshipped, and this must be later distributed amongst all those who happen to be worshippers of the same deity

Importance is also attached here to the distribution of alms to gifts in charity, in association with or as a part of one's religious discipline. In the eighteenth chapter, as you will learn soon, fit K_I ishipa analyses the elements of a religious life, on the basis of the teachings of the *Upanishads*, into yayīa, tapas and dāna the lot of the underdog has always befriended the poor, and helping functions of religion. The semi religious glamout that attaches in some measure to such movements as socialism is derived from their real in the service of the needy. The more religious at the greater its readiness to live the life of samatva, sacrifice and service.

Thus we have been taught the various differences among the three types of yajña—sāttotka ¬ājasa and tamasa From the nature of the yajña, we may judge the nature of the performer manners And by holling fath and worship also mould performing the sāttotka type of worship, we may purify ourselves and pass one stage father in the long pilgrimage to the City of God

Lec LXXII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

lxxii

Last time we were dealing with the question how is the $yaj\bar{n}a$ performed by those who have faith in a life of worship and devotion to God, but who do not conduct their worship according to the widely accepted and authoritative regulations of the sastras, to be examined and estimated? This life of $yaj\bar{n}a$ we were taught, has three aspects. There is first the actual worship, there is then the discipline of self restraint which is associated therewith, lastly, there are the acts of charity performed by the worshipper as a part of his religious life. These are the cardinal factors of religious life.

If a man claims to be religious and never does any act of worship, then his claim is false Or again if a man poses as pious and never subjects himself to any act of self restraint, then also his life is hollow. It is hypocrisy again to manifest an interest in religion without performing acts of charity When we try to analyse the religious life we thus find it to consist of three elements yajna, tapas and dana And this is quite in accordance with the views of the Upanishads Now the life of religion lived by any one must be judged by considering all these three aspects. One who lives a religious life agreeably to the faith that is in him and without accepting the authority of the sastras may or may not lead the right kind of life Whether or not his 3aina, tapas and dana are of the right kind may be judged by seeing through external analysis whether they are rūjasa tamasa ot sātītika We have already learnt to classify 3 17na in this way Sri Krishpa now proceeds to deal similarly with tapas And first He tells us what tapas is

दे बहिजगुरुप्राप्तपूजनं दौचमार्जवम् । ब्रह्मचर्यमहिंसा च द्यारीर तप उच्यते ॥ १४ ॥

14 Honouring with due worship gods, Brahmins, religious preceptors and persons whose wisdom is great, putify, straighforwardness, celibacy and abstention from the infliction of injury—these are said to constitute bodily tipar

The true meaning of 'tapas' appears to be the infelt heat of effort in restraining the mind and the body so as to make them subserve the ends of the soul. Sanskrit psychologists tell us, 25 you are well aware, that if we are to attain self-mastery, it is necessary to exercise restraint over the three instruments of action pertaining to the soul, known as the trikaranas—the mind, the tongue and the body Though they are instruments of the soul, they are capable of leading the soul on to wrong and improper paths To check their tendency to operate so as to bewilder the soul in regard to the aim of life and thus to thwart the fulfilment of the ultimate destiny of the soul-this is to practise tapas Action of the wrong kind is possible with any of the three instruments One may hit a man unjustly Again, you may think ill of a man and commit, so to speak, a mental sin Between thought and action, as ordinarily understood, there lies speech If you indulge in violence towards any person, the violence begins in thought, overflows in speech and culminates in action Action, therefore, commences in thought, is reflected in speech and is consummated in the actual deed Every action is related to manas vak and kaya You have first of all to will in your mind any particular course of action and then you eith ract or speak, or you may both sp-ak and act If self restraint is to be exercised by you it must be in relation to all the e three things Tapas 15, therefore, here comprehensively classified under the three heads of farira tapas vannaya tapas and manasu-tapas, that is, the effort of self-disciplice as directed to the control of the body, of the tongue and of the mind

Lec LXXII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

of the body. It may be asked whether it is an act of self discipline to keep the body pure. To those who are accustomed to bathe daily, it is a natural habit to keep the body clean. But there are men who have not cultivated this habit and to whom it does not seem anything other than annoying and veratious. Ruskin, I seem to recall, has written somewhere that it you want to understand how difficult it is for human nature to maintain cleanliness, you must get hold of people who never wash themselves and to whom nothing is so umpleasant and repulsive as a bath

Then there is arraya, straightforwardness or sincerity It may be urged that sincerity is a mental characteristic and should not be regarded as part of corporal self-restraint. In the circumstances, we must understand it to mean something like such action as is in keeping with mental determination. By arrava, we may well understand the proper governance of the body and training it to carry out the dictates of the will Sincere activity is clearly meant here. If the activity of the body is not a true teffection of the mental resolve within, if there is no straightforwardness in the adjustment of physical activity to the condition of the mind within, then the body does what is not rate. It indules in the performance of insincere action "Never do." Sri Krishna must be understood to say in effect, "what the mind does not teally impelyou to do Put your heart and soul in the work that you do, or do not do it at all " Then only there will be sincerity in conduct

Brahmacharya, as you all know, is restraining sensual appetites Ahimsa is non violence in deed, tefraining from injuring others

Sārra tapas, as described in this sloka, consists, therefore, in controlling the senses, in freedom from insincere conduct, in physical cleanliness in abstention from injuring others, and in tendeting physical service to gods, preceptors, sages and seers

In the next stanza, Sri Krishna describes the tapas of speech

अनुद्रेगकरं याक्यं सत्यं त्रियहितं च यत् । स्वाध्यायाभ्यसनं चैत्र बाड्ययं तप उन्यते ॥ कृ ॥ 15. The statement which is unirritating and true and is at the same time agreeable and good, and also the recitation and the study of the scriptures—these are said to form verbal tapar.

Here it is 'laid down that the truth which it is desirable to speak, must be pleasant and productive of good Manu has recommended that we must speak the truth always-but only the truth which is pleasant. We are expected to be polite and to speak in a pleasing manner. We are asked not to speak out unpleasant truths unnecessarily. This does not mean that under all circumstances politeness should over ride higher principles Circumstances may arise, when the highest dictates of duty will compel us to disregard this rule, but generally it is not necessary to be needlessly offensive Even the penal code recognises this principle The greater the truth, lawyers tell us, the greater the libel In a society where all persons speak the truth, there would be no need for any such maxim of prudence. But human nature being what it is, dictates of prudence and conventions of good manners ought to receive some attention at our hands. S and al mongering may broadcast many truths, but it does not redound to the credit of those who indulge in it

Let it be borne in mind that no compromise with principle is recommended here. We are only asked not to speak out unpleasant truths needlessly by this it is not implied that we are to speak pleasant falsehoods. Those who criticise injunctions like this as giving a religious sanction to the suppression of truth and the propagation of untruth, are guilty of a grave misjudgment. The suggestion here is that the natural tendency of men to hurt and injure those with whom they are not on good terms should not gain any attength by masquerading as service in the cause of truth. Our first duty is towards truth, but a secondary and by no means unimportant consideration is our duty towards social well being, our responsibility for seeing that the machinery of society truts on oiled wheels without unnecessary friction.

The term, 'stādhjāya', originally meant the process of repeating the Veda to oneself after learning it from a preceptor, with the object of memorising what his been learnt. It later

Lec LXXII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

acquired an extended significance and is often used to indicate any kind of study. It will now be conceded that a very large amount of self restraint is necessary to make your language always inoffensive, true, pleasant and good, and serve the cause of learning and study. If we try to reflect what proportion of the talk we generally indulge in is used for this kind of purpose and how much of it is a sheer waste of time, vain and aimless chattering or abuse or backbitting, if not positive indulgence in malicious falsehoods and swindling lies, we will learn to place due value on the austerity of speech which Srt Krishna here points out to be a necessary part of any satisfactory scheme of self discipline.

मन प्रसादस्सीम्यत्वं मीनमात्मविनिष्रहः । भावसंशुद्धिरित्येतस्तपो मानसमुच्यते ॥ १६ ॥

16 Transparent kindliness of disposition, calm benignity, silence, self-restraint and purity of heart—such things as these are spoken of as mental tapas.

mind remains impure. With purity of the heart, sweet speech and sincere action follow as a matter of course, without it, there can be only a shadow of virtue, a semblance of tapas

Thus, mānasa-tapas is the most important part of the effort of self discipline. It is the basis on which the life of speech and action is built. The tapas of the body and the tongue is only, so to speak, a reflection in speech and action of the austerity of the mind. It may be that the former will serve as a training ground for the difficult task of taming the mind. Except in this light, however, it has no value. While the heart remains stained by selfishness and sensuality, the most perfect conduct and the most politic speech will indicate only success in dissimulation and hypocrisy.

Having explained to us the nature of this threefold tapas Sri Krishni proceeds to explain when it is sattrika when rajust and when tamasa A rigorous course of self incipline may be undertaken from various motives and it is according to these that the value of the tapas must be judged ultimately. For even puttly of heart and perfection of conduct my be but to in hidden layers of selfishness and ignorance and benefit the soul as little as the training of the athlete in his eyumassum.

श्रद्धवा परवा तसं नपस्तित्रिशिध नरे । अफलाफाङ्किमयुगेरसास्त्रिकं परिचक्षते ॥ १७ ॥

17 This threefold tapas, performed with perfect faith by men who are devoted and who do not desire any profit therefrom, is said to be sativika

Tapas if it is to strengthen the soul must be undertaken without selfish motives of any kind. Even the desire for salvation is to be eschiewed. We must practise self restraint with the faith that moral discipline is an end in itself. We must overcome temptation not with the hope of storing up metric for a future life but because surrender to it is an outrage on the best in us. It is only wise self control, practised with faith and without ulterfor motives of any kind that can make one holy and enlightened.

Lec LXXII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

fast on the $ek\bar{a}d_a d_{\bar{1}}$ day, which many pious people are in the habit of undertaking! The one is a foolish act of self torture, the other is a discipline that purifies and strengthens the mind and the body. Or again, take the case of the young man who hopes to win faxour in the eves of his lady by starving himself. These are mistances of self control exercised with foolish obstinacy and for no reasonable purpose. Then, again, people may resort to bla k magic to cause injury to others. To propitate the Powers of Darkness, whose help they seek, they may subject themselves to some kind of discipline. All these examples of tapas are tāmasa in character. They are the worst kinds of tapas and can do nothing good to their votaries.

\$11 Krishna now takes up for consideration the classification of dana

दातब्यमिति यहान दीयतेऽनुपकारिणे । देशे काले च पात्रे च तहानं सारिवकं स्मृतम् २०॥

That gift is sathrika, which is given in the faith that it is one's duty to give to one who has not helped (the donor), and which is given at a proper time and a proper place and to a worthy person

Here, again, the idea of s-lifessness is brought to the fore offits made in expectation of return or in gratitude for services rendered, are no tests for one's generosity. If the gifts that we make as part of our religious discipline are to purify and strengthen us then we must make them under the conviction that it is our duty to give. It is the bounden duty of those who have, to help those who have not. The world today is a sorry place to live in, because this duty is ignored, and poverty and plenty are allowed to jostle each other. Gifts also must be made with a proper sense of discrimination. They must be made with the intention of seeing that they are put to the best possible use. To ensure this, it is only commonsense to see that they are made to deserving persons and at the proper time and place.

यसु प्रत्युपभाराणं फलमुद्दिस्य या पुनः । दीयते च परिक्षिष्टं तदाजसमुदाहतम् ॥ २१ ॥

21 But, that which is given in return for help received or in expectation of a future gain, and unwillingly, is deemed ra jasa

On a lower footing stands the generosity which arises out of gratitude or stands in expectation of a future reward. To be grateful is good, but one who helps others in the belief that it is one's duty to do so transcends mere gratefulness and rises to the height of the ideal of universal equality and selfless alruium Again, compulsory or grudging generosity is of little value as a discipline of the spirit. To make gifts because the beggar is importunate, or because it will add to your social presige, is to be generous for a wrong reason.

अवेदाकाले यहानमपात्रेभ्यश्च दीयते । असत्कतमवज्ञात नत्तामममुदाहनम् ॥ २०॥

22 That gift which is made to undeserving persons and at an improper time and place, without respect and contemptuously, is declared to be tamasa

Indiscriminate charity tauses harmful consequences in its wake. The gift may be mad- by the donor with full faith in the moral efficacy of $d\bar{\sigma}_{BB}$ but so tally it may have evil effects. You can all think out examples illustrating this. Living on chitting for any length of time saps ones moral stamma and encourages social parasitism. Take the case of a man who comes to you with a tale of wife and children starving but who spends most of the money that you give him in dr. ok. Most. probably, bard. work, and a sense of responsibility for the welfare of his family can make a new man out of him. Thus, in many ways indiscriminate charity is und-stable, and it is the bounden duty of those who make munificent gifts to see that they are utilised in the right manner. A gift may thus be tarnished by the unworthness of the recipient.

सरकारमानपूजार्धे तपो दम्मेन चैव यत्। क्रियते तदिह प्रोक्तं राजसं चलमध्रवम्॥ १८॥

18. That tapas is here called rātasa, which is performed for the sake of (winning) reverence, praise and worship, and with ostentation, and which is unsteady and unenduring.

Fear of social opinion is one of the great incentives to the observance of conformity to the moral law Self-restraint is often practised for the sake of fame. The speech may be true and unirritating, the body may be in 1721d control, but the heart may not be fully cleansed of its impurities. If one hankers after honour, if one is pious in the hope that others will notice his prety, no amount of self-restraint will take him a step farther on the public osalvation. The way of virtue, of samatua, service, and sacrifice, must be sought as an end in itself. We must be good because then alone will we be true to ourselves and to the God within us. H-re, however, we are taught the characteristics of the tapas which is performed by those who are anxious to use their self-restraint as an instrument to win respect and reverence.

The three expressions, 'satkāra', 'māna' and 'pūja', which I have translated as 'honour', 'praise' and 'worship', menn much the same thing, but a distinction has been made amongst them. It has been suggested that satkāra is mānasika; it refers to the good opinion entertained by others of one Māna is refers to taken to mean honour broadeast in speech; in other words, praise $P\overline{u}ja$ is honour and reverence shown in physical acts. For the sake of winning all these, the $r\bar{a}jasa$ tapas is practised with a good deal of advertisement.

Practised with such motives, this self-discipline is obviously unsteady and unenduring. When one feels that one may sin freely in obscurity, one throws the shackles of self-restraint aside and satisfies every kind of desire at every possible opportunity. Take the case of a man, plous and moral under the watchful eyes of his neighbours in his own village. Suppose he comes to this city of Madras where no one knows who lives next door. He can sin

here with impunity There is no good opinion to forfelt, because no one knows him here and much less cares for what he does It is for this reason that centres of pilgrimage are frequently dens of vice Pilgrims flock thither from the four corners of the land. The floating population of these places is a collection of stranger's Anything and everything seems natural in these circumstances, and it is no wonder that holy citles offer innumerable opportunities for the wicked and the weak-minded Thus, the discipline undertaken for the purpose of sequiring respectability is not firmly rooted. It is unsteady and uncertain. The motive behind it is the fear, not of sin, but of being found out Therefore, it is only such moral discipline as is undertaken with faith and performed without ulterior motives, that can remain enduring

मृद्रप्राहेणात्मनो यत्पीडया कियते तपः । परस्योत्सादनार्थे वा तत्तामसमुदाहतम् ॥ १९ ॥

19 That tapas is called tamasa, which is performed out of foolish obstinacy, with pain to oneself or for the purpose of destroying others

Tapas involves a certain amount of trouble and possibly pan to oneself There is a world of difference, however, between the pain that strengthens and the pain that debilitates. The athlete who is trained in the gymnasium, has to go through a course of exercises every day But the difficulties to which he subjects himself are different from those that confront a labourer who is working beyond the limits of endurance or is attempting a task beyond his strength. It all depends on the way in which the discipline is gone through and the purpose for which it is directed The truth of this applies in a greater measure, if you will, to the question of tapas Children sometimes become morose and obstinate They sulk and decline to eat. It is not that they are ill and do not feel hungry They feel the appetite, but having got into a fit of temper, they stubbornly refuse to take nourishment Here is a case of self control, exercised with tenacious streng h But of what avail is it? Its purpose is nothing less than to harm How different is this tapas, if we may call it so, from the

Lec LXXII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

It is, however, equally important to note that the attitude of the donor also plays a part in determining the quality of the gift. In practising charity and relieving the needy, the right attitude ought to be one of sincere sympaths, one may even go further and say that we must feel grateful to those who receive help at our hands for giving us an opportunity for service and sacrifice which alone give grace and beauty and meaning to life That is why the ancient laws of hospitality prevalent in this land laid so much stress on atithe satkara, honouring the guest, and the magical virtues of dana That being the case, if we treat those who seek help at our hands with discourtesy and dishonour we are stultifying the purpose of our existence. To give gifts grudgingly is bad enough, but to one with scorn and contempt is far worse. And so even as indiscriminate charity is the worst type of charity, the dana given scornfully and contemptuously is tamasa. The gift may be stained both by the worthlessness of the recipient and the arrogance of the donor

Sri Krishna has so far given us pointers to see what kind of religious life is worthy and what is not He has analysed quals airwely the various possible types of the cardinal elements of religious life—3ajña, dana and tapas. Now, He proceeds to take into consideration the important question of Arjuna, which was responsible for all this discussion—the question, namely, how the worship of those who are actuated by faith, but feel no confidence in the dāstras, iš to be judged. In answering this question Sri Krishna lays down what we may call the essence of the religious attitude, the sine qua non for the religious life. And that is stated in the following tipole.

ॐ तत्मदिति निर्देशो ब्रह्मणस्त्रिविधस्समृतः। ब्राह्मणास्तेन वेदाश्च यदाश्च विद्विताः पुरा ॥ २३ ॥

23 "Yes, It exists,"—this is the threefold characterisation of the Brahman And by this were the Brahmins, the Vidas and all acts of worship formerly brought into existence

'Om tat sat' is an old sacred formula known to the religion of the Vedax Literally interpreted, those three words mean.

"Yes, that exists" This is here declared to be the threefold characterisation of the Supreme Being who is the foundation and support of all that is in the universe. It is implied that each of these words can teach us what the Brahman is. We will do well therefore, to bestow some thought on the import conveyed by them and try to see how the formula mentioned above is a threefold characterisation of the Supreme Brahman.

In all early religions, the endeasour of thought has been to find out what may be called the supernatural foundation of the universe, to look beyond mere appearances and see the God who is hidden behind the veil of Nature One of the earliest forms in which the Supreme Being was realised, is found in the formula. "Om tat sat" Now, if there is one religion which has striven as earnestly as our own to rise from known Nature to the unknown God who is above and beyond Nature, it is the religion of the ancient Jews And it is not without significance that they too formulated one of their earliest realisations of God in almost the very words of the statement made here. On the top of Mount Sinai, it is related, Moses saw God within a bush that was burning, but not consumed Moses wanted to know who that God was and what His name was Jehovah then declared "I am that I am" (Exodus, III) You can see the parallelism between this declaration of Jehovah and the formula "Om tat sat One 15 a statement, as from God Himself, on what He is, the other is the realisation of the earnest seeker after truth "I am that I am" is in the first person 'Om tat sat' is in the third person And the truth that these teach is the end of all philosophy, the object of all human thought

And what after all is this central truth of philosophy? In regard to the important question as to whether there is any God, there has been much struggle and striving. Differences of opinion there have been, but all the thinkers who have arrived at the theistic view cannot tell us anything more on this problem than what is given in Jehovah's great declaration, I am that I am', or the Vedic formula, 'Om tat aat'. All that they can say is that God exists—exists, that is to say, in a sense different from all other things that exist. His existence has a unique significance the exists entirely by Humself, independent of anything which is

Lec LXXII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

external to Himself The universe, on the other hand, depends for its existence on the power of God, and has thus, so to speak, only a secondary existence

In the phrase, 'Om tat sat', the particle, 'Om', as we have seen already, may be denoted by the English word, "Yes', thus it emphasises the fact of the existence of God Religious experience has demonstrated this all the world over, and everywhere, too, it has been revealed that God exists in a manner entirely unique. He alone exists, par excellence. It is, therefore, easy to see how the phrase, 'Om tat sat', is the threefold characterisation of the Brahman. It is not a meaningless religious formula, but one in which the religious experience of manking from the earliest ages to the times that are now passing, is summed up. It is a phrase in which the eternal struggle of man to realise God finds its classic expression. Not otherwise than through this statement can we state all that we know and can know of God.

Out of this characterisation of the Brahman it is further taught in this sloka, has evolved all that we associate with religion -the seer and the prophet, the scripture or the revelation, the rites and ceremonies through which worship is directed. This may be easily understood in the light of the explanation that I ventured to place before you just now. If you take up a negative attitude in regard to the question of God. if you subscribe to the Everlasting Nay there is need for no prophet, nor revelation, nor ritual The seer claims to see beyond the visible universe into the mystery of what sustains and supports it. But you may think that there is no truth beyond what is seen Laplace, the great astronomer, is said to have searched the heavens with his telescope and to have revieitably exclusived it the east "Losa ree no Oad". Neither telescopes nor microscopes can take us near to God. The spiritual discipline perfected by the prophets and the sages, affords us the only way of seeing God. It is the task of heroism to attain the experience of God realisat on through the practice of soga, and only those who have had this exp rience can teach us with authority that He is The Everlasting Yea alone can lead us to a belief in the aptatoa, the integrity, of priests and prophets and in the truth of revealed scriptures

It is thus that the attitude enshrined in the expression, "Oil tat sat', is responsible for religious life as it is lived in society, for the Brihmins who teach religion, for the Vedas which command conduct, and for the rites and ceremonies, the worship and the adoration, that form the way to God Once we understand that God exists and that the entire universe rests in Him and depends on Him, it follows that we must so live our life as to be in harmonious relations with that vast unseen Power. This is the essence of the teaching given in the Vedas by the saints and seers of old And it is evident now that if 'Om tat sat' is an appropriate characterisation of the Brahman, then our life must be lived in such a manner as to accord full recognition to the teachers of religion, the revealed scriptures and the a ts of worship In other words, we may well say that out of the characterisation of the Brahman as 'Om tat sat', out of the affirmative attitude towards God and faith in His existence, sprang up the priests and the prophets, the holy books and the hie of religion generally

Let us now see how the leading commentators explain this floka According to Sanksracharya, it teaches the means of explating the sins that may arise in connection with the performance of religious acts like sacrifices The utterance of the three words, 'Om', 'tat' and 'sat', frees religious rites from the errors or detects that may have arisen in connection with their performance, and they have this virtue because they denote the Supreme Brahman Hence they are extolled in the second half of the floka thus "By means of these (names) the Brahmanas, ledas and sacrifices were created formerly" It has been suggested that the creation was by the four faced Brahma, the creator, through the help of this threefold designation of the Brahman Nilakantha points out that the word, 'Om', denotes the Brahman in such scriptural passages as 'Om' iti Brahma' (Taittirtya Upanishad, I 8 1) and that the words 'tat' and 'sat', serve a similar purpose in such scrip'ural passage as 'Tat tvam asi' and 'Sat eva saumya' (Chrandogya Upanishad VI 8 7 & VI 2 1) Raminujicharya takes the word, Brahman', to mean sedic religious acts and says that the three words, 'Om', 'tat' and 'sat', occurring in this floka are logically associated with those acts and characterise them The word,

Lec LYXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

'Om', is connected with religious acts in general, and through its being pronounced at the commencement of every religious rite, it becomes part and parcel of that rite itself, and in this manner it forms a necessary element in all religious rites. The words, 'tat' and 'sat', denote that the priformance of those religious rites with he are begun with the utterance of 'Om', is under the circumstances worths and deserving of all respect. Madhsacharya says that these three words 'Om', 'Tat' and 'Sat' denote the Brahman for the following reasons. 'Om' means that He is the support of the whole universe, 'tit' signifies that He is perceived by all knowers possessed of mentorious qualities, and the meaning of 'sat' is that He is free from all that is evil and abundantly full of auspicious qualities.

Let us stop here to-day

lxxiii

Last week we concluded Sri Krishna's classification of yajña, dana and tapas into the three categories of sātivika, rājasa and tamasa. In particular, we tried to understand Sri Krishna's des ription of the threefold nature of tapas, mental, moral and physical. In all these classifications, the object is evidently to indicate to us how we may judge a man's yajña, dāna and tapas, not so much from the standpoint of the injunctions given in the sastras, as from their intrinsic nature, taking into consideration all the accompanying circumstances in connection with their performance. A criterion is thus set forth to estimate the religious life of a man who is actuated by sincere faith, but is unable to acc pt the authority of the sastras.

You may remember that in the course of the discussion of stoka 23 with which we con luded our last class. I true to point out to you how the mirdesa of the Brahman as 'On tat sat' is the best that can be given and how it is one of the earliest realisations of God that truth seekers have arrived at in different countries. In the designation of the Brahman as 'Yes, that exists' we kave, as it were, the key to the evolution of religious life in general, to the recognition of the authority of the priests and the prophets and of the holy scriptures, and to the origin and growth of various

religious rites and forms of worship. The value of any kind of religious life may be judged by the test of the fundamental religious attitude as laid down in the declaration, 'On tat sat'. And in order to enable us to do this, Sri Krishipa proceeds to make a detailed study of the words composing that formula

तसादोमित्युदाहत्य यद्यदानतपःक्रियाः । प्रयर्तन्ते विघानोष्ठाः सततं ब्रह्मयादिनाम् ॥ २४ ॥

24. Therefore, all acts of worship, charity and penance, enjoined by the scriptures, are begun by those who study the Brahman with the utterance of (the syllable) Om

A Brahmavādin is one who discusses questions relating to the Brahman, that is to say, an enquiring philosopher. Now, it is stated here that all earnest philosophers begin their acts of religion, whether they are acts of worship, charity or austerity, with the utterance of the word, 'Om'. This syllable, as I have been informed, signifies what is denoted in English by "Yes". When understood in this sense, it will become evident why the utterance of this word is considered essential at the commencement of every religious rite The intention is that you must utter this affirmation, "Yes", to fortify your faith and strengthen your sincerity in regard to the religious activity that you propose to begin The utterance of "Yes" is a summary statement of faith, a broad affirmation of the theistic position in philosophy and ethics If your answer to the question of the existence of God is an emphatic 'No', there is no inducement for you to believe in the morality of service, samatva and sacrifice Whether or not you answer this question with a Yes or a No determines the lines on which your life is shaped and lived The need for ethics arises only from the affirmative attitude and the establishment of a scale of moral values depends intimately upon it

In this manner it can be shown that a great deal depends on the utterance of ' Yes'' before any religious activity is undertaken For otherwise, religion will lack faith and sincerity and be only a lie and a delusion And it has to be noted here that with the passage of time, the syllable, 'Om', which was made to determine the

Lec LXXIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

fundamental religious attitude, has acquired a symbolic significance Much is meant and more is impiled by the pranava, as it is called, in the history of Sanskrit philosophy 'On' it is stated, represents great truths of philosophy and religion in mini ways, and some of these we will do well to study here. From the standpoint of phonetic analysis, the sound, 'Om', is split up into a. u. and m As they say in Sanskrit, the onkara is made up of akāra, ukāra and makāra. These three different sounds get blended into the single phonetic element, 'Om'. And when we pronounce it, we are not conscious of its having arisen from the combination of three distinct sound elements, it appears to be a single entity by itself Now, this phonetic analysis of 'Om' is given a figurative significance. It is declared that the key to the study of all knowledge and truth lies in this fusion of three sounds to form the syllable, 'Om' Man, universe and God, here are the ultimate entities that every philosopher reaches at the end of his investigation. Now these are in a sense different from one another, and yet they blend together to form a harmonious whole There are differences of opinion amongst the leading Indian thinkers as to the exact manner in which this unity in diversity is to be understood. Yet none has any quarrel with the broad concept outlined above. In the manner in which a u and m. though distinct from one another, get fused into the syllable, 'Om', even so matter, soul and God unite together in harmony to form the units that we call the universe. Here is one of the ways in which the rich suggestive meaning of the onkara has been interpreted

It is also stated that the prinava is representative of the Veduc gods. Now, these go is have been classified according to the various regions which they are believed to inhabit. Some gods are supposed to live in this earth others in heaven, and yet others in the mid region of antarisha. Olivera, it is declared, representill these gods a representing one set of gods, is standing for another group and in representing the rest. Some of you may say that, by this representation the prafact is made to embody a polythelistic idea. This, however, is not really the case. There is a famous discussion in our Upanishads, which makes this absolutely clear. Yajfavalkya, the seer, is asked by Vidghda Sikalya. How many are the gods? He answers. "Three and

thirty crotes" This view is known to every one in this country, man, woman or child Not satisfied with this answer, Sākalja repeats the question This time the sage answers. "Three crores" The same question, repeated several times, clicits ultimately from Yajñavalkya the declaration that there is only one God It is clear from this dialogue that Yajñavalkya wants us to regard the gods of the Vedae pantheon as being synthesized into the one God of the Vedānta If the pranava is understood in this light, its importance becomes obvious, for it will then represent the essence of all revelation

Yet another way in which the importance of the ofikara is made out involves consideration of the relation between language and meaning It is said that the relation between the invisible God and the visible universe is like the relation between the unheard meaning and the heard speech. Let us pause for a moment to see what really happens when we har some on- telling us something There is the sensation of sound, and there is also the meaning which we attach to the sounds that we hear This meaning is not of the nature of a sensation, but is closely related to the sounds that impinge on our ears. It is something which cannot be perceived like a sensa ion, but has to be unders ood by the mind What you understand in the mind is not heard by you. The mind has the power of getting to know this something, which is different from the perceivable sensations that are carried to the mind through the channels of the senses Confining ourselves for the present to the sensation of sound, we note everywhere a distinct relation between sound and sense Now, it is suggested that the relation between the visible universe and the invisible God is analogous to the relation between the perceived sound and the understood sense After all, God cannor be perceived by us The relation between God and the universe is a metaphysical relation So long as God cannot be directly perceived by us, the truth about Him has to be learnt by us through analogies of some kind or other And the analogy here suggested is for many reasons among the best that can be conceived

Since the relation between sound and sense gives us an insight into the nature of the relation between God and the universe, language gains a value of its own. And that value is enhanced by

Lec LXXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

the fact that the scriptures which reveal the truth about God, are enshrined in language of some kind or o'her. Language as language, then, becomes sacred, and the ohkāra, it is urged, acquires a sacred significance, because it is representative of all language. You may ask. How can that be? The answer agiven by a phonetic analysis of language generally. Language, of course, is made up of sounds of many kinds. These sounds are produced by the larynx, and are, so to speak, articulated by the mouth and the throat. What I mean is that the sounds of language are distinct from one anoth r on account of the part that the mouth and the throat play in their evolution. And unless sounds are distinct and articulate in this manner, they are incapable of conveying any meaning. Even music, delightful as it may be, fails in this report.

Sanskrit phoneticians from very early times have classified the phonetic units of the Sanskrit language from the positions in the mechanism of speech at which they are severally articulated. From the throat onwards to the line we have several positions at which various classes of sounds are produced. There are gutturals formed at the throat, dentals originating from the teeth and so on As we have seen, the syllable, 'Om', has been analysed into three phonetic constituents—a, u and m A, we may say, is typically representative of all arriculate sounds whose arriculation is at the throat M similarly represents sounds which arise at the line And a stands for all sounds that are produced anywhere between the throat and the lips. In this way the mikara may be regarded as typifying all possible sounds, for all linguistic sounds, whether spoken by the Esquimo or the Tods or any cultured and civilised person, are articulated with the help of the vocal organs mentioned above Language in general is thus symbolised by the syllable. 'On', and as language itself is sacred for the various reasons d scussed above, the onkara is also sacred as the suggestive symbol of all language

Yet another reason for the importance and great religious value of the onkdra is its wide use as an aid to 30gic moditation leading to self realisation and God realisation. Yogins tell us that it is helpful in fixing the mind upon the object of meditation and saving it from distraction.

Here are some of the reasons which are given by our teachers for the halo of symbolic significance surrounding the onkara. If we accept any of these, if the pranava reminds us of any of these great truths, then certainly it is easy to see why its utterance is enjoined before the formal commencement of any religious act. Even, however, if we take it to mean no more than "Yes", it is not very difficult to see why it is essential that we should utter it when beginning any religious action Religion has no meaning, unless it affirms the existence of what we loosely call God The religious attitude implies a belief in values other than those envisaged by selfishness, a passion for service, a perspective that takes the past and the future of history at a glance. There must be faith in altruism, service morality. We cannot be religious, unless we have faith of some kind, unless we believe in the Everlasting Yea If our religious life is to be sincere, our faith has to be continually fortified and clarified. And nothing is more helpful for this purpose than the affirmation of the fundamentals of religious faith, as summarised in the onkara

Sańkarāchārya has nothing to say in particular in regard to this \$toka Rāmānujacharya says that this \$toka teaches the mode in which the word, 'Om', is associated with religious rites. After repeating the word, 'Om', sacrifices, gifs and tapas—which are all prescribed in the \$tastras—have to be begun by all those who study the Vedas The word, 'Om', as already stated, has to be uttered at the commencement of every religious act, even when we resolve to dos!

तदित्यनिमनंघाय फलं यस्तपःक्रियाः । दानिक्रयाश्च विविधाः क्रियन्ते मोक्षकाहिभिः ॥ २५ ॥

25 Acts of worship, austerity and charity are performed by those, who aspire after salvation, without desire for fruit and accompanied by the utterance of the word, 'Tat'.

That duty unvelfishly done is capable of I-ad ng us on to the salvation of moksha, we have been taught over and over again You will remember the various methods that Sri Kṛishqa suggested for overcoming our ingrained tendency towards

selfishness There is first of all faith in duty as duty, as something that the better part of one's nature demands ought to be done Or else, you may try to see the part that braktite plays in our daily life. Most of the thoughts that we think and the actions that we do are ampelled and executed by the sunas of heabrits Life as it is generally lived is largely motivated by physical needs and stimule. If we recognise this fact, we will be induced to overcome the possessive instinct which makes its feel that we are the agents of our deeds and the owners of their fruits. This is at best only a negative attitude. A more philosophic attitude demands a faith in God as the centre of all power and hence as the agent of all acts. If we attribute to God the agency of all our deeds, then selfishness becomes irrelevant. Those who study with earnestness Chapters 7 to 12 of the Gua are left in no doubt as regards Sri Krishna's views on this question. It is not a mere act of faith to say that God is the doer of all deeds, which we believe that we perform According to \$1! Krishna, it is a demonstrated cenclusion

Hence it is easy to see that it seems to be meant in the Gita that it is a progressive advancement in the line of ethical improvement to pass from the discipline of karma to that of jāāna, and from this again to the discipline of bhakts and prapatits, because one who uses this last discipline is guided by the widest and most comprehensive vision of truth. To do duty for its own sake is good. To recognise the agency of prakrist in all our actions is commendable. Either of these may lead us ultimately to the salvation of možsha. But it is best of all to realise that God is the agent of all our actions. The realisation of the truth of God and His relation to the universe is the best among the many means by which we may learn the lesson of selliessness.

If we understand this, there will be no difficulty in perceiving why we are asked to utter the word, 'tat', when performing any religious action. The onkara uttered at the beginning aids us in fortifying our faith and encouraging our sincerity 'Tat', uttered immediately after, draws our mind away from our petty little selves to the Lord who is the source of all power and the agent of all deeds. It makes us lose at once the sense of agency and thus frees us from handabara and mamakar.

This sloka, according to Rāmānujāchārya, teaches the manner in which the word, 'tat', is associated with religious duties. The acts of sacrifice, of giving gifts and of performing tapas have to be performed without atrachment to the fruits thereof by all those who are desirous of attaining salvation. These acts so done are the means of attaining salvation and are, therefore, denoted by the word, 'tat', which denotes the Supreme Brahman Himself.

मक्रवे साधुभावे च सदित्येतत्त्रयुत्यते । प्रशस्ते प्रमंणि तथा मच्छद् पार्थ युत्यते ॥ २६ ॥

26 This (word) 'sat', is used in the sense of existence and goodness, and likewise, O Arjuna, the term, 'sat', is used for any action deserving of praise

It is a noteworthy fact that in Sanskrit the term, 'sat', stands for both reality and goodness a fact which, we may fancy, helps us to tealise the basic faith of all religions that what really and fundamentally exists is good. When we speak of God as sat, we must not merely understand that He exists, but also that He is good. There is no meaning in religion, unless there is a God who is harmoniously related to the universe. We cannot be truly religious, if we believe with poets like Thomas Hardy, that the world is ruled by a blind and heedless Destuny, a Vast Imbecility which is indifferent to moral values. The Gitā has given us a comprehensive vision of God as both immanent and transcendent

God, of course cannot be studied as we study the universe But as the results of scientific investigation have es ablished the existence of what are called laws of Nature it is reasonable to think that the God who is immanent in this cosmos mus be related harmoniously to it. There is order and system in the world around us. Evel, such catachyms of Nature as cyclones and earthquakes obey laws of their own. The adventure of science is based on the faith that northing takes place in Nature which is not in accordance with observable and uniform laws. It is thus that science is ab't to visualise the past and imagine the future. When we try to this from Nature, so orderly and systematic, to Nature's God how can we think of Him as minitaning a relation of discord and disharmony with the universe? A God who is the stay.

and support of an orderly cosmos, cannot be given over to whims and fancies. He must adjust His relations with the universe we feel, so as to be in harmony with the laws. He has Himself made and of which we are vouchsafed stray glimpses. And from all this it is easy to say that the God who exists is good.

It is further stated in this \$lokg that when a man performs a praiseworthy action, the term 'sat', is used Religious acts such as varia, dana and tabas are worthy of praise, not because they meticulously conform to the details of orthodox it maken but because they are in themselves praiseworthy. The right and proper kind of religion makes our life one continuous act of devotion to God. Any kind of improper life may well be deemed to be a continuous act of devotion to the lower animal self within It must be either the one or the other. There can be no middle course. Now you can understand how the utterance of the formula 'Ou tat sat' is helpful to us in living the higher life It reminds us from moment to moment of the fundamental truths of religion and of the way to salvation. It trains us in godliness and selflessness. That is why those who have faith in religion use this phrase so often in connection with the various actions that they may perform from time to time It is at once a symbol and a reminder of the whole hearted dedication that true religion implies

According to Rāmānujāchārya, the word, 'sat', here means whatever is, as, for instance, a chair, a gem, etc, it is also used to denote what is good in ordinary life, it denotes again an auspicious ceremony. Madhvachārya takes the word, 'sat', to mean a thing which one has not and which one gets or acquires as, for instance, sons and daughters which one has not in the beginning and acquires later on in life. According to Sankarāchārya the word, 'sā lh ibhata', means the right ous conduct of one who was formerly unrighteous.

यक्षे नपसि दाने च स्थिति भदिति घोच्यते । कर्न चैव तदर्थीय मदित्येत्राभिधीयते ॥ २७ ॥

27 Steadfastness in worship, penance and charity is spoken of as rat, so also any action for His sake is called rat

Here there is a further development of the meaning of 'sat'. Sthit; is steadfastness, constancy, even perhaps devotion When we realise that God alone is truly existent and fundamentally good, we must try to mould out life in accordance with this realisation. We must try to make our life also both sat and sādhu It is sometimes said in regard to the many evils and pains that humanity suffers from, that they are only relative and not at all permanent Those evils, they say, will cancel one another in course of time and ultimately leave the victory to the good and the true In other words, it is suggested that there is no permanent scope for evil in this universe History over a wide range of years shows the assertion of harmony against discord, of goodness against evil, of political uprightness against intrigue and selfishness Those who have studied the growth of society and state from their dim biological beginnings among gregatious animals can testify to the great triumph of the forces of concord over those of discord in the history of evolution It is not without reason that a great European philosopher saw in history the gradual unfoldment of the Absolute Discords and disharmonies, pain and evil undoubtedly exist, but they are not fundamental. They come and pass like the clouds that hide the sun from us But in the end, even as the sun shines brightly, the triumph of the good is established This, indeed, is the meaning of the often misunderstood epigram whatever is is right

Now if our religious life is to be true and good it must be in harmonious relations with the Lord, who is truly existent and good We derive our power and energy from Him, we owe our very existence to Him His relation to us is one of concord and harmony So we cannot establish any other kind of relation with Him, unless we are wantonly evil and viccios. Our life is sat when it is truly existent, and sadhin, when it is concordant with the reality of things. And this can be only when we lead a life devoted to religion, to worship charity and penance. Devotion to these deserves to be spoken of as sait and sadhin, so long as our religious life is directed in the proper manner, that is, so long as it is appropriately characterised by the phrase, 'Om lat sat'.'

Every action done for the sake of God is also sat If our life is lived in the right and proper manner, every action that we do

Lec LXXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

must be for His sake. Only so shall our life be concordant with the reality of things. I do not believe that it is possible for any one to attain to a more satisfactory or higher position of dignity than that in which one can feel that one is a fellow worker with God To be related harmoniously to God, who is the stay and support of this universe and from whom all power and energy is derived, is to work in the same direction that He does You may all remember the simile that I once before used of the universe being somewhat like a mighty engine under the control and guidance of God We are all called upon to relate ourselves to the We are free to choose a relation of harmony or one of The working of the machine is not affected by the choice descord that we may make But our destiny is dependent on our choice Relating ourselves harmoniously to the machine, we are saved Opposing the machine we are doomed. When we co-operate with the working of the machine, the power of God is behind us and gradually takes us on to the great goal of salvation. We then become fellow workers with God, not in the sense that we attain to anything like equality with Him in the measure and quality of the work that we perform, but in the sense that we work in the same direction that He does Our work is meagre, insignificant. But it is in the tight direction. It is done for His sake. Any work done in this manner is undoubtedly eat

According to Ramanujacharya, this sloke means that one has to be steadfast in performing religious works like sacrifices, and this steadfastness is also called ear and all those acts which are related to them also go by the name of sat. Those religious acts, if done with the object of obtaining and enjoying their fruits, lead to worldly prosperity and to samsara and rebirth. Nevertheless. they are called sat, as they are all enjoined by the sastras and are done in accordance therewith although they are done with attachment to the fruits thereof The word, sat', denotes the Brahman Himself, since it denotes the sacrifice etc. done in regard to Him. Another view is that there is anxiety on the part of the worshipper that religious acts should become dedicated to God or should constitute His worship. To attain this object, acts like waving lights and so forth, which are accessors to such rites as sacrifices and which lead to such dedication and are called 'sat'. have to be performed by those who perform the sacrifices themselves

Here there is a further development of the meaning of 'sat' 'Sthete' is steadfastness, constancy, even perhaps devotion When we realise that God alone is truly existent and fundamentally good, we must try to mould out life in accordance with this realisation. We must try to make our life also both sat and sādhu It is sometimes said in regard to the many evils and pains that humanity suffers from, that they are only relative and not at all permanent Those evils, they say, will cancel one another in course of time and ultimately leave the victory to the good and the true In other words, it is suggested that there is no permanent scope for evil in this universe History over a wide range of years shows the assertion of harmony against discord, of goodness against evil, of political uprightness against intrigue and selfishness Those who have studied the growth of society and state from their dim biological beginnings among gregatious animals can testify to the great triumph of the forces of concord over those of discord in the history of evolution. It is not without reason that a great European philosopher saw in history the gradual unfoldment of the Absolute Discords and dishar nonies, pain and evil undoubtedly exist, but they are not fundamental. They come and pass like the clouds that hide the sun from us But in the end, even as the sun shines brightly, the triumph of the good is established This, indeed, is the meaning of the often misunderstood epigram whatever is is right

Now, if our religious life is to be true and good it must be in harmonious relations with the Lord, who is truly existent and good We derive our power and energy from Him we owe our very existence to Him His relation to us is one of concord and harmony So we cannot establish any other kind of relation with Him, unless we are wantonly evil and victous Our life is satishin, unless we are wantonly evil and victous Our life is satishin, when it is concordant with the reality of things. And this can be only when we lead a life devoted to religion, to worship chartry and penance. Devotion to these deserves to be spoken of as sat and 31 dains, so long as our religious life is directed in the proper manner, that is, so long as it is appropriately characterised by the phrase, 'Om' let sat'.

Every action done for the sake of God is also sat. If our life is lived in the right and proper manner, every action that we do

Lec LXXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

must be for His sake Only so shall our life be concordant with the reality of things. I do not believe that it is possible for any one to attain to a more satisfactory or higher position of dignity than that in which one can feel that one is a fellow worker with God. To be related harmoniously to God, who is the stay and support of this universe and from whom all power and energy is derived is to work in the same direction that He does. You may all remember the simile that I once before used of the universe being somewhat like a mighty engine under the control and guidance of God. We are all called upon to relate ourselves to the We are free to choose a relation of harmony or one of machine discord The working of the machine is not affected by the choice that we may make But our destiny is dependent on our choice Relating ourselves harmoniously to the machine, we are saved Opposing the machine we are doomed. When we co operate with the working of the machine, the power of God is behind us and gradually takes us on to the great goal of salvation. We then become fellow workers with God, not in the sense that we attain to anything like equality with Him in the measure and quality of the work that we perform, but in the sense that we work in the same direction that He does Our work is meagre, insignificant. But it is in the right direction. It is done for His sake. Any work done in this manner is undoubtedly eat

According to Ramanujacharya, this floka means that one has to be steadfast in performing religious works like sacrifices, and this steadfastness is also called sat and all those acts which are related to them also go by the name of sat. Those religious acts, if done with the object of obtaining and enjoying their fruits, lead to worldly prosperity and to samsara and rebitth Nevertheless, they are called sat, as they are all enjoyed by the sastras and arone in accordance therewith although they are done with attachment to the fruits thereof. The word, sat', denotes the Brahman Himself, since it denotes the sacrifice etc done in recard to Him. Another view is that there is anxiety on the part of the worshipper that religious acts should become dedicated to God or should constitute His worship. To attain this object, acts like waving lights and so forth which are accessors to such rites as sacrifices and which lead to such dedication and are called 'sat'. have to be performed by those who perform the sacrifices themselves

अश्रद्धया हुतं दत्तं तपस्ततं छतं च यत्। असदित्युज्यते पार्यं न च तत्त्रेत्य नो इह ॥ २८ ॥

28. Whatever oblation is offered or gift is made, whatever penance is practised, whatever action is performed, without faith, it is called asat, O Arjuna. It is of no use here or hereafter.

A life of religion lived without faith produces none of the results that one may expect from it either here or h-reafter. Religion without sincerity is shadow without substance, the husk without the grain. One may pretend to be religious with the hope of winning the good opinion of those around him. But sooner or later the truth will be found out, and the hypocrite will receive acorn instead of praise. It is hardly necessary to say that such a life cannot free one from the bondage of karma, but must, on the other hand, forge fresh fetters for the soul. It is of no account here or hereafter.

With this sloka we teach the end of the seventeenth chapter. You may now ask whether Arjuna's question has been answered. How are we to judge of the life of a man who feels no confidence in the sastras, but leads a life of faith and religion? The answer to this question is indicated in many places in the seventeenth chapter and is emphasised in the very last sloka which we did just now. The first point to note is that the life of such a man will be earnest and sincere As he is sincere and earnest, all his yajña, dana and tapas must be sattvikes So such a life cannot but be sat This applies to the case of the man who, while not having seen a satisfactory injunction in the sastras, still lives the life of faith But how are we to judge of one who, knowing the truth of sastras, wants to discredit them and tries to lead a life based on his own convictions? He professes to know more than the sag s and seers of the past He is a paragon of wisdom in his own conceit Of such a one, can we say that he is free from selfishness and self-assertion? It is possible to judge charitably of one who forsakes his religion and becomes converted to another, actuated by honest conviction, he is merely seeking for a satisfactory guide But the man who disdains the authority of all fastras and accepts

Lec. LXXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

The salient features of the seventeenth chapter are summarised in the following Sloka by Yāmunāchārya:

अशास्त्रं आसुरं एत्स्नं शास्त्रीयं गुणतःपृथक् । लक्षणं शास्त्रसिद्धस्य त्रिधा सप्तदशोदितम् ॥

The sense of this, as you may see, is that all that is done sgainst the commands of the δd_{stras} is demoniacal, while what is ordained in the δd_{stras} is capable of being classified from the standpoint of the quality it shows (i.e., s.s.sdituika, rdijas) and what is established in the δd_{stras} has the distinctive attribute of being denoted by the three words, 'Ciù tal' sat'. There is first of all the distinction between what is ordained by the δd_{stras} had what is not. Whatever is not commanded by the scriptures is here described as demoniacal. Now, that which is in accordance with the δd_{stras} , it is further declared, is capable of being characterised by the formula, 'Où tat sat'.

In the light of the explanation that I ventured to suggest sometime ago, you will have understood that this expression describes the basic foundations of the religious attitude. Any one who adopts this attitude cannot go far wrong. His life, even though it may not be consciously guided by the Jastras, will still be praiseworthy. He will obey the spirit, even though he may not observe the letter of the fāstras. Looking at the matter from a slightly different standpoint, the utterance of this expression corrects and rectifies all defective religious rites. For what is most needed in religion is faith and sincerity, and 'Oni tat sat' is nothing other than an affirmation of faith. Even among those who obey the injunctions of the fāstras, distinctions have to be

made. There is saving grace only in that religious life which is coloured by no ulterior motives and which is lived for its own sake. That alone is zāttvika, whether it is deliberately guided by the authority of the zāstras or adopted otherwise with faith and sincertly and without self conceit of any kind. And in accordance with the motives prompting the religious life, we may further divide those who adopt it into the rājasa and tāmasa categories.

I may note here that a verse which summarises the teachings of this chapter from the standpoint of the Advaitins, says "Those who have no knowledge of the Sastras, but who worship God with faith according to the promptings of their innate impressions existing at birth, attain results suited to such impressions, according as they are sāltvika, rājass or tamasa. These three names—'Om tat sat'—when uttered, are capable of removing the rājasa and tamasa aspects of those acts and of converting them into the worship of God so as to lead to salvation."

CHAPTER XVIII

lxxiv

You may remember that the seventeenth chapter, which we finished last time, came in as a kind of digression, thoush, perhaps a necessary digression. The sixteenth chapter ended with a statement on the authority of the \$\delta \text{star} \text{star} \text{star} in the guidance of conduct. Without the \$\delta \text{star} \text{star} \text{star} we then learnt, it is impossible for the large majority of men to lead their lives aright. It is only human to be led by interest and to succumb to temptation. The course of discipline that morality expects of us—the discipline of self restraint and sacrifice—will be seldom practized, if men are allowed to live according to their natural instincts and tendencies. And so Sri Krishpa declares. "In determining what ought to be done and what ought not to be done, the \$\delta \text{star} \text{is your guide"} (XVI 24).

Out of this prose the digression which the seventeenth chapter dealt with, the question, namely of the manner in which we are to judge of the conduct of persons who are endowed with faith, but who do not conduct themselves in accordance with the commandments of the fastras There are men who regulate their

Lec LXXIV] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

lives, not in conscious obedience to the commandments of the \$I\$stras, but in accordance with what they think in the light of their faith to be true, right and just. As you are well aware, the entire drift of the seventeenth chapter has been to point out that the lives of such men must be deemed \$sttvika, whether the driving force behind their lives is belief in the authoritativeness of the \$I\$stras or some kind of faith which is in consonance with the essential and fundamental concepts of religion. No one is to be penalised for technical flaws in religious life. The life of religion must be judged, not from a ritualistic or legalistic standpoint, but by its capacity to place us in harmonious relations with the plan and purpose behind the process of the universe. Emphasis is to be laid more on the spirit than on the letter of the \$I\$stras.

To take up the thread of the argument, we will have to go back to the end of the sixteenth chapter The question with which the eighteensh chapter begins follows naturally from the declaration of Sri Krishua at the end of the sixteenth chapter on the authority of the dasters. The question which is now taken un for consideration is that of two ga and sannyasa. Both the terms, as you are aware, mean renunciation. In the scriptures of our faith. sydea and sanny dea are recommended Almost every important Upanished declares emphatically that the way to salvation lies through twasa and sannyasa That being the case, Arjuna now wants to know how it is that when he is prepared to adopt this life of sannyasa and traga, which is commanded in the sastras. Sri Krishna insists on his fighting a war with his cousins and winning a kingdom for himself and his brothers Arjuna seems to feel that the teaching of Srl Krishna appears to run counter to the spirit of the sastras whose authority He has Himself upheld so eloquently

Observe the spirit in which the question is put. Up to the end of the aixteenth chapter, Srf. Krishpa was teaching the fundamental basis of ethics. The imperative obligation to perform one's duty at whatever cost and without attachment to the results was explained. And in determining what one's duty is and what it is not, it was further laid down, the guidance of the \$dairas must be sought. Arjuna accepts all this. He fully understands the position taken up by Sri Krishpa. But so accome is his

aversion to war that even at this stage he tries to find a loophole of escape. He, therefore, draws the attention of Sri Kṛishṇa to the injunctions in the scriptures, enjoining $iy\bar{a}ga$ and $sanny\bar{a}sa$, and questions how the commandments of the $\bar{s}\bar{s}stras$ and the teaching of Sri Kṛishṇa are to be reconciled. Sṛi Kṛishṇa gives here the answer that He has given many times before. Revealing the secret of true renunciation, He explains how Arjuna will be failing in the discharge of his duties, if he flies away from the battle field under the erroneous impression that he is obeying thereby the scriptural injunction to renounce. Please notice how the subject of renunciation arises here naturally out of the context

अर्जुन उदाच—

सन्त्यासस्य महावाह्ये तस्त्रमिच्छामि वेदितुम् । त्यागस्य च हृपीकेश पृथकेशिनिपृदन ९१॥

ARJUNA SAID:

1 I want to know the truth about sannyāsa,
O Krishna, and also about tyāga severally

"Tydga" and 'sannydsa' are terms generally used in a synonymous sense. They stand for renunciation. Here, however, a distinction between the two is made by Sri Kṛishpa which helps to reveal clearly the central feature of His teaching on the subject of renunciation. Before stating His settled conclusion on the question. Sri Kṛishpa takes note of various contemporary views on the subject and criticises them.

श्रीभगवानुवाच—

षाम्याना कमणा न्यास सल्यास कारयो निदु । सर्वक्रमीकल याग प्राष्ट्रस्याग निचन्नमा ॥ २ ३

ŚRI KRISIINA SAID

2 The sages understand satisfies to be the abandonment of all actions that are prompted by desire. The wise say that the giving up of the fruits of all actions is

Whatever the work that you may perform, whether it is undertaken by you out of a desire to fulfil some object in view or metely as duty, if you give up the fruits of your work, then you practise $ty\bar{a}ga$. Since both $ty\bar{a}ga$ and $sanny\bar{a}sa$ are enjoined in the $\delta\bar{a}stras$, it is easy to see what the teaching of the $\delta\bar{s}stras$ on the question of renunciation is First, we must concentrate on the performance of duty Desires should, as far as possible, be restrained, and we must give up all actions which are prompted by the urge to satisfy our desires. Then, we must do our duties, without the slightest expectation of any reward here or hereafter without the least tant of attachment to the fruits of our work. Practising in this manner both $ty\bar{a}ga$ and $sanny\bar{a}sa$, we will be able to overcome the bondaga of tantakense.

It may be observed in passing that it is possible to understand the distinction between myata karma and kāmya karma in a different manner. We may restrict the significance of the word, 'karma', and take it to mean only religious rites. In our scriptures, it is laid down that certain religious rites must be sandhya-vandana may be taken as an instance to the point. There are also rites prescribed for the attainment of particular objects. The performance of jyotishtoma with the object of attaining Svarga, or of the sacrifice of putra kameshi for the may well stand for the class of religious rites which are obligatory, while by 'kāmya karma' we may understand those rituals that are undertaken for the attainment of particular objects and the satisfaction of our desires. It follows from this that the practice of sannyasa will mean the giving up of all kinds of kāmya karma and that of tydga the relinquishing of the fruits of all myata karmas.

This view, I think, unduly narrows down the scope of the teaching of Sri Krishpa on the question of renunciation. And the general trend of the Gira, I am certain, lends support to the clearly shows that Arjuna is not concerned at present with the problem before him is whether or not he is justified in rettling from the battle field under the belief that by so doing he is

practising the tydga and the samnydsa recommended in our stdstras. The view that \$ri Kjishna takes of this question is patent. Indeed, it seems as though the context required us to make \$ri Krishna's teaching on this question applicable to the whole of life, and so we cannot but understand 'karma' here to mean action in general and not religious ritual in particular.

The distinction that Sri Krishna makes here between sannyasa and 1yaga is not kept up throughout the chapter. The terms are used in the general sense of renunciation hereafter, as no need is felt for keeping up the distinction.

त्याज्यं दोपवदित्येके कर्म ब्राहर्मनीपिणः। यज्ञदानतप् कर्म न त्याद्यमिति चापरे॥३॥

3 Some sages declare that (all) work should be given up as being full of evil, and others that works of charity, penance and worship should not be given up

It is held by some wise sages that the performance of action tends to bind the soul, and that any one who wants to adopt the five of nit fifth recommended in the Upanishads should give up all karma. Here too the term, 'karma', may be understood with a restricted significance, in which case there will only be a reference to the view that one who is desirous of salvation must give up all religious rites whatsoever. Sri Krishaa then proceeds to draw our attention to the views of those who think that certain kinds of work should never be given up. Worship penance and charity—these cardinal elements of religious life are obligatory on all and under all circumstances. There can be no religion without them. It is this latter view which Sri Krishna is seeking to uphold. But the large charity of His heart induces. Him to refer respectfully those who differ from Him. They too are manishinals, wise men.

निश्चर्यं श्रृष्टु में तत्र त्यांगे भरतमत्तमः । त्यांगो हि पुरुषच्यात्र त्रिविधस्त्यवर्गतितः ॥ ४ ॥ यज्ञदानतप कर्मे न त्यात्यं कार्यमेव तत् । यज्ञो दान तपश्चेत्र पावनानि मनीविणाम् ॥ ७ ॥

- 4 In regard to that tyaga, O Arjuna, listen to My settled conviction. Tyaga, O Arjuna, is described to be threefold
- 5 Works of charity, penance and worship should not be given up, but must needs be performed. Worship, charity and penance purify the wise.

\$11 Krishna now proceeds to state His settled conclusion on the question of renunciation We are left in no doubt about His viens. He classifies tyāga also as sāttvika, rājasa and tāmasa, but before taking up that discussion He makes his position on the whole perfectly clear Worship, charity and discipline are the three cardinal factors of religious life as we have been taught Sri Krishna now declares that they should never be given up, but must needs be performed For they sanctify and purify even the wise. If they are performed in the manner in which they ought to be performed, that is, without attachment to the results and from a sense of duty, they lead to deliverance from bondage Nothing strengthens us so much as exercise If one wants to acquire the power of being selfless, one cannot get it by merely doing nothing In such a case, the twaga would be rasavarja There may be freedom from overt acts, but the relish will be lingering in the heart. In such a case, there can be no real renunciation which implies absolute selflessness in the heart Wise men provide themselves with ample scope for the exercise of selflessness by performing these kinds of work and become ultimately masters of themselves

We must understand the terms, ' $yaj\bar{n}$:', 'dana' and 'tabas', with a wide significance. They refer to all kinds of worship, charity and penance and not mrely to those that are formally undertaken as religious rites. They tend to purify the wise. But those who are not wise, even these cannot purify. For these may be $r\bar{a}_{j}asa$ or tamasa in which case they will only strengthen the bondage of karma. The wise will adopt only the satistical variety of worship, charity and penance, and these, it is needless to say again, will purify them. The three kinds of $tj\bar{a}ga$ mentioned in this verse may refer, as suggested here, to the classification made hereafter of $tj\bar{a}ga$ into $s\bar{a}titika$, $r\bar{a}_{j}asa$ and

Lec LXXIV] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

tāmasa varieties, or it may refer to the giving up of agency the giving up of fruit and the giving up of ownership

पतान्यपि तु कर्माणि सङ्गं त्यस्या फलानि च। कर्तव्यानीति मे पार्थ निश्चितं मतमुत्तमम् ॥ ६॥

6 It is My decided and excellent opinion that even those actions, O Arjuna, should be done, giving up attachment and abandoning the fruits thereof

Sri Kṛishṇa has already told us that there is difference of opinion in regard to the true meaning of renunciation. Some wise men, we learnt, hold that 'sannvasa' means 'sarva karma tyāga', giving up all work. Others however, think differently It is urged, that even in the life of sannyāsa, there ought to be room for the work of yajīna, dāna and tapas. But it is essential that these must be performed without attachment to either the work itself or to its fruits. There must be freedom from the feelings of ahankara and mamakara, the sense of agency and ownership, when these necessary actions are performed. It is noteworthy that Sri Kṛishṇa puts forward His views on this question with spe ial emphasis: This is His settled conviction. It is in His opinion the best view on the question, most suitable for all to follow.

नियतस्य तु सन्त्यासः क्रमीजो नोरायद्यते । मोहात्तस्य परित्यागस्ताममः परिकीर्तितः ॥ ७ ॥

7 The renunciation of work which ought to be done, is not proper. Its abandonment through delusion is declared to be tamas:

is his niyata karma. It is the inescapable duty of a warrior to fight in a war, whenever the cause of justice requires his services When such a demand is being made on the skill and heroism of a soldier if he feels a desire to adopt the life of niv[ilts, what does it mean? It can only mean something like this that the soldier is anxious to run away from his post of duty that he finds the performance of his duty either unpleasant or injurious to his self interest. It may be that the soldier gives up his duty under the delusion that he is accomplishing an act of magnificent renunciation Man is always an egolst in the presence of his conscience In whatever way we may disguise our weaknesses and whatever plausible untruths we may invent to lay a flattering unction to our souls, the divine law of karma will judge us unerringly As they say in Sanskrit "Akarane pratyavayah Failure to perform our duty burdens us with sin escape from it by deluding ourselves with wrong notions about our duty or by clothing our weaknesses and selfishness in the garb of saintliness If you understand the distinction between kamya karma and myata karma and that between sarva karma tyaga and sarva karma phala tyaga you will never confound pure renunciation with niyata karma tyaga If you delude yourself with the idea that giving up your primary and inevitable duties is true renunciation then your tyaga is tamasa

Other reasons for giving up one's duty are considered hereafter

दु स्निम्चिम यत्कर्म कायक्लेराभयास्यजेत् । म कृत्या राजस त्याग नैय त्यागफलं लभेत् ॥ ८॥

8 He who renounces action as being troublesome, out of fear of physical strain performs rajata tyaga (and) does not at all attain the fruit of renunciation

Suppose one feels that a certain duty which falls to one s lot is too difficult to be accomplished, that it involves considerable physical exertion of a failure in the discharge of the duty. That would be an example of afjassa tyage. Take the case of Arjuna, for instance Imagine that he is anxious to give up hir duty, because he is

True renunciation is not so much renunciation of action, as the renunciation of the fruits of action So long as we live, we cannot but be doing something or other It is open to us to choose some kinds of action in preference to others, and it is always possible to acquire a screne detachment of spirit which will enable us to perform our duties without attachment kind of tyaga does not permit us to give up our duties on our doing them but without attachment Sri Krishpa's recommendation to Arjuna may be deemed to be as follows "You are a warrior by birth and breeding It has fallen to your lot to fight now, and fight you must When you fight, do not think of the consequences Do not seek to decide the question whether or not you ought to fight by trying to see whether the end of the war will give you pain or pleasure Do your duty, feeling that it has to be done by you and that you cannot give it up Do not feel that in doing your duty you are achieving anything Do not think that you have any title to the fruits of your work Give up all attachment to the work that you do and to the fruits of your achievement, and allow yourself to be swayed only by a

lxxv

It is known to you all that our fastras give us two sets of apparently contradictory injunctions in regard to the manner in which we ought to conduct our lives. One set of these enjoins on us particular kinds of work in accordance with the particular conditions amidst which we find ourselves in society. We are asked to achieve salvation through works. This is the teaching relating to what is known as the pravritti narga. There are again injunctions which bid us renounce and betake ourselves to the life of sagnraya. This is the path of nivritis. Between the life of aggressive actions and achievement and the life of retirement, renunciation and ascetticism we are all apt to see some kind of contradiction. The need for some sort of reconciliation is felt by all faithful believers.

We may get over the difficulty by holding that the contra dictory injured one relate to different types of feotie. If ose who are fit for it nay adopt the nivertiti narga and the rest may

Lec LXXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

follow the path of practitie One and the same person is not asked to adopt both work and renunciation at the same time this view is adopted, immediately a difficulty arises, the difficulty felt by Ariuna here. Men often misjudge their fitness for following either of these paths Ariuna, who is a soldier born and bred and who is by birth and training fit for the active life of brantitte, wants to adopt the life of mendicant asceticism. If he is allowed to make a wrong choice now, he may be ruining himself and bringing a harvest of trouble to the society amidst which he lives For we are all parts of a big organism, and any malfunctioning in one place is likely to affect the welfare of the larger whole with which we are intimately related. You feel ill when any part of the body refuses to function properly. So, too, society. From the standpoint of social economy, it is unwise to allow unfit and unqualified persons to carry on the various necessary functions that have to be discharged. If efficient work is to be done, and if the machiners of social organisation is to run smoothly, there must be division of Labour according to fitness and qualification Apart from this question, there is the fair objection that when two injunctions equally obligatory are given. we are not entitled to hold that one applies to some and the other to others. We cannot say that some sections of the Penal Code alone apply to us We are not entitled to choose which sections of the criminal law of the land we shall obey and which it is convenient for us to ignore. All sections of the Code are applicable to all persons who place themselves under the authority of the sovereign who has promulested the Code It is foolish to imagine that we may break such sections of the Penal Code as are distasteful to us, in the belief that only those sections which we like are applicable to us. All are equal in the eye of the law, and all sections of the Code are equally binding on people in similar circumstances

command to follow the *pravfitis mārga is as good as the authority behind the command to follow the *nvvfitti-mārga It is thus essential to find out another way in which these apparently contradictory injunctions can be reconciled in the life of every one who is anxious to obey the beheat of the *dāstras* How this can be done is the great question which Sri Krishpa here undertakes to solve, the answer that He gives has been placed many times before you in different contexts

The argument behind Arjuna's question at the beginning of this chapter may be summed up thus "I understand the force of your argument that I must get up and do my duty because action is so very necessary But where then is the scope for the operation of those injunctions of the \$f\$\frac{3}{3}\$stras which enjoin does not mean returning from the battle field and living a life of mendicant asceticism? Now \$r_1\$ Krithna does not reply that defines 'sanny\(\text{dis}\) and 'y\(\text{dis}\) are means for a particular set of people. He \((\text{carva}\) karma \(\text{plain}\) and '\(\text{vid}\) as '\(\text{sinva}\) karma \(\text{plain}\) and '\(\text{vid}\) as '\(\text{sinva}\) karma \(\text{plain}\) and '\(\text{vid}\) and '\(\text{vid}\) so '\(\text{sinva}\) karma \(\text{plain}\) and '\(\text{vid}\) and points out that the two sets of injunctions in the \(\text{dis}\) transparation are not contradictory or irreconcilable

Work can be divided into two classes optional and obligatory The latter must be done. It cannot be abandoned both in the interests of the individual concerned and in those of the society of which he is a member So, by 'sannyasa', we have to understand the giving up not of all kinds of work, but only of those which are optional We are all swayed by desires of various kinds, and most if not all, of the work that we do in life is impelled by these Some of them are salaqu kānas, the instinctive urges to satisf, some of the primal needs of life. There are also sankalpa-prabhata kamas desires wilfully indulged in The desire for food arises out of physiological necessity and is common to all mankind but the desire for some special kind of food, or for a luxury of some kind, such as a costly scent or a gaudy dress, arises out of our own will The former is a sahaje. kāma, the latter is a sankalpa-prabhava kāma Now we ste often asked to be simple in the life that we lead What does this mean? It implies a check upon the tendency of our will to multiply wants. We are asked to lead a life in which all our

Lec LXXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

natural wants are naturally satisfied. Let us not create any wants that are not required by the necessities of life. Curbing these sankalpa-prabhava kamas leads to the giving up of kamija karmas. The injunction to practise sannyasa relates to these desires and the work undertaken to satisfy them.

Consider now work which is obligatory and essential. It is not possible, nor desirable to give up work of this kind. The nivata karma should never be abandoned. The question then Does not the performance of this kind of work lead to karma bandha? Every thought that we think, every word that we speak, every act that we do, leave their own impress behind Each of them creates a samskara, and when life in a particular embodiment ceases, the accumulated samskara gives rise to another life and influences it as sanmantara vasana It may. therefore, be argued that even the performance of the my ata karma will help towards the bondage of the soul in samsara, and that, for the good of the soul, all action should be given up Sri Krishna, however, undertakes to show that the performance of the minata karma need not clash with the schievement of the summum bonum for the soul If the work which is obligators is performed without the feeling that one is the agent and that one is entitled to the fruits of one s labour, then no vasana can be created either in the form of ours a or of oana, and the soul will not be building up a force that will chain it to the toils of semsara

To sum up Sri Krishqa's teaching on this important question. The work that we do in life may be classified as optional and obligatory. Work which is optional, which is undertaken for the satisfaction of the sankalpa prabhava kāmas must be abundomed. But whatever work is obligatory must be performed in a spirit of dispassionate detachment, without expectation of reward and under a sense of duty. In performing the obligatory work enjoined in the fastras you are living a life of action and achievement and following the practitit mārgs. By giving up kāmaya kārma or work which is optional you are obeying the scriptural commandments in regard to the practice of sannyāsa. In giving up attachment to the results of the work that you do, you are observing the injunction relating to thēgs.

renunciation, praviitis and nivritts, are all reconciled thus in the life of one who follows the teachings of Sri Krishna

After stating His settled view on this vexed question, Srl Krishpa proceeds to enlighten Arjuna on the characteristics of true renunciation. He points out first that renunciation for the wrong reason, out of laziness or fear of difficulties, is not renunciation at all. Then He passes on to a consideration of safturka type, and you will remember that it is there that we stopped last week. The best kind of type we then saw, knows no fear and no reluctance, it does not arise out of fear or type as to be observed. In the stanza with which we have to begin our work today, further characteristics of one who practises sattvika tyaga are given.

न हेप्टयङ्शलं कर्म उशले नानुपज्ञते । त्यामी सरगसमाविष्टो मेघाची छित्रमंशय ॥ १०॥

10. One who practises traga, being wise, imbued action which is unpleasant, nor feels attachment to any action which is pleasant

Let us consider once again the definition of salti-ika 13 again the practised when the duties that fall to one s lot to dis harge are carried out because their performance is realised to be incumbent on one and without the least taint of ahankara or manakara. Such a 19again must be free from 1 ness and nume uses Sañgar must of course precede phala 13 aga. Without the former, wanting Therefore the renunciation of sanga and phala by one who performs his duties in life out of a sense of duty and without tenunciation is saffa and phala by one who performs his duties in life out of a sense of duty and without renunciation is saffativika

Consider one who is practising such $t_1 \delta d_{eq}$ It will be evident at once that such a person must be possessed of wisdom. The wise, of course, are tranquil. Their wisdom sets their doubts at rest. Every one is faced with the question. How am I to

Lec. LXXY] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

live? The manner in which any one solves this question depends on the wisdom and discernment with which one is endowed. Wisdom, indeed, may show us a clear way out of all doubts and difficulties. The possession of wisdom is itself a sure indication of the possession of goodness, of a dominant satistick disposition. It also follows as a matter of course that in trying to discharge bounden duties a wise tyagin will not allow considerations of personal convenience or preferences to have any weight. He will not hate work which is akusata, nor become specially attached to work which is histale.

Let us try to understand the significance of these two terms, 'kusala' and 'akusala' We have often been told that we must perform whatever happens to be our duty in life. We must not try to judge our duty as high or low, good or bad, desirable or undestrable It has fallen to Ariuna's lot to fight in a war for the vindication of justice, and fight he must, though the war is to be waged against his own kinsmen and friends, teachers and preceptors The episode of Dharma vyadha in the Mahabharata illustrates this point beautifully. Dharma vyadha was a butcher by trade, yet he was recognised as one of the greatest teachers in his days, as a seer and a prophet This was because, as I sought to explain to you onse before, he discharged the duties that fell to him in the spirit in which they quight to be discharged. He did not hate the life which he had to lead, nor did he feel any special attachment towards it He realised that, owing to various considerations such as heritage, environment, opportunities in life and so on, he had to get a living by selling meat, and he pursued his avocation in the spirit of the sattvika. To many of us his life may seem to be full of cruelty and sin. But we have to realise that, if the butcher carries on his trade under the belief that it is his appointed function in life and that he is dolar his duty in pursuing his vocation, we cannot convict him of any sin

For the maintenance of so tall organisation, it is essential that all its varied and manifold functions must be preformed by all sorts and conditions of men, endowed with various kinds of apitudes and qualifications. All of us cannot occupy the same position in life, nor carry on the same kind of work. But all of us have to discharge duties in life. It is necessary that these duties.

have to be discharged in the right spirit Carrying out the various functions in life is not an end in itself We must not delude ourselves into the belief that some kinds of work in life are desirable and that others are not so, that these desirable kinds of work must be performed with special zest and that work which is undesirable may be carried out grudgingly Fvery kind of work is good, worthy and honourable so long as it becomes obligatory We must discharge our appointed duty in life, the niyata karma which has fallen to our lot whether it is agreeable or disagreeable held to be honourable or dishonourable, high or low We are bound to perform it without attachment to the results and without considering whether it is kusala or akusala of the scavenger in the streets is as worthy and honourable in relation to him as that of the sovereign who exercises authority from the throne, similarly considered The duty of the one is no whit inferior to that of the other It is because Dharma vyadha taught this truth by the life that he lived that the syadha gila has become almost as famous as the Bhagar adgita itself We must learn not to cast longing glances at the duties of others duties which we are incapable of discharging We must feel that there is no ignominy attaching to the performance of one's duty in life whatever it may be Act well your part there all honour lies

न दि देहभूता शस्य त्यक्तु कर्माण्यशेषत । यस्तु रमीकत्रयामी सत्यामीयिनिबीयते ॥ १०॥

11 Indeed, it is not possible for an embodied being to give up actions completely. But he who has renounced the fruits of action is said to have renounced

This is a fact which Sri Kṛishpa has been frequently bringing to our notice. You may remember the statement made in III 8 without work the maintenance of the body would be impossible without work. Sanny 3gā and 19gag should not be interpreted to passivity is impossible in the very nature of things and we must not expect any \$fastra worthy of our reverence and obedience to give auch advice as it would not be possible to carry out in practice. If any one interprets any injunction of the \$fastra\$ to mean something which is impossible of accomplishment, then we

Lee LXXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

are faced with the alternatives of either discarding the authority of the fastra, or what is more desirable, rejecting such an interpretation in favour of a more reasonable one. Now, there are some fastraic injunctions enjoining on us the performance of karma, and there are also other such injunctions re-ommending renunciation. If typaga and sannyosa are taken to mean the giving up of work, then they are incompatible with the life of pravritis. Sri Krishpa, however, has laid down that they must not be understood in this manner, for this reason, if for nothing else, that it is impossible to live without work. In the life of all embodied beings, there is a physiological as well as a psychological necessity for work. We cannot help doing some kind of work or other. Nevertheless, the injunction to practise sannyasa and 13ag1 stands, and we have to reconcile 15aga and pravritit by understanding the former to mean sirva karmith that 15aga

Sankarāchārya however thinks that the term "deha bhrit", ters only to one who confounds the body with the soul for the wise seet who is not a deha bhrit in this sence, absolute renunciation of work is possible. The unenlightened who include in the performance of action, can be called t_2a_{gins} only by courtesy and it is in this honorific sense that ' $karma\ phalationaga$ ' is taken to mean ' t_2a_{gi} ' is taken to mean ' t_2a_{gi} ' is taken to mean ' t_2a_{gi} '.

अनिष्टमिष्टं मिश्रंच त्रिव्धिकर्मण फल्म्। भवायत्यामिना बेस्य न तुसन्त्यासिना कवित्॥ १२॥

12 In regard to those who have not renounced (the fruits of their work), the result, after death, of their action is threefold—undesirable, desirable, and mixed, but never is there (any fruit for the actions) of those who have renounced

Those who have not renounced the fruits of their actions naturally reap the fruits of their actions. It may be that the results of their karmas are pleasant and desirable or are unpleasant and undesirable or are partly pleasant and partly unpleasant. The thata which is 15kta, will bring us in the course of our next incarnation opportunities for advancement and happiness. The thata which is anishta, will ensure for us misery and suffering in

our next birth The phala which is midra or mixed, will be partly pleasant and partly unpleasant If we please, we may look on the desirable fruit as the attainment of Svarga, the undesirable fruit as a fall into naraka or into the wombs of animals, and the fruit which is mixed as a continuance more or less in the human embodiment The point for us to note is that these several kinds of fruits accrue only to the actions of those who have not renounced the fruits of their actions, and whether pleasant or unpleasant, they constitute fetters for the soul and impede its progress to final emancipation In the case of those, however, who perform their work in life under a sense of duty and without attachment to the results of their work, there is no bondage of karma created What creates bondage for the soul is not work but the disposition with which work is performed performance of nijata karma by the tyagin under a sense of duty cannot give rise to karma bandha or help the soul to continue in the bondage of samsara

Please observe that the term, 'sannyāsın', is used in this floka in its ordinary sense of one who has renounced, it is used in contrast with the word, ' $a_1y_0^2g_1n$ ', one who has not renounced explained in stanta 2, is not meant here has I told you before, though Sri Kṛishpa finds it useful to make a distinction between they has taken up in regard to the real meaning of renunciation He does not feel any necessity to maintain that distinction throughout, on account of their kinship in meaning

पञ्चेतानि महाराष्ट्रो रारणानि निरोध मे । साक्ष्ये धतान्ते श्रोकानि सिख्ये सर्वरर्गणम् ॥ १३ ॥

13 Learn from Me O Arjuna, the five causes that are considered in reasoned speculation to produce the result of every act

Now Sri Krishna proceeds to adopt another course of reasoning to indicate to us the necessity for giving up the fruits of all work. The conclusion that He reaches and the reasoning that He adopts will not be entirely unfamiliar to you, they are

re stated here, as the subject matter of discussion requires them. It is a natural and legitimate question to sale. Why should one give up attachment to the results of one's work, what is the reason behind the recommendation to renounce the sense of agency and the claim of ownership? To say that salvation can be attained only through renunciation is a fair and sufficient answer as far as it goes but it provokes the further question. Why should salvation be withheld unreasonably from those who claim naturally the fruits of their own labour? There must be a convincing reason to prove to our satisfaction some kind of logical flaw in the statement that the labourer is worthy of his hire, which seems quite reasonable on the face of it. Srt Krishaa now proceeds to point out the weakness in such an argument

Let us take any action whatever

Consider, for example, the
is a close relation between the table and the labour of the
carpenter, and between the labour of the carpenter and the
carpenter, man between the labour of the carpenter and the
carpenter himself But for the labour of the carpenter, the table
would not have been in existence, and but for the carpenter, no
labour would have been put forth

We may now argue that the
table is worth, as he has been responsible for making the table
is worth, as he has been responsible for making the table it
may seem on a superficial examination that there is no flaw in this
argument. But \$c1 Kyishpa says that this course of reasoning is
based on insufficient data. The labour of the carpenter is only
one among the many factors that brought the table into existence.
The established conclusions of speculative reasoning, He declares,
recognise five elements as the causes that combine to produce the
result of any work. What these five elements are, we will learn
from the next verse.

Please note that I have interpreted the term 'suikhya', occurring in this starra in the sense of theory, of speculative reasoning. This meaning of course, is quite familiar to you, as the term is used in this sense more than once in the course of the Gita Orthodox commentators understand by 'sānkhya' here the Vedānta, as the system of Sānkhya, being atheistic, is not fully accepted by the Vedāntins. The translation adopted above, while it gets over the difficulty of tracing the authority for

Sti Krishna's teaching on this important question to a non-Vedantic and atheistic source, is fully in consonance with the etymological sense of the term

अधिष्ठानं नथा कर्तां करणं च पृथिष्यधम् । विविधाश्च पृथम्थेप्टा देवं चैवात्र पश्चमम् ॥ १४ ॥

- 14. The seat of action and likewise the agent, the various kinds of instruments and the different distinctive activities with Providence as the fifth (constitute the five causes enumerated above).
- " Adhishthana" may be roughly understood as the material on which work is performed Reverting to the example of the carpenter, the wood on which he works is the adhishthana. Another view is that the body of the agent is the adhishthana. The word means literally 'basis' or 'seat', We may look upon either the material on which work is performed or the body of the agent as the seat or the substratum of action. It appears to me, however, that since the word, 'karana', can be understood to mean the body, which is the instrument of the soul, it would be tautological to interpret 'adhishthana' also in the same sense. But those who take the view that 'adhishthana' refers to the body understand by 'karana' the various organs in the body. "Kartt;" is the agent, in the example given above, it would stand for the soul of the carpenter. It is a difficult and controversial question whether and to what extent the soul may be considered as an agent. One school of thought is of opinion that all ethical mandates and prohibitions imply the agency of the soul; otherwise it is difficult to understand why the soul should suffer from the bondage of karma. It is frequently said that all our actions are impelled and executed by the gunas of prakriti, that is quite true. But the capacity for potential work, for being the substratum of action, resides in the soul, and determines its mescapable moral responsibility. The attribution of ag nev to the gunas of prakrits merely means that, in the world of samsara, the necessity for work arises solely on account of the association of the soul with prakriti (tide Sribhashya, ii. 3 33 et sea) The Advastins, however, hold that the soul, which is identical with the Supreme Brahman, 15 nish krisa, accordingly Sankaracharya

the necessity for recognising something over and above these four factors as being essential for the successful completion of any work Truly, there is many a slip betwirt the cup and the lip The governing factor may be called chance, if you like, but it is better to regard it as Providential influence. It is taken for granted by all our commentators that the fifth element for the successful performance of any action, which is designated in this stanza by the term, 'dasva', should not be understood in the sense of blind destiny or fate. To one who believes in God and recognises His guiding influence everywhere, chance or fate can mean only the guidance and control and wisdom of Providence We will do well, therefore, to interpret the term 'daiva' as Providential guidance and control This is the fifth requisite for the production of results from any action whatever Let us guard ourselves against inferring from this that the obligation resting on us for the performance of our duties is in any way diminished by the recognition of the all pervasive guidance of God Nor should we be tempted into making mathematical interpretations. suggesting that the influence of Providence is inconsiderable being only one-fifth The underlying idea is that all the five factors have to co operate before any fruit issues from any action Providence is not solely responsible for the success of our work, and we are by no means justified in thrusting all responsibility on God and allowing our duties to remain undone

Five factors then are responsible for producing results from any action whatever admishibana kartri, karaga, cheshifd and daiva. Note that the soul is only one among the five factors. How can the soul claim the title to the fruits of any action? Suppose five people commit a robbery. The illegibetine wealth will have to be shared by all the five. Imagine that one of the robbers is a bill, and wants all the spouls for himself. Will not the other four protest? They will say. We have also robbed along with you. Give us our shares. Not far dissimilar is the position of the soul which wants to indulge in ahankāra and manna kāra. In the light of what has been taught here, it is elementary common seme to see that the kartri. Is only one among the many contributory causes giving rise to the results of any action. The kartri is, therefore, not justified in claiming the fruits of his action. He must give due credit to the other cardinal factors in the

Lee. LXXY HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

situation And when he further remembers that even the little responsibility he had in the matter had been thrust upon him by his forced association with prakris, the basis of all egoism and pride is undermined

Sri Krishna further emphasises His teaching on this question in the next stanza

शरीरवाङ्मनोभिर्यत्कर्म प्रारमते नरः। न्याय्यं वा विपरीतं वा पश्चेते तस्य हेनवः॥ १५॥

15 Whatever action a man performs with body, speech or mind, whether it is right or wrong, these five are its causes.

It may be asked whether Providence co operates with the sinner in his nefarious activities. That is a difficult question to answer. According to the teaching given here, every action depends on five factors for its fruition and successful accomplishment. One of these five factors is undoubtedly the influence of Providence. And it is here clearly laid down that this influence is not to be excluded from any action on moral grounds. The question why God, being all-merciful and all powerful, allows the sinner to sin, is not an easy one to answer. If speculation may be allowed on such high mysteries, it may be suggested that the gift of free will cost us that much. And it may be hoped that there is a plan and purpose behind the process of the universe, which will sim at the ultimate abolition of all sin and evil. In some such manner, we must reconcile ourselves to the existence of sin and injustice in the world.

तंत्रेयं सति कर्तारमात्मानं केवल तु य । पद्यस्यकृत्यद्विस्याश्च स पदयति दुर्गतिः ॥ १६ ॥

16 That being so, the man of perverse mind who looks upon himself as the sole agent on account of his undisciplined mind—he does not see at all.

Such being the case, the man who regards himself as the sole agent of any work can only be perverse. His understanding is

undisciplined. When the five causes enumerated above are responsible for the production of the results from any action, how can any one arrogate all responsibility and claim all credit to oneself? Even from the standpoint of the argument that the labourer is worthy of his hire, the feeling of ahankara cannot be justified. Five labourers, so to speak, play their part in this affair, and every one of them must be worthy of his hire And specially how much the influence of dawa counts in all matters, we will be told later on It is responsible in a far greater measure for the results of any action than all the other four causes put together What has to be realised by us is the fact that the kartfi ill deserves the fruits of any action. For in real truth he does little, the results are brought about mostly by the influence of daiva The kartfi who claims the fruits of his actions, is like that greedy robber who stretched out longing hands towards the entire proceeds of a co operative dacoity. And one may add that the robber did not play an important part in the commission of the robbery even, he merely played the part of a sleeping sentinel, asked to keep watch from under the shade of a tree. So little is the achievement of the soul in bringing to fruition any work. Attachment to the results of one's work is, therefore, without the least justification, whatever the standpoint we may adopt

It may be noted that this conclusion is valid whether we consider the soul to be real or unreal. In the former case the soul is only one of five agents, and a very ineffectual agent at that. If the individual soul is a mere reflection of God and thus illusory, the sense of agency must also be illusory. You may observe that, according to this interpretation the term. Atman in the stanza will stand for the illusory finite self. In either case, one who thinks that one is entitled to the fruits of one's actions mistakes the position. Such a person claims what is not his to be his Please allow me to conclude here our work today.

lxxvi

Last week 300 may remember, we were dealing with the five causes for the successful accomplishment of any action. The soul, we have been repeatedly told, should not arrogate to itself the results of any action, which it is believed to perform. The reason

Lec. LXXVI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

for this becomes clear, when we understand that the frints of any action are brought into existence by no less than five factors. The soul is certainly one of these, but in addition to it there has to be some material on which the work is to be done, the body through whose instrumentality the work is carried out, and the various functional activities that go to constitute any work. Over and above these, there remains the guiding influence of Providence. Even the limited responsibility that is conceded to the soul by virtue of its being one of these five factors has to be judged in the light of the fact that, in the world of samsara, the necessity for action on the part of the soul arises out of the pressure of its material contacts. It is, therefore, perverse to regard the soul as the sole agent of all activities and justify selfishness on that account. True wisdom must perceive the futility and folly of the feelings of a ness and mine ness even from the standpoint of the principle that every labourer is worthy of his hire. The outlook of the truly wise is enunciated once again by Sri Krishna in the stanza with which we have to begin our work to day.

यस्य नाहकृतो भावो बुद्धिर्यस्य न लिप्यते । हत्वाऽपि स इमॉल्लोकान्न हन्ति न निवध्यते ॥ १७ ॥

17. He who is free from egoism and whose mind is not tainted (by attachment)—even though he kills these men, he kills not.

In contrast to the man of perverse mind who deludes himself into thinking that he is the agent of all the actions that he performs, the man of unsullied understanding renounces all sense of agency and thereby attains freedom. Whatever action he may do under a sense of duty cannot bind him by the bonds of karma. For, as we have learnt long ago, what binds is not action but the feeling of attachment that accompanies the action. One who acts only under a sense of duty and without attachment to the results of his work is relieved, we may say, from the responsibilities of an agent. His soul has nothing to do with the results of his work.

Now, let us consider Arjuna's position for a moment in the light of these facts. He is faced by the unpleasant task of killing his friends and kinsmen in war. He fears that fighting in the war.

will foul him with sin. "Alas, alas," he protested to Sri Kṛishṇa, "wa have begun to commit a great sin, since, out of covetous dealte to enjoy the kingdom and its pleasures, we have undertaken to kill our own kindred" (I 45) Sri Kṛishṇa thereupon proceeds to show that attitude to be mistaken mainly on the ground that no sin can arise from the dispassionate performance of one's duty Failure to do one's duty out of aversion te its fruits is certain to impose on the soul fresh fetters of karma For flying from the post of duty is sin, akarane pratyavāyāh And here in this floka, Sri Kṛishṇa points out specifically to Arjuna, in accents reminiscent of His teaching in the second chapter, that his soul will not be sullied, even if he kills out of a sense of duty those who oppose him in battle

Though he kills, he kills not For in the first place what is rand a essential in both the slayer and the slain is the immortal soul, which can neither kill nor be killed. And secondly, one who kills under a sense of duty cannot claim any sense of agency, and is, therefore, not the agent of the act of killing. Actions like these cannot bind the soul and impose on it the bonds of karma. It is well to remind you once again that Sri Krishna's arguments for inducing Arjuna to fight in the war do not rest entirely on the fact of the immortality of the soul. It is also an essential part of His teaching that duty done as duty without selfish motive of a kind cannot give rise to sin. The soldier who does his duy unselfishly, does not become stained with sin.

It has been shown that the immortality of the soul and its essential difference from matter lead us logically to the conclusion that the performance of duty is obligatory and when that duty happens to be—as it som times has to be—the infliction of death the established immortality of the soul dulls the keen edge of the terror of death and makes the soldier realise that, in dealing out death he is not destroying the real des my of him on whom death is inflicted. Like the perfect teacher that He is, Six Krishpa takes every opportunity of showing to Arjuna the practical consequences of His teaching, when applied to the situation that is confronting Arjuna. The general con lusions that are deduced from the ultimate postulates of metaphysics are elucidated in their specific bearing on the problem of Arjuna. It is shown to him that it is

Lee LXXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

his imperative duty to fight in the war and that the performance of one's duty in the right spirit can never lead one to bondage

Now, we all know that it is essential for all of us to place ourselves more and more under the sway of sativa guna, if we are to realise that the soul is not the agent of the work that is carried out by the embodiments in which it dwells from time to time And for this purpose it is desirable to know what kind of work is sativika, what is rajasa and what is same. With this object in view, St Krishara proceeds to analyse, in the first instance, the impulse to action and the essential constituents of action

क्षान नेय परिज्ञाता त्रिविधा कर्मचोदना । करण कर्म कर्तेति त्रिविध कर्मसङ्ग्रह ॥ १८ ॥

18 Knowledge, the object of knowledge and the knowing subject, these form the threefold incitement to action, and the instrument, the action and the agent form the three constituents of action

The analysis of action that is made in this stanta can be easily followed, if we try to trace the history of any action from its very commencement Thought, as we all know, is action in embryo And even here certain stages may be easily distinguished. First of all, there is a feeling or a notion that a thing has to be done. This may arise from a sense of duty or out of a desire for the fulfilment of certain ends in view. In regard to redic commands, it has been argued that action starts with a vague notion of an intent to obey as soon as the scriptural imperative is heard. However, for our purpose we need not confine ourselves to religious activities only Take for instance, such an action as the making of a table by a carpenter There is in the first place a purpose behind the action. which initiates the will It is here described as theya that which is to be known-known that is in terms of action. In this case it is the idea of a table without this there can be no making of a table. This is the immediate purpose of the action, though the action may have been undertaken with the object of earning money by selling the table This is, so to speak, the first stage of the action Secondly, there has to be knowledge of the actual process of action As soon as there is a prompting of the will, there is an

attempt to translate the impulse into action. This can be immediately done, if the actual procedure is known. In our example, it is the graft of the carpenter. If this is not known, then there will be an attempt to learn it. Lastly there must be a realisation by the agent that he is actuated by the purpose of the action and that he knows how to get it done. In other words the agent has to realise his capicity for the action. There can be no poetry, if all poets are content to remain mute inglorious Miltons. It is only under these circumstances that any action can be begun, these are the essential factors of the psychological preparation that leads to action. This analysis of the impulse to action is probably undertaken by Sri Krishpa with a view to stress the importance of motive in bringing about an action.

After the knowing stage, the doing stage For an action to take place actually, all the five factors mentioned before have to co operate The knower has to become the doer or cause some one else to become the doer And for this he must have ready at hand the instruments through which and the material on which action is performed and he must bring into play the various locomotor activities that the particular course of action needs Furthermore the influence of Providence must favour the fulfilment of the action Let us now proceed to analyse an action which is an accomplished fact. Grant at the outset that the influence of Providence is directed to the fulfilment of the action And to make the position clear, consider once again the case of the carpenter and the table The action of making the table involves the agent and the instruments he wields, the wood of which the table is made, and the actual making of the table itself Every action presupposes apart from the action itself an agent and the material on which the action is wrought. You will note that even when the analysis of action is confined to action itself and fails to take note of such extraneous considerations as Providential influence the responsibility of the agent is shared by other factors

It may be observed in passing that the author tative commentators d and agree in their interpretations of this $f_0 k a i$. To Sańkaratchārya, the whole concept of action is unreal. The agent is the Al solute under the spell of $m d_3 d$. Apart from this, it is

also to be noted he interprets the word 'karma' as the object of the action and thus makes it correspond more or less with the term 'inevam' in the first line Ramanujacharya confines the significance of the term, 'karma', in the expressions, 'karma-chodana' and 'karma sangraha', to religious activities alon-Action is real in his view. He takes a sacrifice, such as the motishtoma, as typical of religious activity in general. In relation to it, the karana comprises all the materials needed for the performance of the sacrifice, the karma is the sacrifice itself, and the kartre is the performer Madhyacharya in his comments on this verse stresses the point that the individual soul is not an independent agent, but is under the control and guidance of God Nevertheless, the mandates and prohibitions of morality apply to the soul. He adds that Sri Kr st na here warns us against the plausible inference from the previous stanza that the soul cannot act and, therefore, cannot enter into any relations with the moral law

After giving us in this manner an analysis of the impulse to action itself, Sri Krishqa proceeds to take important factors from this malviss and subjects them to classification under the categories of sattiska rayassa and tamasa

ज्ञानं कर्म च कर्ना च त्रिधैय गुणमेदन । ब्रोस्यते गुणसङ्ख्याने यथावच्छ्रणु तान्यपि १९॥

19 Knowledge, action and agent—in the context which enumerates the ginas, each of these is said to be of three different kinds according to the differences of the ginas. Hear about them as they are

Sri $K_{\Gamma I}$ has now quite definitely declares that He proposes to deal at length with only three of the six factors noted in the previous stan a Only $j\vec{n}$ and k arma and k arter set to be taken up for consideration. This economy of plan necessitates however, that the terms should be understood with an extended significance that is implied in in the terms $\frac{1}{j\vec{n}}a_n$, for instan e, is not mere knowledge. It stands for much that is implied in the terms $\frac{1}{j\vec{n}}a_n^2$, $\frac{1}{j\vec{n}}a_n^2$ and $\frac{1}{j}a_n^2\vec{n}_{j}j_{j}^2\vec{n}_{j}^2$, mentioned in verse 18. The immediate purpose of the action, as on the subsignal in the mind of the $\frac{1}{n}e_n$ before he sets out to act, the

knowledge of the actual procedure of the action, and a realisation by the agent that he is quite capable of carrying out the work to be done—so much may well be implied by the term. In other words, it stands for our whole philosophy of conduct. That, in adopting this view, we are not freely drawing from our fancy will be shown quite clearly by the next three verses. In like manner, by the term, 'karma', we must understand the action itself along with the instruments through which and the materials on which it is carried out. The term, 'kartri', of course is easily understood.

It may be noted that the classification hereafter discussed has for its object the teaching that we should all observe the rule of equality and do our duties without attachment of any kind. The same lesson is emphasised first from the standpoint of the motive, then of the action and, lastly, from the point of view of the agent. For the purpose of this teaching, of course, action and motive and agent have to be considered together, and the consideration of any one involves the other two; but there are delicate variations in emphasis according to the standpoint that we adopt. This will become plain as we study the stancas in question. It may also be moted here that the term, "guna-sankhyāna", occurring in the first line of this linka, has also been understood to refer to the science which considers the nature of the gunas, namely, the system of Sankhya founded by Kapila

सर्वमृतेषु येनेकं मावमध्ययमीक्षते । अविमक्तं विमक्तेषु तज्ज्ञानं विद्धि सान्धिकम् २०॥

- 20 Know that knowledge as sattrika, which sees the same unchangeable entity in all things, undivided among things (apparently) divided.
- It is believed by all the authoritative teachers who have commented on the Gita, that this verse deals with the fundamental philosophic outlook which determines our activities generally. The term, 'jūana', is understood in a broad sense. Opinion, however, is divided as to the true import conveyed by this flokaesh school of philosophy seeking support for its own conclusions here. The entity alluded to here is understood by the Advaitins

पृथक्त्येन तु यरक्षानं नानाभावानपृथग्विधान् । वेत्ति सर्वेषु भृतेषु तज्ज्ञानं विद्धि राजसम् ॥ २१ ॥

21 But the knowledge which knows by differentiation the various entities in all beings as of different kinds—know that knowledge to be ra jasa

The life of the large majority of men is not led in obedience to the rule of equality We are apt to make all kinds of distinctions—especially such distinctions as lead us to selfishness We make a primary and fundamental distinction between ourselves and the rest of the universe Our whole life is coloured by this obsession, and in its wake come numerous p-tty distinctions Few of us have the clear vision of the seer, who regards alike the dog and the elephant, the sinner and the saint—who sees in them all the root reality of the soul The feelings of a ness and mine ness make us believe that we are different from one another and that the good is synonymous with whatever may be to our liking or in our interests. Differentiation is the basis of egoism Neither from the standpoint of the essential characteristics of the soul, nor from the standpoint of the essential characteristics of the embodiment can any one being be really distinguished from any other It goes without saying that those who believe that there is only one soul and that the appearance of the universe as differentiated is illusory cannot accept the view of the common individual, to whose untrained vision all the beings appear varying and different

When our I fe is dom nated by a s-nse of the acute differences among things generally and the difference between our own selves and the rest of the world primitally we are apit to lead a life of aggressive selfishness. Most of the evil in the world is to be traced to this warp-d outlook. We become greedy for the good things of the world and in our a ramble for getting them we try to butt and haim others though we must end mostly in hutting ourselves. The distorted vision that sees only inequality in all thines cannot lead us to self realisation or Go I realisation. It strengthens the chains of *Aarma keeps us bound to desire and makes us ever dis ontented. Su haphilosophy of action is *rejasa* It may be

Lec LXXVI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

noted here that Sankaracharya considers that this verse condemns all systems of philosophy which are based on distinctions between the self and the Lord. This view however does not naturally commend itself to the exponents of those schools of the Vedanta which are not monistic.

यत्तु इत्स्वयदेकस्मिन् कार्ये सक्तमहेतुकम् । अतत्त्वार्थवदश्यं च तत्तामसमुदाहतम् ॥ २२ ॥

22 That knowledge is declared to be tamasa, which is attached unreasonably to one action as if it were all, which relates to unreal things and which is poor (in results)

Delusion may sink us in greater depths. We may become so narrow in outlook as to become obsessed with the petty interests of life to the exclusion of everything else. We may lose our sense of proportion and perspective, and become perversely heedless of the true scale of values. Some insignificant and inevitably selfish purpose may have us in its hold, and it may seem that its accomplishment is everything to us The result may be poor, the object sought for unreal or illusory Nevertheless, with a zeal worthy of a better purpose and without indeed, any idea of the existence of a better purpose, we may occupy ourselves with mean and unworthy objects and frustrate our lives. Such deliging obsessions make our philosophy of action tamasa. The light of truth is then wholly hidden from us, and our possition is even lower down the scale than that of those who are merely rainen For it is more difficult to rise from this mire of ignorance to the bracing mountain heights of sattvika inans than from the level of rarasa selfishness

It has been suggested that there is here a reference to the worship of spirits and ghosts. Those who offer this worship feel that the Powers before whom they bow down in bourage are all in all even though the basis of their faith is superstition more or less. Such worship of course, will be poor in results. The truth of things requires that worship should be offered to the one immanent and transcendent God. In this sense, such worship may be said to relate to unreal things. It may also be noted here that Sankarāchārja looks upon this verse too as condemning by implication all dualistic systems of thought.

Sri Krishna now proceeds to discuss the varieties of karma

नियतं सङ्गरहितमरागडेपतः कृतम् । अफलप्रेप्सना कर्म यत्तत्मारियकमञ्यते ॥ २३ ॥

23 That action is called satistics, which is obligatory, which is devoid of attachment, and which is carried out without desire or aversion by one who does not long for its fruits

Once again we are taught that we have to do our duties without attachment to thrir fruits and without caring for the comfort or discomfort that may meet us during their preformance Please observe that Sri Krishipa considers only those actions softerisk which are obligatory Actions or her than duties, actions which we do for the satisfaction of our desires—these are relegated to a lower position. Some of you may wonder what nice shade of meaning distinguishes 'sanga' from 'rāga dresha'. It has been suggested that while 'sanga' may be understood in the sense of attachment, the expression, 'raga dresha', may be taken to stand for desire for fame and aversion to ill fame

In telling us all this, of course, Sri Kṛishna is trying to teach the same lesson in regard to duty from various standpoints—from the point of view of the motive with which the action is done of the action itself and of the agent. We are here shown the best type of action considered in itself. The lesson taught, of course, is nothing new. You must bear in mind that, in this last chipter of the Gita Sri Kṛishṇa is rounding up. His teachines, and very naturally, there are allusions to and reminiscences of the teachings begun in Chapter II and concluded here.

यत्तु भामेष्मुना कम साहङ्कारेण प्रणापुन । भियते पहुरुष्याम तद्राजसमुदाहरुम् ॥ २४ ॥

24 That action, however, is called rajasa, which is done by one who has pride and attachment, and which is performed with a great deal of effort

Those who live selfishly perform raigsa actions. They are attached to the fruits of their work, they are impelled by pride and eroism They labour ceaselessly for their own selfish advancement but being the slaves of desire, they can never integrate their lives and enjoy peace and contentment. They will have to work restlessly, distractedly, toiling and travailing, and frequently the objects of their quest elude them. For those who are restless after this fashion, there can never come that effortless grace of manner which springs from the clear vision and ordered purpose of the sāttvika life. There is beauty in the speciacle of power held in reserve and of difficult things being done effortlessly. But those whose will cannot impose a direction to their lives, and who identify themselves with fluctuating desires, cannot acquire that secentry of spirit and sense of detach near which make for easy and attractive performance of work. And even after all this labour, what is it that they gain? Hardly a moment's satisfaction

We must not judge the value of the result by the amount of labour expended. You may recall the reflections of the English essayist, when he watch-d the feats of Indian jugglers. He confessed himself quite incapable of doing the least official of their feats but wondered at the same time whether they had any lasting value. Rajasa actions, performed with so much zeit and effort, stand in the same category. They can never win the supreme blessing of peace or freedom for the soul. Endless worry gnaws at the hearts of those who perform them. Desire after masterful desire has them in its hold and deprives them of even a moment's peace. It is verily a case of a mountain in travail producing a mourse. From the standpoint of the tru-destupy of their souls the results of their labour are not merely insignificant. They are positively mischievous as well, in that they create fresh hands of the results.

अनुवन्धं क्षयं हिंसामनपेश्य च पौरपम् । मोहादारभ्यते कम यत्ततामसमुख्यते ॥ २५ ॥

25 That action is called tamasa which is begun through delusion, without regard to consequences, loss, injury or strength

Selfishness is bad enough Lower down the scale is the delusion of ignorance, of intellectual incomprehence. To start an action with false notions is to sow the seeds for a harvest of troubles to ourselves and others. When we start an undertaking without a proper estimate of our capacity or of our means, failure is inevitable, and if the work should have any kind of so ial importance, the failure will affect the whole of society. Those who are tamasa have false faith in themselves and rush into action without weighing well the consequences. They are prversely heedless of their own incapacity, of the injury that they may do to themselves or others, of the certain failure that must meet them We have already been taught that we must not indulge in actions other than our duties We must bring a high seriousness into play in determining what our duties are; and once that is done, we must carry out our duties without undue attachment, but earnestly and well.

Detachment is not indifference. In doing our duties we must feel a sense of responsibility, as of a trustee discharging his trus? Wanton intellectual blindness is, therefore, rightly held to be a characteristic of tamasa action. The teaching that we have no rights to the fruits of our work must not be misinterpreted to mean that our actions may be allowed to fail by negligence or want of care on our part. Failures must not discourage us, but they must not be of our making. It is good to be free from the possessive spirit of egoism in doing our work, but we must also bear in mind that doing our duties is a high and sectious responsibility, the only rightful occupation for us in life. We must, therefore, bring to bear on the performance of our duties all our qualities. To misjudge our duty in life is blameworthy, not less hemois is the offence of performing it carelessly or indifferently.

Please allow me to conclude here our work for to-day.

Ixxvii

Last week we were dealing with the classification of deeds and motives in accordance with the several guipas of prakriti. We learnt the characteristics of the motives which are satituka in character, we saw what kinds of motives are rajasa or tamasa. We learnt too to classify deeds under the categories of satituha.

rājusa and tāmasa Every agent can be viewed both from the standpoint of the motive within and from the standpoint of the deed done. The internal conditions of the mind were first analysed and classified, and then we were taught the method of evaluating the external performance of work. In what we have to do today the same classification is continued and extended to the agent himself. Sri Krishna describes the best type of agent thus.

मुक्तसङ्गोऽनहंत्रादी भृत्युत्साहसमन्वितः । सिद्धधनिद्धधोर्निविकारः कर्ता सान्विक उच्यते ॥ २६ ॥

26 That agent is sattwika who is free from attachment, who is not selfish, who is endowed with firm resolve and enthusiasm, and who is not affected by success or failure

Firmness of will, enthusiasm and evenness of disposition, these are the essential qualities that Srf Kṛishas requires of the karma-jogan. A wavering will cannot keep us on the rugged path of duty. Mere firmness of will must not also be allowed to degenerate into obstinacy or conventional routine. It must become mellowed and lit up with enthusiasm. Evenness of disposition has also been commended by Sri Kṛishaa in many passages. As I have often pointed out to you this must not be confounded with indifference. According to Sri Kṛishaa, our obligation in regard to the performance of duty involves, firstly, the manifestation of enthusiasm and the absence of indifference in regard to the performance of the duty itself and, secondly, the manifestation of indifference in regard to the results that accrue from the performance of the duty.

You will all remember the famous definition that yoga is skill in the performance of the duty that fails to our for (Yogan karmasu kansalam, II 50) Any work can be done well only when we have such mental qualities as a strong will and the divine fire of enthusiasm Resolution is needed to see that our duty is done against all obstacles, and enthusiasm is required to insite us to get the work done as well and as soon as possible This enthusiasm must not, however, give us a possessive interest

Lec LXXVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

your control, you will feel depressed In other words, the rājasa karifi has not cultivated the art of preserving equanimity in relation to success and failure

भयुक्त प्राकृत स्तम्धदशठो नैकृतिकोऽलमः। विपादी दीर्घसूत्री च कर्ता तामस उच्यते॥ २८॥

28 That agent is styled tamaia who is wanting in application, vulgat, obstinate, perverse, deceitful, lazy, complaining and procrastinating

The agent who is under the influence of the tamoguno will never apply himself steadily to his work, but will often play the truant from his job. He will be vulgar, unturored by culture or civilisation. Lacking discernment and refinement, he will be perversely obstinate under the feeling that he knows all. Deceit and trickery will come naturally to him. The essential tidmass characteristic, however, is latiness and its kindred qualities. You may have met with people who are always complaining, whatever work they may be asked to do. That tendency often accompanies and, perhaps, results from constitutional indolence. The term, 'dirgha sūtrin' beautifully describes the attitude of the tamasa agent. Literally, it means one who has a long string. Think of the work that he has to do as passing to the end of the string. The tamasa agent will never reach the other end of the thread. That is to say, he will be indolent and procrastinating.

बुद्धेमेंदं धृतेश्चेत्र गुणतस्त्रिविध शृणु । शोच्यमानमशेषेण पृथम्त्वेन धनजय ॥ २९ ॥

29 Listen, O Arjuna, to the threefold classification—according to the ginar of prakriti—of intellect and of will, which will be described distinctly and completely

Of the six factors into which action was analysed, Sri Krishga took up for consideration there, and subjected them to classification under the categories of saftivita, rajaxs and ramasa. He proceeds now to deal with a similar classification of intellect will and plasure with the object of making it easy for us to live the life of dispass onate performance of duty. Of course, most of

what He proposes to teach now has been learnt by us explicitly or implicitly in the course of our study of the Gitā already. Here is only a summary of conclusions already taught or implied

प्रवृत्ति च निर्शृत्ते च कार्याकार्ये भयाभये । यन्धं मोक्षं च या त्रेति बुद्धिस्सा पार्थं सात्तिकी ॥ ३० ॥

30 That intellectual disposition, O Arjuna, is sathrika which understands action and renunciation, what ought to be done and what ought not to be done, fear and freedom from fear, bondage and liberty.

According to the philosophy of conduct taught by Sri Krishya, we are not completely at the mercy of irrational or unexplainable impulses in the regulation of our conduct. While recognising the part played by natural instincts and desires in impelling the activities of our life, Sri Krishya has also taught that the enlightened understanding can direct the will and that the will so directed can order our life. The nature of the enlightened understanding is here summed up.

Its first characteristic is here declared to be its ability to distinguish between pravietts and nevietts. We all know that this is not an easy thing to do In fact, it may be said that the whole of the Gifa was taught to Arjuna to enable him to realise the truth about praviiti; and niviiti. Viviiti is usually understood to be complete abstention from action of any kind whatever, and pravfitts as the performance of selfish action Sri Krishna has, however rep-atedly taught us that these notions are wrong An) one who is labouring strenuously for his selfish interests, cannot be said to be living the life of pravrette, and by the same token, one who has succeeded, if it is possible, in converting himself into a log of wood and remains a monument of passive inaction, council be said to be living the life of nevertts Rightly understood, prayfitts and nic fitts do not contradict each other It is possible for us to live a life of pracfitts and at the same time to practise We have been bidden to reconcile the practice of these two in our lives, that, indeed, has been held to be the highest ideal of conduct You all know of course the manner in which this reconciliation is to be effected. We have to live a life of

Lec LXXVII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

strenuous labour, but we must labour in a dedicated spirit, glving up the fruits of our work and without the least taint of selfish attachment. Our duties have to be performed with a determined will and with enthusissm, but we must manage at the same time to driest ourselves of any possessive interest in the results accruing from the performance of those duties. The satitiska understanding will distinguish between pear ritts and nivitits in this manner and help one to secure the final release of moksh.

Another characteristic of the satteska intelligence is that it can differentiate between what is duty and what is not. You may remember that we have been taught that the duties that fall to the lot of men are determined by their natural fitness for the performance of those duties. A man lacking courage and strength cannot be a soldier, a dullard who is incapable of abstract reasoning is not qualified to be a philosopher Every kind of work in life requires qualification, a sort of fitness on the part of the worker If a person who has the qualification for one kind of work does another kind of work then he cannot be said to have understood the nature of karva and akarva. To one who is qualified by birth and breeding for the vocation of a soldier, it is akdrva to adopt the life of mendicant asceticism. In order that the machine of social organisation may function, various duties have to be performed by different members of society. The duties of each are determined by individual mental and moral qualifications

When we consider this question from the standpoint of function and qualification or of karma and guna as we may say we get a broad classification of men into varias. The natural qualifications of men determine the functions that they have to perform in so sety and these qualifications considered along with their correlated ditties enable ut to divide the members of society into distinct groups. There may be exceptions to this rule or principle of classification but in the large majority of cases it works well enough. Whatever duty is determined for us by the potentialities of our nature in this manner is kārya, all other duties are akarya. It is not very difficult to see why work for which we are not fitted is akārya in relation to us. From the standpoint of social economy the waste involved in maladjustment

of qualities and functions is patent enough. If unfit persons are given responsible duties, the social machine is run inefficiently and with a great amount of avoidable friction. Worse results too may follow. If a man thoroughly unfitted to play the role of a philosopher or a moral guide assumes that position, for instance, he may succeed in propagating mischievous and dangerous doctrines. Society, on the whole is sure to suffer by men undertaking to perform duties for which they are not fit.

It is true, of course, that every kind of work is required by society, that, in regard to it, all functions from that of the scavenger to that of the sovereign are harva Every kind of functionary is required by society, and from the standpoint of society as a whole no function is akarya The distinction between karya and akarya arises only when we consider the life of the individual in relation to the life of society It is determined by the part that the individual has to play in the collective social life Everyone may judge for himself the part that he has to play by realising the nature of the guna which is predominant in him and adjusting his work accordingly It is taught in the Gita that, for the individual failure in the performance of his duty is better than success in the performance of what is akarya We tried to understand the meaning of this apparent paradox, when we studied III 35 (vide Vol 1, pp 269-75) To try to live a life for which we are not qualified is tantamount to coercing nature and will injure the very potentialities of our moral and spiritual development. The maintenance of order in society would become well nigh impossible, if people are allowed to perform functions for which they are not The performance by one of what is akarya in relation to him is thus injurious to him and to the society to which he may belong It is declared here that a sattvika understanding is required for understanding this truth about duty

A third characteristic of the satitvika intelligence is its ability to understand fear and cour gray You will remember the teaching of Srt Krishto, on the psychololy of fear. With your permission I shall briefly refer again to the salient points of this teaching Raga and kama arise, as you know, out of the pleasurable sensations produced by the impact of the external world on our senses. Every one affected by raga and kama has a craving for

Lec LXXVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

having more and more of those pleasurable sensations. Among such bondslaves to desire, there may very easily arise rivalry and competition These in turn lead to clashes and skirmishes, anger and hate follow in their wake. Let us now concentrate our attention on the state of mind of one at the moment when a hated and powerful rival is pressing his endeavours to obtain something for which one has all along been striving. Then there arises the feeling that the rival may be too strong to be defeated. That is fear the expectation that one may not succeed in one's endeavours to obtain the pleasures and the power that one has been desiring The intens is of this fear will be dependent on the intensity of one's attachment to pleasure on the one hand, and the knowledge that one has of the superiority of one's rivil on the other At the root of fear, it may thus be seen hes our attachment to pleasures Slavery to desire enslaves us to fear as well. When there is no attachment there can be no anger and no fear To know that fear arises out of our attachment to pleasures and that we gain freedom from fear when we rid ourselves of attachment is to understand the secret of fear and fearlessness

Bandha is the bondage of karma which compels the important of the line and the stress of incarnations. If one knows the reason for this bondage and knows also the method of deliverance, then one understands the aims of life and the manner in which they may be attained. We have already been taught that the immaterial and immortal soul suffers a diminution of its powers in a state of embodiment and that self realisation ought to be the end and aim of the lives of all reasonable men. The imprisonment of the soul in flesh we have also been told is due to the impressed ten Jencies of karma arising from the nature of the life that the soul leads from time to time. If these are wiped away, then the soul will be able to realise its own essential nature.

performance, then bondage will ensue. Otherwise, no bonds of harma will be produced. Thus, the fetters of harma that bad the soul are not due to our mental, vocal or physical activities; they arise from the temperament of the thinker, speaker or worker. If we think or speak or work without selfish attachment of any kind, the work or speech or 'thought cannot bind us. Once we know this, we can solve the problem of getting deliverance for our souls. If we take care to see that the mental disposition which tends to produce the bondage is proprily controlled, then the bondage cannot continue; we cut off its roots, so to speak. The finest intelligence is required to grap this vital truth about bondage and emancipation.

यया धर्ममधर्मं च कार्यं चाकार्यंमेव च । अयथावत्त्रज्ञानाति दुद्धिस्सा पार्थं राजसी ॥ ३१ ॥

31. That intellectual disposition, O Arjuna, is rājata, by which duty and what is not duty, and what ought to be done and what ought not to be done are understood to be other than what they really are.

If one is not endowed with the sāltvika understanding, oa" is bound more or less to misunderstand the nature of one's dury if you bestow your thought on this subject for a moment, you will see that there are really two ways of misunderstanding your dury. You may look upon something which is not your duty as your duty. This is one way, and few of us are above straying into it. Of your duty, as your duty which is the exact contray first type of misunderstanding is the result of a rājssa.

Let us now seek to understand the significance of the expressions, 'dharma' and 'adharma', 'kārya' and 'adharma', has acquired such a wide and varied significance in Sanskrit that it is always a matter of difficulty to fix context here, I venture to think that we will not be far wrong, if we understand the expression, 'dharma', here to stand for the dharma is adharma. It follows that what is contrat to dharma is adharma.

Lec LXXVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

You may now ask How are we to differentiate karva from dharma? The term, 'kārya', seems to me to define the appropriateness of a patticular kind of work being done by a particular person. Let us consider a spe inc instance, the case of a philosopher teaching. When we say that teaching moral truths is the harva of the philosopher, we mean that it is the most appropriate thing for him to do The con ept of dharma implies something more, it includes the idea of moral responsible lity as well So, when we say that teaching is the dharma of the philosopher, we mean that the philosopher is morally responsible for the work of teaching Thus, by 'karya', we must understand that a certain work is appropriate in relation to a particular individual The term, 'dharma', conveys that there is a moral responsibility resting on the shoulders of a man possessing certain characteristics to perform certain kinds of work. Teaching philosophy is the barva of the philosopher, it is the most appropriate work for him to do Teaching philosophy again is the dharma of the philosopher, for he who possesses the qualities of a philosopher must perform the work of a philosopher Between the fitness of a philosopher to teach and the work of teaching there is a correlation and when we make this correlation so binding as to compel the person who possesses the needed qualification to become morally responsible for the work for which he is qualified, we have the idea of dharma

Judging the duties that men have to perform from the angle of moral responsibility, we have the ideas of dharma and adharma, and judging those duties from the standpoint of their appropriateness in relation to the qualities of the persons who perform them we have the ideas of karya and akārya Now, if we regard omething other than our dharma as our dharma and sometiming other than our kārya as our kārya, then we missinder stand them in a manifer which is characteristically rājasa Arjuna trying to play the role of a philosopher testifies only to the rajasa nature of his intelligence

We will now pass on to the consideration of the second type of misunderstanding which was referred to above

बधर्मं धर्मिति या मन्यते तमसावृता । सर्वार्थान्विपरीतांश्च बुद्धिस्सा पार्थं तामसी ॥ ३२ ॥

32. That intellectual disposition is tūmata, O Arjuna, which, enveloped in delusion, regards what is contrary to one's duty as one's duty and all things as the opposite of what they are.

The buddhi which operates rightly in determining the duties and responsibilities of men is sattucki. But the buddhi which operates with imperfect success in this work may misinterpret the nature of our duties in one of two ways. It may look upon some duty which is not ours as our dury. It may make the soldier try to play the part of a philosopher or a philosopher play the part of a soldier. Then it is rajasa. It may also make us confound the very opposite of our duties as our duties. Then it is tamasa. The philosopher playing the part of a fool is under the sway of a tāmasa intelligence. When we mistake darkness for light, transient joy for eternal bliss, the Supreme Soul for the ephemeral veil that hides It from our eyes, we are swaved by the dull and perverse tamasa-guna. You must note specially that the tamasa intelligence is not merely dull and stupid, but perverse also. It has a knack of pitching upon what is exactly contrary to the object of its search, be that what it may.

From this nadir of sheer perversity, we have to rise to the level of the $r\delta_{JBBD}$ intelligence which commits honest mistakes by its being associated with predilections and prejudices arising out of attachment. It is a known fa t of psychology that our intelligence fails to function p operly when prejudices and desires stand in the way. The with is quite frequently father to the thought. Reasoning is for us very often only the delectable pastime of finding exercise for what we want. The highest melligence is displayed by those who are $v\delta trivita$. Free from all prejudices and attachments, they judge all things in the light of bare truth. Theirs alone is claim of vision, and they alone can see through the blinding mixt of $sams \delta m c$ are the way to deliverance and bits

May I stop here for the present?

quake or quail Hence it is taught that one of the essential characteristics of the softwisk dhfift is its ability to conquer the senses. That it is by no means impossible to conquer our senses in this manner may be shown easily enough. Take the case of a vendor of sweets. Like most human beings, he too must have a liking for tasting sue-t confections. N vertheless, he controls this tendency in himself, knowing full well that it is against his own interests in the long run to go on eating the confections which he has prepared for sale. If it is possible for him to control his senses in this way, urged by the overwhelming claims of his self interest, it is possible for others in the larger interests of the soul to exercise due governance over the wayward senses.

Sti Krishna goes on to state that manah kriya and pranakriyā (mental and vital activities) also can be brought under the control of dhīsts Consider a specific instance Suppose you resolve to fast on an ekadasi day You have never done it before, but some one whom you respect teaches you that the fasting will do you good physically, morally and spiritually The day dawns and very soon the hour of breakfast arrives Food is ready, and if you feel like resiling from your resolution, you can do so at any moment The physiological urge of hunger demands satisfaction By the exercise of a strong will, you can, however, succeed in fasting And this is tantamount to controlling in some measure one of the primal urges of all living organisms. You may have read of the attempts that are being made by certain doctors in America to find out experimentally how long men can live without taking food Some of you may have heard of a curious custom among the Jains known as sallekhana which unfriendly critics may describe as suicide by starvation. There is a belief amongst them that one can attain moksha by statung on-self to death Apparently they argue that, in starving oneself to death, the supremacy of the spirit over the flesh is so firmly es ablished that, when the end com-s, it can only release the soul from the trammels of the flesh Many Jains are known to have ended their lives in this manner. I do not want you now to pass any judgment over the so indices of this do trine. The point for you to consider is this. Do not the facts that I have cited furnish ample proof of the power of the will to exercise some measure of control o er the physiological activities of life?

Lec. LXXVIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

There remains the question of the control of manahkriya I remember to have drawn your attention frequently to the fact tha the Sanskrit term, 'manas', does not correspond to the English word, 'mind' It is generally understood to stand for tha peculiar function of the faculty of attention by means of which the operation of the senses in relation to the external objects are, as ir were, reported to the soul You know very well that it is possible for people to be absent minded, men belonging to my profession are, in the view of comic journals, notorious for this failing What do we intend to convey exactly by saying that some person is absent minded? We mean that he is so absorbed in some train of thought that he is dead to the world around him, that his senses do not report to his soul their reactions to the external world His eyes may remain open, no physical impediment may obstruct his ears, nevertheless, the eves do not see and the ears do not hear

In the language of Sanskiit psychology, this is explained by the statement that, for the time being, the manas is not directed to the perception of external objects by these senses It is not that the light reflected from the various objects around him fails to produce its impression on the retina of his eyes, it is not that the sounds produced in the neighbourhood fail to travel through the air and strike the tympanum of his ears These things happen in his case as they do in the case of any one sitting near him, who is not absent minded Nonetheless, while the man who is not abrent minded sees with his eyes and hears with his ears, the man who is absent minded or is absorbed in some kind of thought or meditation neither sees nor hears The difference between the two is stated by Sanskrit thinkers to be due to the fact that, while in the one case, the faculty of attention or manas is directed to the perception of external objects by the senses, in the other it is directed towards the thought or meditation in which the man is engaged

Think of our senses as a sub-post office. The manas will then be something like a central post office. Now this central office may be engaged in two different sorts of work. It may receive letters from the sub-offices for transmission to their destinations, or it may be transmitting its own messages or letters to their

destinations. In the latter case, it is engaged not so much in receiving as in despatching. If you can overlook the imperfections of this analogy, you will see that it tries to illustrate the peculiar position of the manas, in relation to the senses on the one hand and the soul on the other. It is the channel through which the reports of the senses are conveyed to the soul. If the manas refuses to carry a message, the soul remains unaware of the experience sought to be reported. The soul becomes aware of the external world, only when the manas conveys the messages of the senses to the soul.

If such is the function of the manas in psychology, then it is not very difficult for us to see how we can control by our determination the krsya of the manas also If our resolution is such as to encourage us in thought and meditation, then we can make ourselves dead to the external world for the time being The manas can help us in internal concentration or introspection Suppose there is a young man who is trying to work out a difficult problem in mathematics on his slate. The window of his room opens out to 2 busy street Sights and sounds and, perhaps, smells also from the street assault his senses. If he has a strong will, then this assault will not affect him in any way For the manas will not then convey to the soul the report of the senses and so he will be saved from distraction. It is only when his will is weak that he will have to seek physical protection from this assault by closing the window It is clear, therefore, that the determination of the will controls the working of our faculty of attention and decides whether it is to be directed externally or

Let us now try to examine the other characteristics of the sattivist directs mentioned in this floka. It is required to be unswerving through ateady application. It is possible for us to make resolutions again and again, keep them for a time and then break them. This kind of action shows that the will is not being applied steadily. It is further required that the will should not waver Take, again, example of the youthful student of mathematics if he resolves that the colourful scene in the street should not disturb him till his problem is solved, and sticks to his resolution, then we can say that his diffusi is avyabhicklerini. If, however, he contents himself with solving a portion of his problem and then

Lee. LXXVIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

immerses himself in the study of another subject, say, physiology, then too the mind is not devoid of resolution, but the object of his mental activity has changed When the object of your attention changes in this manner before the object of your first resolution is fully and finally accomplished, then your dhrist is vyabhichārsni. If, therefore, your dhrist is to deserve the epithet of 'āltivikī', it must be directed to the control of the faculty of attention, the physiological activities and aptitudes, and the operations of the senses; this control must be exercised constantly, and its object should not change from moment to moment

The sattiski district thus defined will help us to acquire the attitude of samatva and enable us to get rid of the bondare of karma by freeing us from the tendencies of desire and aversion that ordinarily arise in the minds of all when the senses are active. We will now piss on to the consideration of the rajasi district.

यया तु धर्मकामार्थान् घृत्या धारयतेऽर्जुन । प्रसङ्गेन फलाकाही घृतिस्सा पार्थ राजसी ॥ ३४ ॥

34. O. Arjuna, that will is rā jasa by means of which one who is desirous of fruits, as the occasion may justify, adheres to righteousness, pleasure and wealth.

In Sanskrit literature, they speak of four purushārthas or objects for which men struggle and strive These, as you may be awate, are dharma or the observance of righteousness, artha or awate, are dharma or the observance of righteousness, artha or the acquisition of wealth, kāma or the gratification of desire, and moksha or the emancipation of the soul Of these, moksha is moksha or the emancipation of the soul Of these, moksha is moksha or the emancipation of the soul Of these, moksha is moksha or the emancipation that wurreme object of resarded as the paramar-purushārtha, the surreme object of endesvour should be directed. Hinduism holds that it is collectively known as trivarra. Hinduism holds that it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives. But it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives. But it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives. But it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives. But it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives. But it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives. But it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives. But it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives. But it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives. But it is prifectly legitimate to strive for these three objectives.

and at the same time happy and prosperous. Righteous men have hved happily and in affluence, even as unrighteous men, and contrariwise, unrighteous men have suffered from want and poverty even as righteous men. There is no necessary relation between righteousness on the one hand and poverty on the other, nor between sin and riches. The pursuit of artha and kama is by no means inconsistent with the practice of dharma Indeed, one of the standard definitions of dharma in our philosophical literature declares that dharma is that from which results the attainment of prosperity here and salvation hereafter. We have the highest authority, therefore, for stating that the Hindu ideal of duty does not make the attainment of prosperity here incompatible with the attainment of prosperity here incompatible with the attainment of salvation hereafter.

Indeed, the attainment of artha and kama through the transgression of dharma has its own drawbacks, even from the standpoint of worldly wisdom. Human beings, however wicked and sinful, have some sort of conscience. It is part of their heritage as men. And conscience as you know is a difficult thing to kill It may receive knock down blows but it has a knack of reviving at inconvenient moments and upsetting all calculations That being the case, when wealth is acquired or desire gratified in improper or immoral ways, there is always the danger of our conscience spoiling our enjoyment. Money, whether justly or unjustly earned, can satisfy our desires. But when it is unjustly earned, there may be uneasy stirrings of the conscience within, and our peace of mind may be marred by ugly reminders of our sin. when we would fain forget it. It may even be that a luxurious life bought from the wages of sin will not give us as much joy as a simple but honest life. And none of us can be so sure of having stilled the voice of God within us as to expect to enjoy with impunity and with full satisfaction ill gotten gains. In this way, the attainment of artha and kama through the paths of dharma may be seen to give us more certain happiness than their pursuit through proper ways. The rajasi dhiits will help us to seek wealth and to satisfy our normal and natural desires consistently with the demands of righteousness

Please note that, though the rajasi dhrits will enable us to live a life which is not incompatible with righteousness it cannot set us on the road to salvation. In consequence, it is inferior to

the sattuski ahiiti By seeking kama and artha, paying due heed to the requirements of dharma, we are not striving to kill selfishness When our highest ideal happens to be the attainment of prosperity here through right and proper methods, we do not give up the fruits of our labour We want to enjoy the legitimate fruits of our work We may not be greedy, we may not covet the possessions of others, but we feel, when we are at this moral level, that we have a right to the fruits that accrue legitimately from our honest toil Some of you may be familiar with Sankaracharya's wise advice "Gladden your mind with the wealth that you acquire through your labour" (Yallabhase nijakarmopattam vittam tena vinodaya chittam) The attitude that I have outlined above can be understood to be characteristic of one who has the rajasi dhriti, only if we interpret the word 'prasanga' occurring in the second line as 'prasakti' This interpretation is supported by the fact that its implications are in accord with the pursuit of artha and kama through dharma, which is declared in the first line to be the essential characteristic of the rajasi The term 'prasanga' has also been understood in the sense of sanga of attachment of over much attachment There is no insuperable objection to this interpretation also and you may choose which ever meaning you prefer

यया स्वप्न भयं शोर्क विषाद मदमेव च । न विमुखति टुमेंघा चृतिसमा पार्थ तामसी ॥ ३५ ॥

35 That will, O Arjuna, by which one of perverse mind is unable to give up sleep, fear, grief, despondency and folly, is tamasa

"Tamasi dhriti" is possible both in the cree of the man of perverse intelligence and the man of no intelligence. Such men are unable to give up the tendency to sleep too much Determination and practice, as you are aware, can make it possible for us to control sleep. Some people lay their heads on the pillow with a resolution to wake up at a particular hour in the mornin, and they do get up at the correct hour without the aid of any slarm clocks. Napoleon is reputed to have poss-sested the faculty of going to sleep and waking up whenever he wanted. There are also a faith large body of men who have to work during the dead

hours of the night, when the rest of the world is sleeping soundly—night watchmen, for instance, and drivers of steam locomotives They carry out their work without feeling any great difficulty But if the will is weak, then we succumb to the overpowering influence of sleep

Bhaya is fear The man of tamasi dhitti is not endowed with courage. He is ever subject to the benumbing influence of fear As you are aware, it is the presence of rapa and duesha that gives rise to fear If you have such equanimity of mind as not to be subject to the pleasures and pains that almost invertably accompany your experiences, and if you do not care to have more and more of pleasant experiences and less and less of painful experiences, how can fear affect you? Fear is nothing but the expectation of a possible disappointment Suppose you are a trader You have stocked in goods with the hope of selling them at a huge profit You learn then that there is a good chance of the market being upset You are at once in the grip of fear. The prospect of a loss makes you afraid. What is this fear due to? Surely, to your raga in relation to the profits that you have reckoned upon If there had been no such raga the possibility of the market being upset will not worry you Suppose now that this apprehended loss actually occurs and that the market goes against you through a rival dumping his goods Your attitude towards your successful rival would be one of krodka or anger Fear, attachment and anger are related in this way

Now, fear arises when disappointment looms at a distance When the disappointment actually arrives we have sorrow We bemoan our loss. The gitter may be a passing emotion or if sufficiently severe it may grow into despondency and unnerve us for all time. When our mental equilibrium is lost in this manner, there is vishada. Any of these emotions may make us insane for the time being. There is the madness of desire, the madness of anger the madness of greaf and the madness of despair. This may well be the meaning of meda. It has also been understood as sensual appetite.

Consider a man whose resolution is too weak to enable him to control his tendency to aleep to fear, to sorrow, to despair and to become mad under the influence of anger, fear or sorrow.

Lee LXXVIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Think of a man so readily incited or so strongly emotional as to be the prey of every passing emotion. His intellectual power and vigour of will are so weak as to place him entirely at the mercy of his emotions. He becomes the slave of his passions. His will is absolutely impotent and cannot give him moral strength or the capacity to advance spiritually. Such is the tamasi dhriti.

To sum up Sri Krishņa's teaching on the classification of dhriti The sattviki dhriti is such as will help one to kill selfishness and facilitate the attainment of moksha The +ajast dhriti will enable a man to live an honourable and worthy life, perfectly in accordance with righteousness. That dhritt which is too impotent to be helpful in either of these ways is tamasi According as our determination is sattuika rajasa or tāmasa our endeavour in life will be directed towards the attainment of moksha, or of the pleasures of life consistently with the practical of righteousness, or of abject slavery to the senses Whatever one's object in life may be it is necessary that one should possess some sort of directs or the power of controlling the natural tendencies of raga and dvesha You may control them to such an extent as to kill selfishness altogether and thus fit yourself for the salvation of moksha Or you may exercise your control at least to the extent of making your quest after wealth and the gratification of desire consistent with the practice of virtue From the level of this dhriti, you may rise to that level of the sattviki dhriti, if not in this life, at least in the course of the next reincarnation falls below the strength demanded of the rajast dhists, if your determination is so feeble as to make you always the slave of your passions tossed hither and thither by passing gusts of emotion, then it is very difficult for your life to progress at all Your dhists is then of the worst possible type, it is lamasi

The first thing that we have to note about the scheme of life propounded by Sri Kṛishṇa is that we have to take care of our dhṛis our mental determination. Once that is done, we are assured of success in the end. If you think your will power is weak try again and again loga is the means of success. If you endeaveur long enough and on a sufficient number of occasions, you will find that your will is growing in strength of its own accord, that you have acquired a power that you never had before

Each time you make your attempt, although you may fail for the time being, the vigour of your will is increased Failures ought not to deter us They must become the stepping stones to success We must have the faith that human nature is so constituted that through practice even the weakest may acquire strength. Nothing but blank despair will stare us in the face, if we hold that the weak will have to remain weak for all time and that there is always the possibility of retrogression and never of progress Sri Krishna does not preach this cheerless and benumbing doctrine He gives us a heartening message of hope and shows the path of deliverance even to the weakest and the worst amongst us. The man of eamasl dhrete, by assiduous practice, may rise to the level of the rajass dhīits, and further application will enable him in the end to reach the heights of the sattitike dhfits. When he can maintain this level steadily and continuously, the attainment of the goal of moksha is certain and assured

With your permission $\ 1$ will conclude here our work for the day

Lxxix

Last time we tried to understand the classification of dhrist or the mental resolution with which men engage themselves in various activities and do their duties well or ill, as the case may be. This dhrist, we saw, it all important in regard to the direction of the activities of men and the manner in which they are done According as men will so do they work. The satistic dhrist helps one to lead the satistic life and so too the ray iss or timus dhrist helps one to lead the satistic life and so too the ray iss or timus drittin helps men to lead lives which are raysas or timus as it. Krishna now proceeds to consider the various kinds of pleasures that men may attain by working with different motives and in different ways. Pleasure or happiness of some kind or the avoidance of some pain—this is almost always the goal of our activities. Having considered the motives and methods of work and agent. Sri Krishna very naturally turns to the consideration of the results of work.

Lec. LXXIX] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

सुरां तिवदानीं त्रिविधं श्र्ष्णु में भरतर्पभ । अभ्यासादमते यत्र दुखान्तं च निगच्छति ॥ ३६ ॥ यत्त्रदुश्ने विपमित्र परिणामेऽमृतोपमम् ।

यत्तद्ग्रे दिवामेत्र परिणामेऽमृतीवमम् । तत्सुखं सास्विकं प्रोक्तमात्मबुद्धिप्रसादजम् ३७॥

36-37. Now, hear from Me, O Arjuna, about the threefold (classification of) pleasure. That pleasure is said to be sattrika, in which one comes to enjoy through practice and in which one reaches the end of pain, which is like poison at the beginning but like nectar at the end, and which gives rise to clear knowledge of the soul.

All the pleasures that we know of have the unfortunate knack of satisting us after a time. No pleasure but cloys through frequent experience. It is a case of familiarity breeding, not merely contempt, but repulsion also Think of those, for instance, who are constantly moving near the Taj Mahal What effect will this dream dreamt in marble, this undying monument to a deathless love, have on them? Very probably, they may not see the superb beauty of the Tai Looking on it every day may dull the keen edge of their sensitiveness to its charm A true artist, perhaps, may thrill with wonder every time he sees that splendid mausoleum, but for most of us, 'custom' may 'stale' its loveliness Consider as another example our liking for any kind of food, say, sweets We may be eager for them, we may eat a certain amount of them with enjoyment and relish, but there is always a point of satiation whi h puts an end to our enjoyment In the case of most pleasures that fall within the range of common experience, it may be thus observed that they tend to lose their power to please by repetition Here in this verse, certain excep tional pleasures are indicated, which possess the remarkable characteristic of improving on acquaintance

The salttvika pleasures, we are told, are such that we learn to enjoy them by frequently experiencing them. How this can be, we will learn presently. Yet another fact of experience is that no

pleasure is free from some kind of admixture with pain "Our sincerest laughter with some pain is fraught" And while there is this undercurrent of sortow in all our experience of pleasure, it is also to be noted that pleasure is evanescent by nature and is almost invariably succeeded by pain. The sältoika pleasures are, however, pure and unalloyed and do not lead to pain. In their experience, we reach the end of pain. Of ordinary pleasures, again, it may be noted that they are attractive at the beginning and repulsive at the end. Sugar is sweet as nectar at first but when the tongue is satiated, there is nausea. Eating what we like up to the point of satiation, we will be fortunate to escape with a light doctor's bill. But the distinctive characteristic of the saltoika pleasures is that they repel at first, but are attractive in the end.

You may ask whether there is anything in our ordinary experience, which illustrates this Take for example a young man who has acquired culture after going through a course of education He is in a position to enjoy the pleasures which the study of poetry or of art or of philosophy can give rise to Now in order to acquire this power it was necessary that he should undergo a course of study He had to submit himself to the discipline imposed by his teachers in school and college, and by his parents at home During this period of training, he must have felt the discipline unpleasant, to say the least But in the end, when he blossoms out as a man of culture, he has acquired the capacity to enjoy certain superior kinds of pleasures which others who have not had this training, cannot enjoy If he now looks back upon those days when he was forced to go through a disagreeable discipline, he is certain to feel grateful and friendly towards those who directed him through it You will observe from this example that initial pain and final enjoyment are possible in telation to certain kinds of pleasure. Take the your as another instance Sri Krishna has told us that few can succeed in the practice of 30ga. The discipline as we well know, is rigorous and exacting. But when one has successfully braved the ordeal and experienced the unique bliss of self realisation or God realisation, one will have no hard thoughts left for the training that gave one the power to realise that experience

Lee LXXIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Lastly, it is declared that the sattvika pleasures give rise to a clear knowledge of the nature of the atman That is they help us in achieving self realisation. The seat of these pleasures is not in the senses They are akin to the soul and belong to its realm To the man who is a slave to the pleasures of the senses, the idea that there is such a thing as the soul is not likely to occur. In fact, the body is the one and only reality for him The end and aim of his life consist in enjoying the pleasures of the body. He never realises that the purposes of the soul are higher than those of the body and that the body indeed is nothing more than an instrument for the soul to realise its ends

Even when the pursuit of the pleasures of the body results in pain and disappointment, wisdom never dawns on him Some however who start in life Realising that life after this fashion learn from exp rience is not all sugar and sweetness that the bitters follow the sweets they change their plan of life and strain their eyes to catch a glimpse of the true destiny of the soul The point to be noted is that the pleasures of the senses do not awaken them to this long as they last the pleasures of the senses deaden our sensitive ness to the demands of the soul They do not spring from, nor can co exist with nor result in any clear knowledge of the soul

Think now of the pleasures of the mind One who can enjoy these pleasures must have been specially trained. No one can acquire this power without undergoing a strenuous discipline This presupposes some sort of control over the senses It does not matter in the least whether this control is exercised voluntarily or through external pressure so long as it is recognised that the power to enjoy these pleasures is won as a result of the exercise of self control To have gone through such a discipline indicates that during the course of the discipline the mind has learnt to see the truth of things The enjoyment of the higher pleasures is thus not inconsistent with an enhanced appreciation of the truth of things. The pleasures of the senses however are apt to cloud the intellect. They unbalance the mind and make reason the slave of desire We may note in passing that some commentators have understood the purport of the expression Prasada jam' to be that the sattorka pleasures spring from a alear knowledge of the soul This is of course Without realising the nature of the soul, it is not possible for us even to co, nise the existence of these pleasures

pleasure is free from some kind of admixture with pain "Our sincerest laughter with some pain is fraught" And while there is this undereurent of sorrow in all our experience of pleasure, it is also to be noted that pleasure is evanescent by nature and is almost invariably succeeded by pain The sattvika pleasures are, however, pure and unalloyed and do not lead to pain. In their experience, we reach the end of pain. Of ordinary pleasures, again, it may be noted that they are attractive at the beginning and repulsive at the end. Sugar is sweet as nectar at first, but when the tongue is satiated, there is nausea. Eating what we like up to the point of satiation, we will be fortunate to escape with a light doctor's bill. But the distinative characteristic of the sattvika pleasures is that they repel at first, but are attractive in the end.

You may ask whether there is anything in our ordinary experience, which illustrates this Take, for example, a young man who has acquired culture after going through a course of education He is in a position to enjoy the pleasures which the study of poetry or of art or of philosophy can give rise to Now in order to acquire this power it was necessary that he should undergo a course of study He had to submit himself to the discipline imposed by his teachers in school and college, and by his parents at home During this period of training, he must have felt the discipline unpleasant, to say the least But in the end, when he blossoms out as a man of culture, he has acquired the capacity to enjoy certain superior kinds of pleasures which others who have not had this training, cannot enjoy If he now looks back upon those days when he was forced to go through a disagreeable discipline, he is certain to feel grateful and friendly towards those who directed him through it You will observe from this example that initial pain and final enjoyment are possible in relation to certain kinds of pleasure. Take the jogin as another instance Sri Krishna has told us that few can succeed in the practice of soga The discipline, as we well know, is rigorous and exacting But when one has successfully braved the ordeal and experienced the unique bliss of self realisation or God realisation, one will have no hard thoughts left for the training that gave one the power to realise that experience

Lee LXXIXI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Lastly, it is declared that the sattvika pleasures give rise to a clear knowledge of the nature of the atman That is, they help us in achieving self realisation The seat of these pleasures is not in the senses They are akin to the soul and belong to its realm To the man who is a slave to the pleasures of the senses, the idea that there is such a thing as the soul is not likely to occur. In fact, the body is the one and only reality for him The end and aim of his life consist in enjoying the pleasures of the body. He never realises that the purposes of the soul are higher than those of the body and that the body, indeed, is nothing more than an instrument for the soul to realise its ends Even when the pursuit of the pleasures of the body results in pain and disappointment, wisdom never dawns on him Some, however who start in life Realising that life after this fashion learn from experience is not all sugar and sweetness that the bitters follow the sweets, they change their plan of life and strain their eyes to catch a glimpse of the true destiny of the soul The point to be noted is that the pleasures of the senses do not awaken them to this long as they last the pleasures of the senses deaden our sensitiveness to the demands of the soul They do not spring from, nor can co exist with, nor result in any clear knowledge of the soul

Think now of the pleasures of the mind One who can enjoy these pleasures must have been specially trained. No one can acquire this power without undergoing a strenuous discipline This presupposes some sort of control over the senses It does not matter in the least whether this control is exercised voluntarily or through external pressure, so long as it is recognised that the power to enjoy these pleasures is won as a result of the exercise of self control To have gone through such a discipline indicates that during the course of the discipline, the mind has learnt to see the truth of things The enjoyment of the higher pleasures is thus not inconsistent with an enhanced appreciation of the truth of things The pleasures of the senses however, are apt to cloud the intellect They unbalance the mind and make reason the slave We may note in passing that some commentators have understood the purport of the expression, resedda jam', to be that the satttika pleasures spring from a alear knowledge of the soul This is, of course, obvious Wi hout realising the nature of the soul, it is not possible for us even to cognise the existence of these pleasures

विषयेन्द्रियसंयोगाद्यत्तद्येऽसृतोपमम् । परिणामे विषमिव तत्सुखं राजसं स्मृतम् ॥ ३८ ।

38. That pleasure is spoken of as *rājasa*, which (springs) from the union of the perceivable objects with the perceiving senses, which is comparable to nectar at the beginning, but is like poison at the end.

In understanding this verse, we have to bear in mind that the sattvika pleasures are not apparently conceived to be the result of the contact of the senses with their objects I spoke of them, you will remember, as pleasures of the mind, and as being kin with the soul and belonging to its realm. Here we are told that the rajasa pleasures are produced from the contact of the senses with their objects. Here too, it is of course the mind which experiences, but it is not altogether responsible for these pleasures. They are not completely dependent on the mind for their existence They may be concerved to arise somewhat in the following manner We start with the senses which are in us, and the objects to be perceived by these senses, which are outside of us There is a relation established between the perceiving senses and the outer objects to be perceived by them, and this relation of contact as we may say, is responsible for the production of the experience of pleasure Consider the pleasure that we feel by tasting the sweetness of sugar. The sugar is an object to be perceived by our senses, it is outside of us It has to be brought into contact with the palate before the sensation of sweetness and the consequent pleasure are experienced. Let us now look at the pleasure derived by the yogin in the state of contemplation or samadh: There is nothing outside of him which is needed to give him this unique bliss. The pleasure, so to speak, rises from some deep fountain within him

Lec. LXXVIII) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

and for which most of us struggle and strive, is that they are enjoyable in the beginning, but repulsive in their culmination

यद्ग्रे चानुउन्धे च सुग्रं मोहनमात्मन । निटालस्यप्रमादोत्यं तत्तामसमुदाहतम् ॥ ३९ ॥

39 That pleasure, which at first and in its consequences deludes the soul, and which springs out of sleep, sloth and excitement, is said to be tamata.

To the tamasa category belong the pleasures that delude the soul They have not even the saving grace of he rajasa pleasures which by the pain that they give in the end may incite wisdom. It is not easy to prick the bubble of their self conceit and show them up in their true colours They spring from sleep idleness and excitement To Kumbhakarna nothing was so attractive as sleep There are men in our midst today, who kill their time by sleening as long as they can There are men again who laze and idle away, when there is work demanding to be done They prefer to live in the land of lotus-eaters, dreaming, idling and sleeping Pramada is some kind of excitement which leads you to forget yourself, the excitement of anger, envy or intoxication, for instance The term, 'pramada', may also be understood as indifference or Very naturally, if you are inattentive and indifferent, you cannot acquire true knowledge, and the knowledge that you acquire may be so defective as to delude you

न तदस्ति पृथिन्या वा दिनि देवेषु वा पुन । सर्गं प्रकृतिजैर्मुकं यदेभिस्स्यात्त्विभिर्मुणे ॥ ४० ॥

40 There is no entity here on earth, nor among the gods in heaven, which is free from these three guill born of prakrit

विपयेन्द्रियसंयोगाश्चसद्ग्रेऽमृतोपमम् । परिणामे विपमिव तत्सुख राजसं स्मृतम् ॥ ३८ ।

38 That pleasure is spoken of as rajasa, which (springs) from the union of the perceivable objects with the perceiving senses, which is comparable to nectar at the beginning, but is like poison at the end

In understanding this verse, we have to bear in mind that the sattuika pleasures are not apparently conceived to be the result of the contact of the senses with their objects I spoke of them, you will remember, as pleasures of the mind, and as being kin with the soul and belonging to its realm. Here we are told that the rajasa pleasures are produced from the contact of the senses with their objects. Here too it is of course the mind which experiences but it is not altogether responsible for these pleasures They are not completely dependent on the mind for their existence They may be conceived to arise somewhat in the following manner We start with the senses which are in us, and the objects to be perceived by these senses, which are outside of us There is a relation established between the perceiving senses and the outer objects to be perceived by them, and this relation of contact as we may say is responsible for the production of the experience of pleasure Consider the pleasure that we feel by tasting the sweetness of sugar. The sugar is an object to be perceived by our senses, it is outside of us It has to be brought into contact with the palate before the sensation of sweetness and the consequent pleasure are experienced. Let us now look at the pleasure derived by the 30gin in the state of contemplation or samadl: There is nothing outside of him which is needed to give him il is unique bliss. The pleasure so to speak, rises from some deep fountain within him

So we may say that the more completely the pleasure that one enjoys is of an intellectual or a, initial nature the less is if dependent on external objects and con-stack; the more the pleasure is dependent on external objects the less is it intellectual or applicable and in earth end there is a small and the ratine of these pleasures which applied from time that of the period in a small shall be reliable of its

Lec. LXXVIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

and for which most of us struggle and strive, is that they are enjoyable in the beginning, but repulsive in their culmination.

यद्ग्रे चानुवन्ये च सुर्गं मोहनमात्मनः । निद्रालस्पप्रमादोत्यं तत्तामसमुदाहतम् ॥ ३९ ॥

That pleasure, which at first and in its consequences deludes the soul, and which springs out of sleep, sloth and excitement, is said to be tāmata.

To the tamasa category belong the pleasures that delude the soul. They have not even the saving grace of the rajasa pleasures which by the pain that they give in the end may incite wisdom. It is not easy to prick the bubble of their self conceit and show them up in their true colours. They spring from sleep, idleness and excitement. To Kumbhakarna, nothing was so attractive as sleep There are men in our midst today, who kill their time by sleening as long as they can. There are men again who laze and idle away, when there is work demanding to be done. They prefer to live in the land of lotus-eaters, dreaming, idling and sleeping Pramada is some kind of excitement which leads you to forget yourself, the excitement of anger, envy or intoxication, for instance The term, 'pramada', may also be understood as indifference or heedlessness Very naturally, if you are inattentive and indifferent, you cannot acquire true knowledge, and the knowledge that you acquire may be so defective as to delude you.

Having classified work and the related mental dispositions under the three heads of sattvika, rājasa and tāmasa, Šti Kīsha proceeds to teach that this threefold classification is universally applicable. There is nothing in the universe, He declares, which cannot be brought under one or other of these caregories, nothing of the nature of a physical object or of human experience, wh-ther sensual, incellectual or moral

न तदस्ति पृथिव्यां या दिनि देवेषु या पुनः । सस्यं प्रकृतिजैर्मुक्तं यदेभिस्स्यात्विभिग्नुंणेः ॥ ४० ॥

40. There is no entity here on earth, nor among the gods in heaven, which is free from these three guill born of prakrit.

Wherever there is praktits, there naturally we have the three gunas of prakriti. It is conceived that even in the world of the gods, praktits is present Even the gods are believed to possess bodies made up of praktits The Lord alone is free from compulsory association with praktiti and in actual fact exercises dominion over it When embodiments, animal, human and divine are in this manner made up of prakrits, they are subject to the influence of the three gunas In relation to all these entities, all the three gunas are exercising their influence But in different circumstances different gunas will exercise a predominant influence. No v ne all know that different effects flo v from the preponderance of different gunas When sattva is dominant we have certain results, when rajas is potent, we have certain other results, and when tamas is pre eminent, we have still other results We, therefore, associate characteristic effects with the preponderance of each of the three gunas of praktite and say that such and such an entity is sattvika, rajasa or tamasa, according to the characteris is it minifests Since the whole universe has prakfits for one of its essential constituents the threefol I classifi atton according to the nature of the gunas must be universally applicable

We have also learnt that the three gunas of prakrits represent not merely states or aspects of prakIsis but stand for distinctive moral attributes (Chapter XIV) Accordingly mental and moral characteristics have been classified under the three heads of sattvika rajasa and tamasa When our physical constitution is under the dominant influence of one of the gunas our mental and moral characteristics will be those associated with that gina Now it has also been laid down that our endeavours in life should be directed to raise ours-lves from whatever position we may be into that condition in whi h the sattva guna dominates The direction of progress is from the preponderance of tamas to that of sattva Those that are established in sattva as you learnt, go up while those who are under the influence of tamas fall down Those who are influenced by rajas are entangled in a monotonous orbit and tend to remain at the same level for all time (XIV 18) We have been advised to cultivate sattvika characteristics and to overcome the tendencies associated with the other two gunas must use our will to struggle against our inborn tendencies there is a tenden ; in us which makes for sleep or idleness we

Lec. LXXIX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

must try to overcome it Every effort that we make in the right direction increases the power of our will and adds a brick, so to say, to the edifice of our final achievement. The more we try, the more likely are we to succeed. Even failures help us in the long run. We may rise to higher things through a stairway, every step of which is an attempt that failed. Everyone is free to make the attempt at any time, and if he persists long enough, is sure to succeed.

This raises immediately a question of some importance. Men are born with varying attributes and qualities. Some for instance, may take delight in sleep, while others may be tremendously active and energetic. Different gunas predominate in different people, and distinguish them from one another. Now, knowing that every one of them is bound to improve if he only makes the effort 'in the right direction, are we to hold that they should all follow the same plan in life? Is there only one mental and moral prescription for all?

Sri Krishna has already answered this question in the negative in another context, and presently refers to it again The prescrip tion applicable to the moral disease of one man may not cure the moral disease of another Doctors tell us that all fevers cannot be treated in the same way, nor can the same fever in different patients be treated in exactly the same way Fevers are of different kinds, and the same fever may produce varying effects in different people The treatment must differ according to the nature of the disease and the nature of the patient Even so the moral diseases of men have to be treated with particular reference to the nature of the disease and the nature of the patient Accordingly, Sri Krishna teaches that every one will have to live the life for whi h he is mos fitted and that in living that life he can progress in such a manner as to reach the goal of all human endeavour. If only we do our allo ted duties in life giving up our ingrain-d selfishness and all sense of agency and ownership in the firm faith that God is the agent of all our acts and the source of all power and energy, we will render true worship to God and attain Him in the end, whatever our station in life The question is briefly dealt with in the verses following

ब्राह्मणञ्जनियविद्यां श्रृहाणां च परन्तप । कर्माणि पविभक्तानि स्वभावत्रमवैर्गणैः ॥ ४१ ॥

41 The functions of Brahmins, Kshatriyas, Vaisyas, and of Sudras also, O Arjuna, are divided in accordance with their inborn qualities

Every society requires that a number of functions have to be carried out by its members It is not very difficult to arrive at the qualifications required of those who have to perform such functions This idea is by no means unfamiliar to the history of European philosophy Plato in his Republic drew a nicture of an ideal society, arguing from a purely abstract standpoint. There, too, he arrives at a classification of functions and of the qualities of persons fitted to perform those functions. Plato gives us the philosopher, the soldier and the labouter, and we in this country have also been taught something not far dissimilar. We need the philosopher, the statesman and the soldier, and we want also the trader and the labourer. The philosopher is required for the moral progress of society and the statesman for carrying on its corporate activities. The soldier sees that order is maintained and that the policy of the statesman is carried out. The labourer supplies the material needs of society, and the trader helps in the distribution of commodities and the maintenance of contacts with other civilisations. Plato however, believed to slaves. To him slavery was a necessary institution, and he delegated to the slaves the production of goods and all the labour needed for the well being of society. The freemen consisting of the philosophers, the statesmen and the soldiers were to live on the sweated labour of the slaves. In the fourfold classification of society mentioned here, no such differentiation between slave and freeman is made The labourers form part of the four classes into which every society may be divided and by implication are considered equally honour able citizens with the others

It is definitely stated here that the functions of the various classes in society have been distributed among them in accordance with their subservence to different gunas of prakriti. There is no reason for doubt in regard to Srt Krishnas views on the caste

Lee LXXX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

system He does not hold, as I see it, that birth alone determines caste The natural tendencies of men have also to be taken note of A man born of Brahmin parents may not be a Brahmin It is not that heredity is a negligible factor in determining our endowment The transmission of physical, mental and moral tendencies and characteristics by parents to their offspring is a factor which we cannot afford to ignore But it is not an invariable factor There is no law of the Medes and the Persians laying down that as are the parents, so shall the child be always and without exception Heredity notwithstanding children whom we can by no means regard as Brahminical may be born to Brahmin parents and Brahminical children may be born to Kshatriyas, Vaisyas or Sudras It is one's qualities that determine whether one is a Brahmin, or Kahatriya or Vaisya or Sudra And each man by performing well that function for which his nature, temperament and qualifications fit him will attain the highest These things will become clearer in the course of our study of the verses that follow, consideration of which I propose to postpone to our next class

ls vx

We were considering last week the question of the apportion ment of various functions in society to different classes in accordance with their natural qualifications and endowments. This is not the first time that this question is dealt with in the Gitta You will remember Sri Krishnas a statement in the fourth chapter that the system of four castes was created by Him in accordance with the divisions of qualities and works (IV 13). Sri Krishna with the divisions of qualities and works (IV 13). Sri Krishna refers to the topic again for the purpose of summing up His refers to the topic again for the purpose of summing up His reachings thereon. We have been taught in this chapter so far from various standpoints that we have to discharge our duties dispassionately and in a dedicated spirit. It is natural to ask thow are we to learn what our duties are? Sri Krishna's answer to this question may be found in the verses that we have to do troday.

शमो दमस्तपदशौचं क्षान्तिराजीयमेव च । शान विश्वानमास्तिन्यं ब्राह्मं कर्म स्वभावजम् ॥ ४२ ॥

42 Tranquillity, self-control, austerity, purity, forgiveness, straightforwardness, wisdom, insight and faith in God—this is the function of the Brahmin, as determined by this own nature

Sama is tranquillity of spirit, such as makes it impossible for one to become excited or perturbed by anything that may take place. It does not mean a deadened sensibility, but indicates the calm of spirit which rises victorious over our tendency to get elated at success and depressed at failure. Dama is self control, the power to overcome temptations. Saucha is physical as well as mental purity. Cleanliness of the body alone is not referred to, though this is undoubtedly included in the significance of the term. Primarily, the reference is to fre-dom of the mind from polluting thoughts. It is always possible to live a life which makes a show of purity externally but is rotten to the core within. Judged from without a man may be altogether flawless but when you come to be closely associated with him and understand the tendency of his thoughts, you may find that these thoughts are not half so pute as his correct external life led you to think.

Kshānii is forgiveness No one who is astuated by selfishness and is full of *rāga and dvesha* can be free from anger, and temaining under the away of anger, one cannot be ready to forgive It is only when we learn to meet disappointments bravely and banish hate and anger from our thoughts that we can forgive Ārṣava is straightforwardness springing from complete harmony between the inner thought and the outer act Jnana is the wisdom that guides us in our quest after the supreme good Vsyñāna may mean insight into the truth of things or it may stand for sp cislisted knowledge of arts and sciences. Wisdom and learning may lead us as easily to the Everlasting Nay as to the Everlasting Aye So, over and above all these and transfiguring them all, we must have faith in God

These qualities determine the Brahminical life. You will please note that Sri Krishna does not say that these qualities

Lec LXXX] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

appertain only to those who are of Brahminical parentage. The Gifa does not appear to me to uphold caste by birth. The natural meaning of the stanza seems to be that whoever possesses these qualifications is fit to lead the Brahminical life, whether born of Brahminical parents or not

शौर्य तेजो धृतिर्दाक्ष्यं युद्धे चाष्पपलायनम् । दानमीश्वरभावश्च क्षात्रं कर्म स्वभावजम् ॥ ४३ ॥

43 Valour, heroism, courage, cleverness, dauntlessness in battle, generosity, masterfulness—this is the function of the Kshatriya, as determined by his own nature.

Saurya is valour Tejas is the inborn power of genius, the power which overcomes all opposition, the quality which men like Alexander, Cæsar and Napoleon had We have understood the term, 'dhrsts', in the sense of will power, but it seems as though the word was used in the slightly different sense of courage here. Dakshya is skill in carrying out one's worls, resourcefulness. Napoleon had both tejas and dakshya They say that he introduced new features in military strategy He was so original in his tactics that he almost always took his enemies by surprise and inflicted on them crushing defeats, even when his forces numbered less than half or a third of those ranged against him. Flight from battle is one of the most hemous crimes for a soldier, and you are all probably aware of the fact that even to day military authorities consider desertion from the battle field a capital offence The least that can be required of the soldier is that he should not run away from his post of duty Only those should become soldiers who are confident that when the hour of struggle arrives, they will not be panic stri ken, and make a disgraceful exhibition of themselves

Isvara bhava is mastership, the power and capacity to lead and to rule. We often hear of prominent statesmen and publicists and to rule. We often hear of prominent statesmen and publicists being described as born leaders of men. Such persons must being described as born leaders of men. Such persons must be possess isvara bhava. It is not every one who is fit to rule possess isvara bhava. It is not every one who is fit to rule possess is star a bave of authority are too seft and Some persons placed in positions of authority are too seft and some persons placed in the subject of the property of the position of the po

leose-jointed. A few, however, have the knack of running the machinery like clock work. Every one working under such a master will be feeling that the master's eyes are always upon him. This power to lead men and to exercise control over them is one of the essential characteristics of the Kshatriya. The power to rule, however, may be exercised selfishly and may degenerate into tyranny. A gifted and masterful man placed in a position of authority may cause more harm to society by his selfishness than an average citizen. It is, therefore, required of rulers of men that they should be generous.

कृषिनोरक्ष्यवाणिःयं वैद्यं कर्म स्वभावजम् । परिचर्यात्मक कर्म शृष्टस्यापि स्वभावजम् ॥ ४४ ॥

44. Agriculture, cattle-breeding and commerce constitute the function of the Vatsya, as determined by his own nature. And the function of the Sudra, as determined by his own nature, is essentially one of service.

Though here only the functions of the Vaisya and the Sudra are given instead of their qualifications, we must not forget that here too it is the qualification that determines the function Those who are qualified for undertaking these functions are expected to undertake them and are styled Sudras or Vaisyas in consequence You must bear in mind that the temperament and qualities of any one are determined by the guna of prakfits dominant in his case. The nature of men may be sattvika, rajasa or tāmasa and according to the nature of the guna which is dominant, their fitness for performing particular kinds of work 15 seen to vary. The man who is predominantly under the influence of the satira guna, for instance, cannot perform the work which naturally appertants to the man of the rayasa temperament The divi ion of society into four castes is based on the differenti ation of functions arising from the variety of qualifications and tendencies It is not a division based primarily on birth Heredity of course is a factor which we have to take into consideration. There is every likelihood of children inheriting the qualities of their parents. None the less, it would be unwise to ignore instances of children being born strikingly different from their parents

Lee LXXX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

In regard to the division of society into castes, the ruling factor is the natural fitness of the component members thereof to perform the various functions that are required for its well being The function in life of any one is determined by his natural temperament and endowment, whether these are derived from heredity or otherwise, and from one's function one's duties are determined. Any other arrangement would be harmful and injurious both to society and its members. Consider the case, for instance, of one who is fitted to live a sattrika life, but who is forced to lead a rajasa life. Apart from the fact that the man would not be happy in his work and would not be able to develop his own apti udes, we have to note that such maldistribution of functions is bad economy for society It is true that we have be-n taught that none of us have any rights to the fruits of our work Srl Krishna, however, seems to recognise in some measure the title of society to the results of our labour. If the rule of fitness is neglected, the quality of the work that men turn out will become poor and society as a whole will cease to be efficient and progress v That is why Srl Krishpa insists repeatedly that our duties in life are determined by our fitness and aptitude

It may be asked whether one may not improve one's self by education or otherwise That is certainly possible All moral teaching rests on the possibility of men becoming better and better Nevertheless, we have to remember that it is not an easy thing to alter one's personality and acquire a new temperament. It may even be that the change from one temperament to another can be effected only through a series of births What is therefore, essential for us to observe as practical sociologists is not the method of moulding men to the likeness of some ideal pattern that we may admire, but to take them as they are and show them a a) to deliveran e and p-ace. That indeed is the purpose of Sti Krishna s teaching The royal road to salvation is open to all, trespective of class or caste rank or station Society requires all kinds of work, and it is foolish to make distinctions of high and low among the different kinds of work that have to be done Every kind of work is worthy and honourable and every one, Sri Krishna proceeds to teach in the next sloka, whatever his position in life and whatever the kind of function that he is discharging, can hope to attain unto the Suprem-

स्वेस्वे कर्मण्यभिरतस्संसिद्धि लभते नरः । स्वकर्मनिरतस्सिद्धि यथा विन्दति तच्छ्रणु ॥ ४५ ॥

45 Man attains beatitude by each being devoted to his own work. Hear now how he who is devoted to his work reaches success.

Social organisation requires that different kinds of work should be performed by different individuals. And since there has to be direction and control, certain positions in society are made subordinate to others Obedience, as the poet says, is the bond of rule And because in life the servant is assigned a position which is inferior to that of his master, we must not consider that the work of service rendered by the servant in his position is inferior to the work of mastery rendered by the master in his position. We are apt to consider the work of the servant less important. It is, however, wrong to hold that the work which the servant does as servant is in any way inferior to that which the master does as master This is a difficult lesson to learn, for we are all prone to confound the position of the worker with the value of his work Sri Krishna takes good care to guard us against this mistake If you are fit only for the work of the servant and for no other, by all means do that and nothing more Do not feel that the work is inferior or without value. Each man by being devoted to the work that specially appertains to him in virtue of his natural qualifications can attain salvation The term. 'samsiddhi', means perfection of accomplishment, the attainment of final release You can win moksha by doing your work in life in the right manner. It do s not matter in the least what the nature of your work is The si ie qua non for the attainment of samsiddhi is that you should do the work for which you are qualified, unselfishly and well

We may look at the question also from the standpoint of the law of equality which Srt Kṛishŋa has been asking us to adopt. If all souls are eas nitially similar to one another, and if the differences among men are derived only from the nature of their embodiments, there is little justification for perpetuating the distinctions that encourage selfishness. The nature and quality of our work, it

Lec LXXX HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

is true, are determined by the guna of prakfits dominant in us. None the less, we are not entitled to say that the work turned out by one man is inferior or superior to that of another. Every worker is great in his own place Take a watch. It consists of a number of Datts. There are the minute, the hour and the second hands, there is the main annung, and there are numerous wheels, big and small. Can You say that the work of any of these parts is inferior or superior to that of any other part? Obviously, you cannot If the watch is to work properly every part must do its job. You may look upon human society as a well ordered mechanism which is intend d to serve a definite purpose. You may call this purpose the moral and spiritual elevation of the race, or if you prefer the language of the Sankhya philosophy, you may say that the process of evolution going on in Nature is intended to culminate in the emancipation of the spirit. As the function of the watch is to indicate time. even so the function of human society is to liberate the soul from the trammels of the flesh

If the mechanism of human society is to serve this end well. there should be co-ordination among its parts. And this coordination may require the subordination of some individuals to others but the subordination must not be understood to imply that the work done by the subordinate person is inferior in value or less needed for the fulfilment of the aim of society Every kind of work is necessary if the machinery of society is to run well The false notion that the work of the Brahmin or of the Kshatriva is more important or more valuable than that of the Vaisya or of the Sudra will not stand a moment's examination Before the Brahmin can meditate and teach and the Kshatriva rule and govern they have to eat and live And for this they have to depend on the Vaisya and the Sudra who plough the land and grow the corn ludging the various kinds of work required for society by themselves you cannot say that one kind of work is superior or inferior to another

All workers have to co operate and co ordinate if society is to remain healthy properous and progressive. And for this purpose, the component members of society will have to entire into relations with one another and it so happens that the adjustments of individual relations require that some should be masters and that others should be servants. But we must always take care not to confound

the value of the work with the status of the worker. If you ask whether the work of service can give one the same kind of samsiadhs as the work of tapas or of dana, the answer is. "Yes". According to Sri Krishna, every one can attain samsiadhs by doing well and in a dedicated spirit the work for which one is qualified. Those who consider that moksha can be won only through jāāna think that the performance of one's duties in the mainer indicated here will lead one to the knowledge that alone can secure salvation.

यतः प्रवृत्तिर्भृतानां येन मर्वमिदं ततम् । स्वरम्णा तमभ्यर्च्यं सिद्धिं चिन्दति मानवः ॥ ४६ ॥

46 Man attains perfection by worshipping through the due discharge of his duty Him from whom is the evolution of all beings and by whom all this is pervaded

In this \$loka Sri Krishna explains what He means by stating that men can attain salvation by being devoted to their work Devotion to duty means nothing less than the belief that one is worshipping God by discharging one's duty Faith in God is also bound to promote the proper spirit in which one's duties ought to be discharged You may remember the beaut ful simile which looks upon God as penetrating into and supporting the universe in the manner in which the thread of a necklace supports the gems which are strung on it. You are also a vare of the manner in which the existence of God as immanent in this way and yet transcendent withal, has been established As in the case of the soul, there is a double proof adduced in support of the existence of God It may be remembered that the existence of the soul was shown to rest on a psychological analysis of the mind as well as the experience of the yogan In like manner, the existence of God was established by a philosophical analysis of the phenomena which we collectively describe as Nature, and also by the experience of God realisation The method of philosophical analysis is not fully conclusive. Reason has been pressed as easily into the service of atheism as into that of theism That is why the Gita tells us that Nature may act either as a veil, screening God from our vision, or as a luminous guide, revealing the Ged within Nature lifts her veil

to take its place, the result will be the ruin of the mechanism of the watch Each wheel has a definite function to serve, and is qualified only for serving it It will be a pronounced misfit doing any other kind of work than the one for which it is intended The position will become clear, if we consider certain functions which we all recognise as appertaining specially to certain individuals It is admitted on all hands that all men cannot write poetry, for instance, or paint pictures Even the law recognises that some kinds of contract like painting portraits cannot be discharged except by the persons that contracted to perform them Here are certain functions which fall to one's lot through natural endowment There are also instances of functions which require technical training Almost all kinds of mechanical and professional work require technical knowledge and experience. Only men possessing certain definite qualifications can be allowed to carry them out

Suppose we apply universally the principle recognised here Think of the whole society as a huge organism Every part of it is allotted a definite function in virtue of its fitness for discharging that function For the well being of the part and the welfare of the whole each part must discharge its specified function efficiently Every member of society is born to fulfil certain functions and discharge certain duties By performing in a dedicated spirit the duties that have fallen to one's lot one may hope to attain the salvation of moksha It does not matter in the least what that work is The philosopher who meditates on the riddle of existence and flowers out as the moral guide of mankind the soldier who protects society both from civil disorder and external aggression the Vaisya who distributes commodities by his commercial activities the Sudra who by his labour makes the soil yield the rich fruit of harvest-all serve God equally well by the due fulfilment of their several duties

Now please observe that nothing that I have said should make you understand that either in India or els-where society is at present run along these lines that every one in the world today is allotted his proper function and place in life. There may be ample room for reform and reorganisation of society, but the statement made here is generally applicable. The way to salvation lies through the due performance of the duties that fall to one s lot

Lee LXXXII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

through one's qualifications By doing that work, one is serving God, the source of all existence and power The golden key to unlock the doors of heaven thus lies in our own hands. If we do the work that becomes our duty, unselfishly and well we will be worshipping God in the right manner and will be able to win freedom from the bonds of karma in the end

lxxvi

Last week you may remember we dealt with the question of the distribution of the various functions that society requires for its continued existence from among its members in accordance with their natural qualifications. In dealing with this subject, Srl Krishna warned us against making distinctions of high and low in respect of the duties that men have to discharge It is certainly true that social organisation requires that there should be something like a hierarchy involving various grades and degrees of subordination among the members of society in the r relations towards one another Some have to be masters and others have to be servants and even the masters in their turn have their masters. Without some kind of arrangement providing for authoritative direction and control society cannot function as the highly complex organism that it is Even in that small and compact social unit the family the experience of mankind has found it essential to have relations of subordination and supervision among its members So long as the father continues to be in good health and of sound mind he generally controls the family The son has to be subordinare to the father, and traditionally at least the wife is subordinate to the husband, though one must not forget that the wife rules in many a home The relations stated above are by no means invariable. When the father becomes old and feeble the son has to bear the brunt of the family burden The relation of one being superior and another subordinate, of one exercising authority and another tendering obedience cannot be avoided if men are to live in groups and communities and not as isolated individuals

The fact that such relations prevail o day all over the world and will have to prevail even in the ideal conditions painted by dreamers of social u opias, must not lead us to think that the work of exercising authority is by itself superior to the work of tendering obedience. We are apt to regard the work of the commander in chief, for instance, as being more honourable than that of the soldier in the ranks. We are taught in the Gua that such ideas are wrong the intrinsic superiority of the commander in chief does not seem so obvious as it appears at first sight. What can the commander in chief do without the soldiers who fight under his orders? Nothing is so ridiculous as an army of generals without an soldiers to obey them. Wheresoever men have to work together, wheresoever joint action by men is required, it becomes necessary that authority has to be exercised by a few and obedience tend-red by others. Otherwise there cannot be coordination among the members of the community and such a thing as social life or organisation can have no existence at all

It may thus be seen that in the relations among men in society we cannot avoid the existence of master and servant. There has to be such a division of functions as to make some men exercise authority and others tender obedience. But this must not lead us to think that some sorts of work are by themselves superior or inferior to other sorts of work When the functions of men are determined by their qualifications, that is according as their embodiments are praponderatingly sattvika rajasa or tamasa we cannot say that one function is more or I-ss worthy than another The function for which any one is qualified is the most worth, so far as he is concerned No function is by itself superior or inferior excellent or wanting in excellence Every man by performing the function for which he is qualified can attain the salvation of soul emancipation and God attainm no The ultimate end attained by the philosopher the ruler of men, the trader and the servant are all the same provided each of th m und-rtakes his work on ac ount of his special fitness for it and discharges his duties efficiently and wit jour attachment

You may be temped to ask how this can be possible on account of the neural tendency to confound the rank of the worker with the kind of work that he does. Work in itself is reither high nor low, although workers may be higher or lower in rank and payer. It is so difficult or at least unusual to make this distinction that we are apt to consider the work of the sup iter.

sender as well as a carrier of messages. Indeed, one may go farther and say that work which is considered inferior in society is really more important in some respects than the work of supervision and control exercised by those who are in positions of authority The man who ploughs the land and makes the earth yield the harvest of rice and wheat may have to obey many masters and may not be able to command any one except, perhaps, his own children This may lead us to regard his work as inferior to that of the owner of the land But, after all, whose work is really more important for society? Suppose we have owners of lands without the tillers. How long can they manage to live? They will have to give up their luxurious idleness, or perhaps their preoccupation with intellectual pursuits, and till the fields themselves if they are to live at all. The positions of authority are few in the world, and they merely serve to regulate and direct work which is in itself indispensable. The maistry who is put in charge of the work of building a house, is a mere looker on He exercises control over other men and sees that each workman does his work efficiently. This is police duty more or less and we have to bear in mind that society is not all policemen. Men who exercise authority are wanted, but they do not make up the whole life of society. One may even say that the soul of society lies in those who obey The people are more than their rulers

Viewed in this light, there is no work which can be said to be superior or inferior to any other work. This important lesson is strongly emphasised throughout the Mahabharata Workers cannot help being high or low as co ordination requires subordination. Certain persons are fit to occupy positions of authority in virtue of the guias dominant in their prakfisti, and certain others are by the same token fit only to serve and obey. If a man's prakfist is primarily under the influence of the sativar guias, then he will blossom out as a philosopher and wise teacher of men. When one's prakfist is preponderatingly raligase one will assert oncested and exercise authority. If tamas is the dominant factor in one's physical constitution, then one will be fit only to obey orders. In relation to every one the work for which he is fit is the best.

Accepting this position, you may ask why certain persons are fit for one kind of work, certain others fit for another kind of

Lec LXXXI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

work and so on. Why is there no equality of talent and endowment among men? Has not the Power which rules us exhibited prejudice and partiality in distributing powers and talents unequally among us? The answer to these questions may be found, according to the Vedānta, in the law of karma. The Lord has ordained that every man shall reap as he has sown, and He sees to it that this law is properly enforced and executed. No one can gainsay that the law in itself is perfectly impartial, and that being the case, we cannot charge God with partiality. If we go on producing such karma as would give us a predominantly rajasa constitution, we may acquire the characteristic qualities that are associated with the rajorguna and become rulers of men and commanders of armies. But if we act so as to acquire the tamasa temperament, then we will become fit for the work of servants to obey authority and not to exercise it

The position of God in relation to the law of karma is somewhat like that of the magistrate who decides the cases brought up before him in accordance with the law of the land You cannot charge a magistrate with partiality for awarding different kinds of punishment to different offenders sentence one man to pay a fine and another to undergo rigorous imprisonment The reason for the difference in punishment is surely due not to the whims and fancies of the magistrate but to the different kinds of offences committed The more heinous the crime, the more severe the punishment God is like the judge, sentencing according to the nature of the crime The souls are as it were, tried by Him for the nature of the lives that they led and awarded punishments according to the nature of the burden of karma that they carry Some get off lightly, others are severely punished Those whose offences are senial are allowed to dwell in embodiments that are predominantly satterka, while souls that have strayed farther from the narrow path of duty are given rajasa or tāmasa embodiments Comparing great things with small, we may say that the soul which is endowed with a sattvika embodiment is like the man who is fined by the magistrate, while the soul in a rdjasa embodiment is like a criminal who is given a far heavier punishment such as a term of rigorous imprisonment view of the fact that the rajasa temperament tends to keep one always on the same level with no prospect of progress, we may even compare the soul in an embodiment which is preponderatingly $r\bar{a}_{j,0}$ ssa to a prisoner sentenced to perpetual imprisonment, to transportation for life The soul dwelling in a $t\bar{a}_{masa}$ body, we may compare to a man who qualifies himself for capital puqishment

Now, without the magistrate, the law cannot be enforced, and without the law, the magistrate cannot be impartial. The impartiality of the magistrate rests on the law, the working of the law tests on the magistrate God is like the executive authority who enforces the law. The kind of punishment that we bring on ourselves is in accordance with the law of karma. God is, therefore, strictly impartial, and we have made ourselves what we are by the kind of life that we have led. We cannot blame. God for the position in which we find ourselves. We must feel on the other hand that we are ourselves responsible for what we are. The responsibility of God for this consists only in His enforcing the law of karma. We may even, perhaps, venture to say that the eternal law of karma was promulgated by the Lord.

Now, suppose that I am born with only a capacity to serve Not content with that, suppose I try to acquire a position where I can exercise authority What does this kind of conduct on my part imply? It means if it means anything at all, that I do not approve of the law of karma Having sown the wind, I stand aghast at the harvest of whitlwind I murmur and grumble at the consequences that accrue from my own actions Finding fault with the law of karma, I must be understood to find fault with the Lord who carries it into effect, and to raise the banner of revolt against the divine government By doing the duty which appertains to us in virtue of our natural qualifications, we will be showing that we are loyally obeying the behests of God and are not placing ourselves in an attitude of antagonism towards His government of the universe By such harmonious adjustment of our life to the plan and purpose of God, we will win His gracious favour and he led on to the attainment of the salvation of moksha. We must know our position and keep to it as worthy and honourable citizens in the dominion of the Lord No work is higher or lower in His cyes We will not be forfeiting His regard, if we are content to do the duties that fall to our lot, even though they may appear low or inferior in the eyes of the world.

Lec LXXXI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

In doing our work in life as though it were service rendered to our Lord we have the best means for getting rid of selfishness which is the greatest enemy of man You will remember that Sti Krishna has often told us that the way of devotion to God is the best and easiest way of conquering selfishness As long as we live, we cannot but work There is a physiological as well as a psychological necessity which keeps us from utter passivity and inaction. We may consider the ultimates of metaphysics and come to the conclusion that nothing lives or moves in the universe without the power that comes from the Lord And from this, we may learn to disclaim all sense of agency in regard to the actions that we have to do and renounce their fruits altogether If it is not possible for us to reach this conclusion in this manner we may simply place ourselves in an attitude of love and devotion to the Lord One may say "I am a humble and devoted servant of the Lord In the manner in which a servant serves his master I am anxious to serve the Lord All the work that I do in life I regard as service rendered unto Him ' If ve make our relations with God characterised by sincere love and deep rooted devotion, then of itself selfishness will slink away from us

Work for its own sake can have no maning to most of ut its more or less a philosophic concept and even if we occasionally acquire in moments of intellectual clarity the power to understand it almost insurmountable difficulties are likely to stand in the way of our putting this doctrine into practice. That is why Sri Krishna for our putting this doctrine into practice. That is why Sri Krishna To the theist everything lives and moves in the universe on account of the power of the Lord. And he makes therefore, his relation to the Lord one of service duty and love. To look upon life in this manner will be perfectly in keeping with the highest truths of philosophy and at the same time it will be easier to adopt this attitude than to put into practical effect any metaphysical precept about doing our duty for its own sake

Now, let us consider once again from a slightly different standpoint the case of a person who is born with a capacity to occupy the position of a servant, but who, under the influence of the delusion that some kinds of work are superior to others, aspires to become a ruler of men Some of you may ask why one

should not be allowed to improve one's position in life. Must one end one's life where one began it? Is the ambirion to rise in life equivalent to disloyalty to God? Does loyalty to God mean nothing more than ignoble contentment with one's lot? Here, indeed, there seem to be very serious objections to the whole-scheme of thought underlying Sri Krishpa's treatment of the division of society into classes. But only apparently. For these objections apply only to those who are capable of rising in life. This implies that they have been assigned functions for which they were not qualified at the beginning of their lives, that there was a maldistribution of functions which had to be rectified later on. The very fact that these persons occupied positions of authority later in life shows that they were fit to occupy these positions but that an imperfect social economy excluded them from those functions at first.

Everyone is taught by Sri Krishna to discharge the function for which he is fit It is only when one end-avours to get into a position for which one is not fit that one can be said to rebel against the laws of God Even from the standpoint of the welfare of society it will be obvious that any maldistribution of functions in society will result in grave wastage and loss. If all are free to adopt courses of life for which they have no natural qualifications the maintenance of order in society would become almost impossible, and the corporate life of the community will tend to become chaotic and unbalanced And from the standpoint of the members of the community themselves, there would be no fixity of putpose no order and direction to life Men will flit from work to work, everywhere indifferent and inefficient. You may What if men succeeded in fields of work for which they were not qualified? Will that not be an incentive to progress? And will not such successes tend to make a society as a whole progressive? The answers to these questions, Sri Krishna has already furnished in III 35 (Vol I pp 269 275) He pro eeds to deal with them again in the stanza with which we have to begin our work to day

> श्रेयान् स्त्रधर्मो विग्रुणः परधर्मात्स्यनुष्टितात् । स्वभावनिवतं कर्म दुर्बन् नामोति किल्विपम् ॥ ४७ ॥

Lec LXXXI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

47. One's own duty, not well performed, is better (for one) than another's duty, well performed. One who performs the duty determined by one's own nature, does not incur sin

Let us try to recapitulate Sri Krishna's basic conclusions on the question of the determination of our duties It is true enough that the s-cret of duty is not easily understood And frequently there may arise conflicts of duties which may rend and torture the soul The theme of some of the most famous tragedies known to the history of dramatic art lies in a conflict of duties, in a struggle, not between a higher principle and a lower, but hetween two principles which seem equally valid, but which point in contrary directions The more sensitive a person is to the appeal of the moral law, the more liable is he to experience conflicts of duties. Nevertheless, Sri Krishna holds that our duties in life are not indeterminate. You may remember the teaching in the third chapter that the 'qualities' of prakrits operate so as to determine the 'qualities' of their correlated work. In other words, the gunas of prakfiff constituting a man's embodiment determine the nature of his work in life and his duries. In this manner, Sti Krishna shows that men's duties are determined for them by the potentialities of their own nature. As we have been taught recently, it is the duty of the man in whose prakfits the sattra guna predominates to live the sattvika form of life, even as it is the duty of the man in whose prakfits the rajo guna or the tamo guns presails to live the rajasa or the tamasa form of life as the case may be It must be noted however, that it is the larger outline of life with its basic principles and main purpose that is thus determined. Within the outline so determined, there is scope enough for choice and gradation

shown that the duries of character, Sri Krishna proceeds that one must stick to one's duty under all circumstances cannot change one's duties without in the first instance changing determinate in the nature of one's personality Seldom if ever can such a change take place. And if one attempts to change one's duties nithout changing the nature of one's being, one is trying to u like an enanging the nature of one's occupy of 15 trying to this' an instrument devised for a specific purpose for ends which it cannot

Lec LXXXI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

perfect achievement, or even from undoubted failure to success If the work is one suited for us, failures cannot weaken us, they will prove to be veritable stepping stones to success

The desire to change the nature of one's duties arises out of false notions it regard to the status of different sorts of work Once it is realised that there can be no distinction of higher and lower in regard to the various functions that society requires of its members, the tendency to hanker after some sorts of work which are ordinarily considered superior, is undermined Every kind of work is excellent in relation to the person who is qualified for it This applies universally to all legitimate functions necessary for running the mechanism of society No one can incut sin by doing the duty which he is qualified to discharge, and which the well being of society requires of him At this point, you may What about men who are called upon to perform duties which appear undesirable and blameworthy, but which none the less the present organisation of society requires? If those who perform them feel that they are in fact blameworthy why should they not renounce them in favour of some other fun tion or functions which appear less undestrable in their eyes? Sri Krishna proceeds to answer such objections thus

सहजं कर्म कौन्तेय सदोपमपि न त्यजेत् । सर्गरम्भा द्वि दोषेण धूमेनाग्निरिवावृता ॥ ४८ ॥

48 One must not give up the work for which one is fitted by nature, even though it may be attended with imperfections. For all kinds of work are enveloped by chances of blameworthiness, as fire with smoke

You may have noticed that I have translated the term, 'dosha' in the second line somewhat loosely as 'chances of blameworthiness' That appears to me to convey the sense intended If it is understood in the usual sense of evil, we are native to misunderstand the entire drift of Sri Krishpa's teachings on apt to misunderstand the entire drift of Sri Krishpa's teachings on the question of duty If we are to do the work which suits us the question of duty If we are to do the work which suits us most, be it right or wrong the robber may argue "I am fitted by nature to be a robber, and therefore I rob". The murderer may justify homicide on similar grounds, and there would be an

end to all morality and distinctions of right and wrong Clearly, Sri Krishna cannot intend to convey such an impression here

The position of Hindu philosophy on this question is well brought out in the story of Dharmavyadha, which is found in the Mahābhārata You may remember that Dharmavyadha was a butcher by trade, but was still held in great esteem as a sage and a prophet Many of us may consider that the trade in flesh is full of cruelty and sin, but if a butcher does his 10b under the belief that he is carrying out a duty, no sin can accrue to him So long as, there are flesh caters among men, society must consider the trade of the butcher legitimate and even necessary. It is not for us to, say that those who in the course of the performance of their duties, have to do work which may not under all circumstances be desirable, are incurring sin thereby The trade of the butcher was the appointed function in life of Dharmavyadha It came to him as his sahaja karma, his position in society, the environment in which he was placed his natural aptitude and the opportunities that came to him in life made him a butcher. In doing his work in life as his duty, he was incurring no sin

It is a common mistake to regard some kinds of work as high noble and pure, and others as vulgar, inferior and ignoble But as we have been often taught, work in itself is neither high nor low, neither sinful nor virtuous With every kind of work, it is possible to find some imperfection or other From the throne downwards, no position in society is free from chances of blameworthiness Take the example of a judge, conscientiously administering justice Even he is likely to err at times All his judgments however conscientiously given may not be tight Suppose such a judge sentences a man to death on the available evidence before him which seems conclusive for the time being The prisoner is hanged in due course. Then fresh evidence is discovered and new light is thrown on the case. And it is demonstrated that the man who was hanged had been innocent of the crime Under such circumstances, if the judge is a really conscientious person will he not feel that any other work would be better? Here then is a high and honourable vocation shown to possess possibilities of blameworthiness

Lee LXXXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

We may proceed in this manner and discover that practically every kind of work in the world is full of possibilities of error and sin And so, Sri Krishna teaches, because some sorts of work are considered by some to be more blameworthy than others, that is no reason why we should renounce our duties, if they happen to be in this condemned list Please observe that Sri Krishna's aroument must not be misunderstood to mean that moral depravity, selfishness and sin can be justified under any circumstances It is one thing to say that all kinds of work are beset with temptations and the risk of sin, and it is quite another to argue that, because of this, no blame attaches to those who include in error and sin The main point to note is that the dury which is determined for one by one's natural aptitudes and opportunities in life must not be given up because there is a popular delusion that it is vulgar or sinful In other words, our duties have a reference to the social environment in which we are placed All kinds of work necessary for the well being of society may become our duties, and it is wrong of us to make distinctions of high and low, vulgar and noble among these Sin does not spring from the performance of work, but from the manner of its performance

If, following Sri Rāmanuja, we understand "dosha" to mean "difficulty", the sense of the stanza would be that no kind of work should be given up because it "eems difficult or molves, hard physical labour. Even the "jānna yogin should not give up karma. Srī Sankara argues that the teaching of this santa applies only to those who have not risen to the height of the "jāna yogin lit is possible for those who are blessed with the knowled e of the Brahman to renounce all action. The taint of action lies in its Brahman to renounce all action. The taint of action lies in its belonging to the realm of the gunas of prakrifi. It is the pretogative of the soul to remain aloof from all action.

Please allow me to cop here for the present

hasii

Last week, you will remember, we were dealing with the question of the due performance of one's duties. The idea that some kinds of work are superior and others inferior, it was then shown, cannot bear serious scruting. Every kind of work in the world is capable of giving tise to error and sin. Freedom from the

bondage of karma is to be obtained not by renouncing some kinds of work as being undesirable, but by doing the duties that are ours in the right manner. What this right manner is, Sri Krishaa proceeds to describe thus

थसक्तवुद्धिससर्वेत्र जितातमा विगतस्पृद्धः । नैष्कर्म्यसिद्धिं परमां सब्नयासेनाधिगच्छति ॥ ४९ ।

49 One whose mind is without attachment in relation to all (kinds of work), who has conquered his self, and who is free from desire, attains through renunciation the supreme success of being free from the impressed tendencies of karma

Notice the force of the expression, 'sarvatra', here It seems to me to be used here in the sense of 'in relation to every kind of work' Whatever the work that falls to our lot, if we do it in the manner indicated here we will have advanced a long way towards the goal of emancipation of the soul What matters is the absence of attachment to the results of the work that we do In order that this may be possible, we must obtain complete mastery over ourselves Our will must be so strong that it must effectively guard us from straying away from the straight and rugged path of righteousness even by inadvertence The most alluring tempta tions must have no fascination for us Never must it be an instance of knowing the better and doing the worse One who is gitaiman is naturally free from the tyranny of desire. This is further emphasised by the injunction to practise sannyasa You will remember that 'sannyasa' has already been defined as 'karma phala tyaga' We have to perform all our nisata karmas, whether they seem honourable or lowly blameworthy

Arjuna had adduced reasons to show that fighting in the war was wrong and might lead to grave calamities. Here is one answer to Arjuna So long as fighting in the war was his miyata karma and so long as he discharged that duty without attachment, so long no bonds of karma could bind him. Now, it is taught in the floka that if men carry out their duties in a dedicated spirit, having won absolute mastery over themselves,

Lee LYYYII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

they will attain naishkarmya siddhi What does this expression mean? The term, 'karms', occurring here, we will have to understand in the sense of 'tasana', the impressed tendencies of, our minds arising from the nature of the lives that we lead from time to time in our embodied state of existence If the term, 'karma', is interpreted thus, then the expression, 'naishkarmyasiddhi', will mean the state of being free from all such impressed tendencies, or in other words freed im from karma bandha When we achieve this, we gain entrance, so to speak to the ante chamber to Heaven we qualify ourselves for final release Samsiddhi is the goal of salvation, while naishkarmya siddhi is the means towards the attainment of the goal Without attaining the latter, the soul cannot win emancipation for itself The epithet, 'supreme', is here applied to naishkarmya siddhi itself for the reason that it qualifies one for attaining the final destiny of the soul and indeed makes such attainment practically inevitable

We may note in passing that there are some other interpretations of this phrase. It has been taken to ref r to that attitude of meditation, which is the achievement of $j\hbar\bar{a}na$ yoga. Sańkara chārya gives two alternative views. It may mean the knowledee by means of which one realises the identity of the soul with the actionless Brahman, a knowledge which ensures freedom from allaction. Alternatively, it may mean the attainment of the state in which one remains the actionless self, the state of imminent salvation

सिर्द्धि प्राप्तो यथा ब्रह्म तथाप्नोति निरोध मे । समासेनैव कौन्तेय निष्ठा ज्ञानस्य या परा ॥ ५० ॥

50 Learn from Me in brief, O Arjuna, how one who has attained (the) success (referred to in the previous verse) attains thereafter the Brahman—which is the supreme culmination of knowledge

Here begins the consideration of another interesting question Having obtained naishkarmya siddhi, how does one proceed to attain samsiddhi which is the next higher step, and indeed the consummation of the wisdom of the philosopher? The attainment of moksha is the attainment of the Brahman We need not pause to decide whether the attainment of the Brahman means becoming

one with Him, or attaining a state where one realises one's kinship with the Brahman, or where one 15, 50 to speak, never parted from this company and renders constant service unto Him Differences of opinion on this question are found among the Vedāntins, but all subscribe to the view that the attainment of the Brahman 15 the supreme goal of life, and that the achievement of naishkarm! siddh leads on to it. In the succeeding three flokas, Sti Krif explains briefly how one may achieve this supreme

बुद्धवा विशुद्धया युक्तो भृत्यातमानिष्वस्य च । शन्दादीन्विषयांस्यत्वा रागहेषी व्युद्ध्य च ॥ ७१ विविक्तसेपी त्रष्याशी यनप्राक्षायमानसः । भ्यानयोगपरो नित्यं वैराग्यं समुपाधित ॥ ७२ ॥ अहद्वारं यत्तं वर्षे कामं क्षोधं परिष्रद्धम् । विमुन्य निर्मानद्शान्तो ब्रह्मभूयाय करपते ॥ ७२

Leg LXXXII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

in the way of equal emphasis being laid on the ethical qualifications enumerated here by all the three schools of *Velantic* thought. And these we may now proceed to consider

First of all those who live the life which leads on to Brahma prapti through naishkarmya siddhi must have purity of mind. Their thoughts must be free from the taint of sensuality and selfishness. If our mind is pure, then our dhriti will be sattviki and will help us to control ourselves. We shall then be able to exercise mastery over our senses. We shall not be led away by the allurements of the pleasures of the senses we shall be liberated from our bondage to desire and aversion. In order to achieve this self conquest we must spend some time in introspective contemplation. Whiling away our time chattering with friends or acquaintances is hardly likely to help us in this direction. To enable us to concentrate freedom from viksheha or distraction is clearly essential. The mind that is unfit for con entration is also unfit for self realisation and God real sation.

Love of solitude however has its own dangers. It may make us selfish misanthropes devoid of all interest in the welfare of our neighbours. We have therefore to steer clear of the two extremes. We must lead a life of service but also whenever possible we must find a little time to meditate on the nature of our souls and the auspierous qualities of the Lord who is the fountain of all love and mercy. The art of yoga will be difficult for any one who indulges in any kind of excesses. Our food must be I ght and moderate. The body the tongue and the mind mist be brought under the stern control of the will. We must not give way to excitements or gusts of pagsion which unbalance the natural equilibrium of our lives and land us in folly or crime.

We are asked to be constantly engaged in meditation. The value of meditation as a great discipline in life is not recognised so undely as it deserves. It helps us to cultivate sympathy and selflessness. If we train ourselves to think of tho e around us as our friends we will be able to curb hatred and jealousy and participate in the happiness and grief of others. Towards the good our attitude must be one of appreciation. In the case of the wicked we must see that, while we condemn the sin we do not drive the sinner beyond the pale of sympathy. If we take up

one with Him, or attaining a state where one realises one's kinship' with the Brahman, or where one is, so to speak, never parted from this company and renders constant service unto Him Differences of opinion on this question are found among the Vedāntins, but all subscribe to the view that the attainment of the Brahman is the supreme goal of life, and that the achievement of naishkarmya-siddin leads on to it. In the succeeding three Slokas, Sri Krishna explains briefly how one may achieve this supreme perfection.

बुद्धवा बिगुद्धवा बुक्तो धृत्यात्मानन्नियम्य च । दान्द्रादीन्विययांम्यक्या रागद्वेषी व्युक्तम्य च ॥ ५१ ॥ विविक्तसेनी लघ्याद्वी यनवाद्वायमानसः । ध्यानयोगपरो निन्यं वैराग्यं समुराधितः ॥ ५२ ॥ श्रद्धद्वारं वर्षं कामं कोधं पग्निद्धम् । विमुन्य निर्मेमदशान्तो ब्रह्मभृताय क्रव्यते ॥ ५३ ॥

51.3 He who is endowed with a pure mind, who controls himself by the power of his will, renouncing sound and other objects of sense, and casting aside desire and aversion, who resorts to solitude, who ears lightly, who has his speech, body and mind well under control, who is ever engaged in the paga of meditation, who holds fast to detachment, giving up egoism, violence, pride, desire, anger and property, who is free from the sense of possession and is tranquil—he attains the Brahman

I may note at the outset that the phrace which I have rendered as 'he attains the Brahman', meant liveralls, 'he becomes the Brahman Only one school of Veddatte thought holds that it the state of mobiha the soul realises its identity with the Brahman Those teachers of Veddata who conceive differently of the ultimate destiny of thosoil, interpret the sphrass in accordance with their stews According to Ramanijschityra, the terroi, 'brahman', means the aiman in this context, and the expression, 'brahman' brugdya kalpata', must be understood thus 'the realises the true nature of his 'oul, freed from all bondage. Madin ichiarya asys that the phrace, 'brahma bhiliya', means convarint contemplation of the Brahman These differences of opinion do not stand

Leg. LXXXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

in the way of equal emphasis being laid on the ethical qualifications enumerated here by all the three schools of *Vedāntic* thought.

And these we may now proceed to consider.

First of all, those who live the life which leads on to Brahma-prāpii through naishkarmya-sidhi must have purity of mind. Their thoughts must be free from the taint of sensuality and selfishness. If our mind is pure, then our dhriit will be sătivik and will help us to control ourselves. We shall then be able to exercise mastery over our senses. We shall not be led away by the allurements of the pl-asures of the senses; we shall be liberated from our bondage to desire and aversion. In order to achieve this self-conquest, we must spend some time in introspective contemplation. Whiling away our time chattering with friends or acquaintances is hardly likely to help us in this direction. To enable us to concentrate, freedom from viksheha or distraction is clearly exsential. The mind that is unfit for concentration is also unfit for self-trealisation and God-realisation.

Love of solitude, however, has its own dangers. It may make us selfish misanthropes, devoid of all interest in the welfare of our neighbours. We have, therefore, to steer clear of the two extremes. We must lead a life of service, but also, whenever possible, we must find a little time to meditate on the nature of our souls and the auspicious qualities of the Lord who is the fountain of all love and mercy. The art of yoga will be difficult for any one who indulges in any kind of excesses. Our food must be light and moderate. The body, the tongue and the mind must be brought under the stem control of the will We must not give way to excitements or gusts of passion which unbalance the natural equilibrium of our lives and land us in folly or crime

We are asked to be constantly engaged in meditation. The value of meditation as a great discipline in life is not recognised so widely as it deserves. It helps us to cultivate sympathy and selfiessness. If we train ourselves to think of those around us as our friends, we will be able to curb hatred and jealousy, and our friends, we will be able to curb hatred and jealousy, and participate in the happiness and giref of others. Towards participate in the happiness and giref of others. Towards the good, our attitude must be one of appreciation. In the case of the wicked, we must see that, while we condemn the sin, we do the wicked, we must see that, while we condemn the sin, we do not drive the sinner beyond the pale of sympathy. If we take up

such an attitude, our lives will be characterised by friendliness, kindness, peace and harmony. To cultivate this attitude, meditation will be of immense help. It teaches us self-control and it enables us to look upon our neighbours in the light in which we are prone to look on ourselves. This moral discipline leads us to samadhi, makes us happy and places us in harmony, with our environment. We are then asked to eschew violence and pride, give up the sense of agency and possession. We must never think of ourselves as great or good, strong or perfect. Finally, we are asked to praetise sannyasa and yydga, that is, to give up kdmya-karmas completely and perform our nijata karmas without attachment.

It may have struck most of you that the qualifications for attaining the Brahman enumerated above can be acquired only by those who have succeeded in the practice of the soga which SrI Krishna has been teaching throughout the whole of the Gitā involves the practice of samatva, meditation, and restraint of the senses, and the performance of duties without attachment Often, critics whose sole concern seems to be fault finding tell us that Hinduism is too speculative, that it has little relation to conduct and putity in life, that it makes the achievement of salvation dependent on mere wisdom. This charge, I am convinced, is baseless The Upanishads declare that none, however wise, can attain the Brahman, if there is no freedom from evil-doing view is reiterated by Sri Krishna who makes it clear here that for becoming the Brahman or becoming like unto the Brahman, the ethical qualifications mentioned above are absolutely essential. Most of these, perhaps, will be comprised in sattviki buddhi and sattvikt dhriti. But Sri Krishna is anxious that we should commit no mistake and has spared us no details. M re wisdom. theretore, cannot lead us to attain the Brahman Not that the acquisition of wisdom is unnecessary, but that something else is also wanted More than knowledge, character and conduct count he who is wanting in purity of life and conduct is unfit to attain the Brahman however extensive his knowledge and however profound his wisdom

Srt Kjishqa now proceeds to delineate further characteristics of one who lives a life free from evil doing and full of peace and harmony

वसमृतः प्रसम्नारमा न शोचित न काङ्कृति । समस्सर्वेषु भूतेषु मङ्गक्ति स्थते पराम् ॥ ५४ ॥

54. (Such a person) having attained the Brahman and being tranquil in spirit, neither sorrows nor desires Being alike to all beings, he acquires supreme devotion to Me.

The term 'brahma bhūta', which occurs in the śloka literally means one who has become the Brahman or become like unto the Brahman ' Nevertheless it does not stand here for one who has attained the ultimate salvation of moksha whether that state of final release is understood as involving the realisation by the soul of its identity with the Absolute or not. It is not the mukias astha that is referred to. On the other hand it seems clear that the verse is dealing with one who is desirous of attaining moksha and is qualifying himself therefor I may refer you in this connection to the use of the word in a not dissimilar sense in V 24 (Vide Vol I, pp 526 7) You may remember that in that context I drew your attention to the views of advastins and those who differ from them on the exact significance of the term. While Sankarāchārya interprets it as meaning having b come the Brahman even while alive here' another commentator not less eminent, understands it as indicating the unlimited condition of the mind of the sogin who has attained self realisation and God realisation To become the Brahman we oncluded then is to become free, as far as possible fron those limitatio s which are imposed on the soul in consequence of its being imprisoned in a material body. And it is needless to point out to you that self realisation and God realisation are well capable of bestowing on one this kind of freedom from limitations to a very large extent

You may also recall the declaration of the *Upanishads* that when all the desires whi h are found in the heart of one are relinquished then the mortal becomes the immortal and attains the *Brahman* here. That is, when the material and other limitations to which the spirit is ordinarily subjected are reduced to the vanishing point, as happens in the case of such a 20gin, then it is a necessary consequence that he becomes like unto the *Brahman* in

his state of self realisation and God realisation Naturally enough, such a person who is in this manner fitting himself for becoming the Brahman or becoming like unto the Brahman, will lead a life which is illumined by sattorks buddh and directed by sattorks dhfits. Free from all attachment to the things of this world, he cannot feel any grief, nor be subject to any desire the will be free from raga and dvesha

We are next informed that he will also be alike in relation to all beings We may understand this in two ways Having succeeded in self realisation and being qualified to attain Godrealisation, he can find nothing in this world of the least value when compared with the highest object of attainment which is already looming on the horizon of his vision. When once the realisation of God becomes even distantly visible to one's inner eye, nothing else can spur one's ambition or propel one's desire It is as though the values of the world did not count in the utler condemnation that overtakes them in the light of religious experience Among many insignificant things, it serves no purpose to grade and evaluate If, as we are sometimes asked to do by mathematicians, we look upon the zero as standing for something infinitesimally small, we may find that in several cases the symbol zero, may represent different quantities Nevertheless, for all practical and even mathematical purposes, all zeroes are equaly insignificant. To one who is blessed with divine vision, the values of the world will all appear equally trivial and unworthy of serious attention He will no more distinguish between them than we are likely to choose between one zero and another

Another way of understanding the samatva referred to here may also be noted. I am referring to the rule of samatra arising from the experience of self realisation and God realisation. You all know, that self-realisation demonstrates to us that the soul is really existent, that it is immutable and immortal as contradistinguished from the body which is mutable and mortal. When this distinction is learnt we understand that the soul is the truly living and enduring part of us, and that the body is only a ceaselessly changing and unessential attribute. The soul in every being can be thus seen to be the basic reality. And the soul of one being is not different from the soul of another, judged as soul. The difference between a dog and a man is not due to the soul of the

once we get a glimpse of the nature of God through direct personal experience, we may at times feel disposed to believe that it would be better to go on serving the God whom we have realised by remaining, if need be, one step behind the goal, than to become one with Him, if that be the goal Many a bhakta, while believing in the goal of merging one's identity in God as the highest purpose of all philosophy and all spiritual effort, has nevertheless been sufficiently intoxicated with the madness of "I will not strive to reach the goal For bhabts to declare when I do reach there, I cease to be distinct from Him whom it is bliss to serve " You may thus see that this great bliss of supreme devotion to God may well be conceived as the highest goal before humanity, or as others think, it may be a step behind the goal It is immaterial, however, to which view we subscribe, for even those who may be looked upon as being one step behind the goal, are content with their state as perfect in i.self. and do not want to proceed further Enough has been said to enable us to distinguish between para bhakti which constitutes an ideal in itself and sadhana bhakts which helps us in our moral struggle against selfishness and leads us on to naishkarmva-siddhe

भक्तया मामभिजानाति याचान्यश्चासि तस्त्रतः । ततो मां तस्त्रतो झात्वा त्रिशते तदनन्तरम् ॥ ७० ॥

55. By (this supreme) devotion, he understands Me truly, who I am and how great Then, knowing Me, as I am in reality, he thereafter enters into Me

The realisation of God through devotion is something different from the reasoned conclusions of apeculative philosophy on the existence and nature of God. Our mind breaks down utterly when taking the measure of God. It is related in our escriptures how the *age Bahva, being questioned about the Brahman by Vashkalin, said to him, "Learn the Brahman, O fitend," and became silent. When the question was repreated again and again. Bahva explained to Vashkalin. "I am teaching you indeed, but you do not understand. Silent is that Self." The highest wisdom must recognise its own limitations and confess its impotence in the presence of God. And so the fishis have declared that words and thoughts turn round the Brahman without finding It, and that we

Lec. LXXXIII) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

can describe it only as nets, nets, (not this, not this) The realisation of the bhakta, however, has all the utality and sundness of direct personal expresence. There is nothing nebulous or hary, inconclusive or indefinite about it. The experience may in itself be indescribable, but it is not the less certain on account of it.

Sri Krishna then goes on to state that thos- who know Him truly through devotion will enter into Him What does this ertrance into God mean? By some it is understood as implying the loss of separate identity from God in the state of moksha There are however, others who believe that the personality (or the individuality) of the soul is not lost in the state of moksha Their views, perhaps, will become clear, if you will all wime to make use of a similitude Suppose you enter a city Do you then become the city itself? Surely not You become, it is true, part of a larger social unit, but your individuality is not lost Your citizenship, with its rights and duties, privileges and liabilities, may be a new feature about your life, but you are not reduced to nothingness by becoming a citizen In a similar way, we may look upon moksha as the acquisition of the citizenship of the City of God In the state of final emancipation, you become endowed with divine qualities and get directly related to God However we understand this final goal of all human pursuit, whether it is losing one's identity by becoming one with God, or becoming closely and intimately related unto Him, we have to note that the supreme devotion which has been described above helps us to reach this goal It may in itself be taken as the goal, for once we are imbued with this bhakts, the next higher stage, if there be one, is inevitably reached

Please allow me to conclude here our work for the day

- lxxxiii /

We were dealing last week with what Sti Krishpa described as part blackit or supreme devotion. We saw that it is devotion pursued as an end in itself and thus diff-rentiated from devotion practised with ulterior motives. Sti Krishpa now proceeds to deal with the day to day life of one who is imbued with such supreme devotion, more especially with his attitude towards work.

सर्वेकमीण्यपि सदा कुर्याणो मद्भवपाश्रय । मन्त्रसादादवामोति शाश्वतं पदमन्ययम् ॥ ५६ ॥

56 Even though he may be doing all kinds of work, he attains through My grace the eternal imperishable seat, being dependent on Me

Here again there is a reference to the old, old question How are we to live and labour so as to be free from the taint of karma? If every action leaves its impress behind, and the cumulative effect of all our actions is to imprison the soul, it seems at first sight that the easiest and most obvious way of deliverance is abstinence from action. But as a matter of actual fact it is not possible to remain completely mactive. It is a law of our being that we must work-work being understood in the broad sense in which it is referred to in the Gsta-as long as we live And so we must find out a way of work which will yet not taint and bind our souls For achieving this purpose, Sri Krishna asks us to restrain our natural tendencies in the direction of desire and pleasure, and to realise through mental concentration and medita the nature of the soul self realisation is bound to lead us to the practice of samatra When the next higher stage in the practice of yoga is reached, and the reality of God is discovered through direct experience, then we will come to feel that there can be nothing more worthy of being the purpose of our life than service unto God We have to carry on our work in the world which is almost wholly impelled by prakrits, as service rendered unto the Lord This may be done either by imagining that our work in life is of such a kind or by actually feeling that every work that we do is nothing other than an opportunity for paying our homage and affirming our fealty to the sovereign lord of the IIIIIVerse

Between the conception of our life as one continuous service rendered to God as a matter of mental bidvand, and the realisation of this fact as a matter of prati, askabdnubhava, there is a vast difference and it is this difference which distinguishes sādhana bhakis from sadhi, a bhakis. Allow me to make clear this distinction. Suppose it comes to my knowledge that a certain

Lec LXXXIII) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

person wants to injure me. There is a natural tendency to reciprocate the attitude, but I am taught by all religions to behave in quite a different manner I am really asked not to regard him as an enemy. If I am really living the saltvika life which is so strongly and so repeatedly recommended for our adoption in the Glia, I must so adjust my relations to him as if I looked upon him as a friend. I have to do this by exercising compulsion on my mind, by forcing it to regard him as other than what he actually is This kind of mental effort is bhāvanā, and it helps us to ali ist our relations to men and God. Through the power of bhavana We may consider good men as bad and bad men as good, though perhaps we are more likely to do the former than the latter Yogins practise bhat and It is said, for instance, that they will not differentiate between gold and a clod of earth Put a piece of gold and a clod of earth into the hands of a sogin He will spon taneously think that gold is mud and that mud is is gold Through the influence of bhazana, ideas become potent, and affect our hehaviour Prejudice and predilection arise out of it distrust or dislike of 2 man to whom you are introduced or affection towards one to whose faults you turn a blind eye-both spring from the power of bhavand to colour the resulty of things

Now, when we practise sādhana bhakti, we discharge our duties as though the performance of our duties was service to the Lord I say advisedly, "as though it was service to the Lord" For one who practises sadhana bhakts has not real sed that the performance of one's duties in life is actually service to the Lord, he merely looks upon it as such And you know that the process of Icoling upon things as other than what they are is bhavand. To him the p-rformance of duties of itself does not appear as service to Gol he consciously regards it as such Service to God is the result of his bhavana But if one has attained the state in which one is fit for the practice of para blakts then no bhaoand is necessary Life itself becomes para blakts then no bhaoand is necessary Life itself becomes service rendered unto God The discharge of our duties does not service rendered unto God appear to be something different which has to be viewed by an effort of will as service to God Para bhakts, therefore, gives us the direct personal experience, whereby we realise that everything that we do in life is nothing other than service to God

If one carries out his duties in this manner through this supreme devotion, then his bhakts becomes entirely dependent on God He aspires after God and at the same time relies on His abounding grace to reach the goal God becomes in his case both the upaya and the upeya, the means and the end Relating himself to God in this manner, such an aspirant engages himself in all kinds of activities He makes no distinctions of high and low, superior and inferior, among men, because he never confounds the rank of the worker with the nature of his work Masters and servants there must be in society Obedience, as the poet says, is the bend of rule All transactions (vyavahāra) require provision for superordination and subordination For the sake of running the machinery of society, these distinctions are necessary If you get rid of one kind of distinction, another is sure to take its place As I have often said, there can be no coordination without subordination There are thus good reasons for the existence of masters and servants in society But need we extend the distinction to the kinds of work that they do? Must we look upon the work of mastery as by itself superior to the work of service? The answer is 'No' All work is equally fit to be performed as service to God. For He is the source of all power and all action It is through the power of God that we all do our bits of work are what we are, because of the laws that He has promulgated is true, of course, that we are the architects of our destiny through the operation of the law of karma, but it is in accordance with His will that the law of karma is working

Everything that we do or achieve is, therefore, done and achieved by us through the power which we derive from Him who is the source of all power. If we reject the work that falls to our lot in accordance with our endowment and opportunities in life and yearn after some vocation unsuited to us, then in spirit at least we shall be setting as rebels against God and showing a "will most incorrect to heaven." So even from the standpoint of street thesities, pholosophy and reasoning, we are bourd to lead our lives under the belief that all our power to do and date is derived from Him, and that, therefore, we must do our work in lite as service rendered unto God.

Actions performed in this spirit cannot give rise to farma. For what is karma? It is the internal samskara or impression

Lee LXXXIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

left behind by our actions, and as we have learnt, it is the potency of these internal impressions which compels the soul to become incernal impressions which compets the source As we incarnated from time to time in different embodiments. have made a distinction between the worker and the work that he does, even so we must distinguish between work and that which produces karma The Upanishads clearly teach that work itself does not cause the clinging of the soul to the body, but that the attitude of the mind with which work is done is the cause of the bondage or the mind with which work is done is the soul. The mind which is free from attachment of all kinds becomes the means for the liberation of the soul even as the mind which is sakāmis or associated with desire is certain to ensure bondage for the soul Work cannot cause bondage, it is taught, if the disposition with which it is done is free from attachment to the results thereof

Various methods have been suggested for overcoming the various methods have been suggested for overcoming the strained to the results of antural tendency of our minds to become attached to the results of a strained tendency of our minds to become attached to the results of a our work You may remember the recommendation to realise the part played by prakrits in the performance of work 15 one way of undermining ahahkāra and mamakara also remember the precept to carry on our work under a sense More desirable than these is the method of bhakts yoga. More desirable than these is the all actions is God which helps us to realise that the agent of all actions is God When the agency of our deeds belongs to God, we cannot have any feelings of egoistic self importance or any sense of property The man who, through the practice of para bhakis is able to realises as a matter of direct personal experience that the true agent of all his deeds is God who is in him as well as in other b-ings, recognises that he is a mere instrument in the hands of God

It is possible for a labourer to qualify himself for practising it is possible for a isopurer to quanty and for a chandala as much as this kind of life equally with a king and for a chandala as much as this kind of life equality with a king and so both or rank have any a Brahmin No distinctions based on birth or rank have any Men we realise that God is the true agent of the hands of God When we realise and you is the free agent of our deeds and that we are tools intended for carrying out His meaning in this context our deeds and that we are tools institute for carrying out His our deeds and that we are toolsed by even the shadow of purposes, then we will not be troubled by even the shadow of purposes, then we will not use thousand of strachment, unless it be that we feel the supreme satisfaction of attachment, unless it and well. As a straight and well are the straight and the straight and the straight and the straight are straight as the straight are strai attachment, unless it be that and well. As a matter of fact, having served our God effectively and well. having served our God enectively and the satisfaction will come to we are told by our great teachers that this satisfaction will come to we are told by our great textures whether one wishes for it or not, one who lives this kind of life, whether one wishes for it or not, but one must not long for it. For gloating over this satisfaction or even hankering after it is calculated to make one selfish and self complacent. However this may be—and most of us are far enough from the state when this question will assume practical interest—the point to note is this. If we practise para bhakit, and realise as a matter of direct personal experience that we are mere instruments in the hands of God, then we can engage ourselves in all sorts of activities and yet 'b- free from the bondage of karma.

We then reach a state which is everlasting through the grace of God Notice the force of the expression, "mat prass dati," (through My grace) here. If we are mere instruments in the hands of God, can we ever achieve anything? Can the axe cut the tree by itself? There must be a wood cutter and when he wields the axe, it cuts the tree. Or to vary the analogy, no machine can work without the help of the energy which runs it. If the steam engine is deprived of coal and water, it will cease to work. No instrument by itself can accomplish anything. There must be a power behind the instrument, a propelling energy which gets work out of it. If it is clear that no instrument can achieve anything by itself, we can see how very wrong we are in attributing credit to an instrument for the achievements wrought through it.

Take the case of a great wartior, wielding a powerful sword, with which he succeeds in working great havoe in many battles. Suppose he becomes particularly attached to the sword thinking. "It is this trusty blade that has brought me success and fame in war. I must keep it as my most valued possession." And to show the affection that he felt towards the sword, let us suppose, he puts it in a jewelled scabbard. Now the value of the scabbard, the fame that the sword derives from its jewelled sheath—are all these due to the intrinsic excellence and wor hof the sword? That cannot be for the sword is little more than an ins rument to serve the ends of the warrior.

We are in relation to God what the sword is in the hands of the warrior. If the sword serves its master well, he becomes pleased with it and puts it in a valuable scabbard. If we do our duties in life believing and perhaps a tually realising in experience that we are mere instruments in the hands of God and that we are

Lec LXXXIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

called upon to do our duties as service rendered unto Him, then God will become pleased with us and, out of His abounding grace, will find for us a home in a world which is free from decay or destruction. When we realise a place in the sphere of the enduring and everlasting life of the splitt, then we will feel more than ever that the honour and privilege attained by us is due to His tractionsness in having considered us, insignificant as we are, worthy of His berastice.

As we have had many occasions to note, we cannot modify to the slightest extent the destiny which God has appointed for the world, whether we live our lives well or ill The only choice before us is to adjust ourselves harmoniously or inharmoniously to the gigantic machinery of the universe By an inharmonious adjustment, we do not affect Him or His plans in the least but succeed only in contriving ruin for ourselves Let all the bad men in the world band together and say "We are so many so strong and powerful Let us try and see if we cannot modify God's government of the universe to our own selfish advantage or pleasure" Do you think there is the least chance of their Succeeding in their conspiracy? It is simply impossible. They will be only dashing their heads against a rock the rock of ages in fact If, on the other hand we adjust ourselves harmoniously to the machinery of the universe and feel in our heart of hearts that we have little capacity to serve the ends of God if we do out allotted duties in life under the conviction that we are mere tools in the hands of God then we may become worthy of His favour And when He is pleased He may give us a place in the enduring and everlasting life of the spirit even as the wielder of the sword, when it serves him well, honours it by putting it in a valuable scabbard

We have now seen how those who are imbued with para bhakis spontaneously and instinctively see all the activities of life bhakis spontaneously and instinctively see all the activities of life as service rendered unto God Naturally enough they are not asservice the fetters of kirma, whatever activities they may affected by the fetters of kirma, whatever activities they may engage in They do not feel any senae of agency in relation to engage in They do not feel any senae of agency in relation to engage in They do not feel any senae of agency in relation to engage in They do not feel any senae of agency in relation to the Lord Srt Krishpa asks Arjuna to with supreme devotion to the Lord Srt Krishpa asks Arjuna to follow the example set before him. He says

चेतसा सर्वकर्माणि मयि सन्नयस्य मत्परः । वृद्धियोगमपाश्चित्व मचित्तस्यततं भव ॥ ५७ ॥

57 Making over all work unto Me with a discriminating intelligence, holding Me to be the Supreme Being and seeking a state of mind conductive to union (with Me), concentrate your mind on Me always

We have discussed more than once what dedication of all actions to God means (vide III 30 IX 27 etc.) It can signify nothing more than the making over of all our assumed agential rights and responsibilities unto God. for we can never free ourselves from the obligation of doing our duties in life God is the source of all life and the fountain of all energy As such He is the ultimate agent of all work and the supporter of all life in the universe In a very real sense, therefore, we are mere instruments through whom flow the life and energy of the Divine This must be realised not as a mere intellectual conviction, but with the whole of our being. When we realise this fact in this manner, and in consequence are ever mindful of God, the daily routine of life assumes the grandeur of work rendered in the cause of the Lord Nothing that we do or have to do is too mean or trivial to be treated as part of the service due to God When we surrender outselves to God in this manner. His grace will protect us in all difficulties and lead us on to the final goal This is what we are told in the next stanza

मधित्तस्सर्भदुर्गाणि मन्त्रसादात्तरित्यसि ।

58 Ever thinking of Me, you will cross all obstacles with My grace

You may remember the famous stanza in which Sri Krishna, promises to look after the welfare of all those who are constantly devoted to Him (IX 22). It is a false sense of our own importance that makes us think that we are the architects of our own fortune. In one sense of course, we are what we have made ourselves to be, a sum, so to speak of the good and the evil we have done in the past. But life will not be life, if there is no scope for a certain

Lec LXYYIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

amount of contingency, for some unexpectedness and unpredictableness about it. The very fact that we are free—though perhaps within certain well defined limits—indicates this, and because of this the ship of life will have to voyage across unchartered seas And here, it is the guiding hand of God alone that can save us. Not otherwise than through the grace of God may we obtain Not otherwise than through the steadfastness that can take us to our solace in our sorrows, and the steadfastness that can take us to our soal indeed, the salvation of soul-emancipation is a gift of God. Our duty is to be devoted to Him, looking upon such devotion itself as the end and aim of life. The grace of God will project us then both here and hereafter.

···अथ चेत्वमद्दकाराध श्रोष्यमि विनङ्गश्यसि ॥ ५८ ॥

यद्यहङ्कारमाध्रित्य न योत्म्य इति मन्यसे । मिथ्येप व्यवनायस्ते प्रकृतिस्त्वां नियोदयति ॥ ५२ ॥

स्वभावजेन कौन्तेय नियद्धस्त्वेन कर्मणा । कर्तु नेच्छिस यन्मोहारकरिष्यस्यवदोऽिष तत् ॥ २० ॥

- 58 . If, however, out of egoism, you do not listen, you will be ruined.
- 59 If, out of pride, you think, "I will not fight", this determination of yours is futile (For) Nature will compel you to fight
- 60 You are bound by your own natural born karma, O Arjuna That which out of ignorance you desire not to do, that 'you will do even without self-control
- In these verses the position of Arjuna is succinctly summed up and the alternatives before him clearly outlined. You may remember that SrI Kṛishqa began His teaching by pointing out to Arjuna that his sorrow at killing his own kith and kin was misplaced. For the souls being immortal, he could not slay, nor could his enemies in battle be slain. What was essential in them all would survive the chances of war. Sri Kṛishqa followed this

up by showing the cause of the bondsge of the immortal soul in the mortal prison-house of matter. Every action of ours tends to produce its own clinging samske an the shape of papa or punya, and this it is which binds the soul. If we are to be free from the fetters that our actions forge for our souls, we must do our work in life without selfish attachment of any, kind to the results of our actions. Arjuna is then called upon to do his duty as a soldier in the war being waged for the vindication of justice and righteousness on the holy plains of Kurukshetra.

Let us note earefully the reasoning that Sri Krishna adopts for persuading Arjuna to play his part in the war. By birth and breeding Arjuna was a soldier, inheriting the Kshatriya blood of countless generations. And here was a war between the forces of light and darkness, between justice and injustice. Arjuna was one of those on whom fell the responsibility of taking the decision to declare hostilities. Now he was being called upon to fulfil his duties in the cause of justice. There could not be even the shadow of a doubt on this point, for as a special favour, God was pleased to show Arjuna His universal form and demonstrate to him the rights and wrongs of the question Because the duty of killing his kith and kin was unpleasant, was it right on the part of Arjuna to fight shy of war and retire from the field of battle? What possessed him for the moment was an ignorant and selfish pessimism which tempted him to indulge in the luxury of sentimental self pity Veiled and disguised selfishness was at the heart of his objection to fight-aversion to the unpleasant task of killing many whom he liked or respected or who were bound to him by ties of blood, fear that his participation in such a fratricidal war might burden him with sin. He was rationalising and moralizing his weakness by giving it grandiose names such as love of humanity, dislike of temporal victory and so forth

Let us try to see what would have happened, if, overcome by the subtle egoism. Arjuna had turned his back on the battle feld and betaken himself to a life of mendeant ascetusism. In the first place, how long would that life have lasted? Springing, so to speak, from the froth and bubble that floated on the cuttent of his sprittual life Arjuna's tarrāgya would have met with a speedy and ienoble end. Nature, as they say, will assert herself. The fever of war would stir his blood, the taunts of his enrinles

Lec LXXXIII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

would rouse his wrath Before long, he who declined to take up arms on account of his love of mankind, would have returned to the fray, mad with anger and overcome by the contagious enthusiasm of war And behind this return to the battle field would lie the hurt to his vanity and a senseless acquiescence in the passions of the mob, motives tainted through and through with pride and selfshness

So, then, sooner or later, whether he liked it or not, Arjuna would be fighting. A choice of motives alone is open to him Hearkening to the words of Sri Krishna reflecting on his duty and on the commands of God, he might fight selflessly and achieve salvation. Or out of a selfish desire to shirk an unpleasant duty, he might retire temporarily from the battle only to return again, spurred on by the fever of war and the taunting challenge of his enemies. In this case, doing the same work, namely, fighting in the war, he will forge for himself fresh fetters of karma. These in brief are the alternatives before Arjuna.

Emphasis has been laid in these verses on the praktifi of Arjuna Lest we should forget the lesson previously taught, that, while praktifi is the immediate impeller of all work, God is the ultimate agent thereof, Sti Krishpa reiterates

ईश्वरम्सर्वभूतानां हदेशेऽर्जुन तिष्ठति । भ्रामयन्सर्वभूतानि यन्त्रास्टानि मायया ॥ ६१ ॥

61. The Lord is seated in the region of the heart of all beings, O Arjuna, revolving all beings, mounted on the mechanism (of the body) by means of His wonderful power.

We all tend to act according to our own nature, but beyond the praktit, that we have made for ourselves by our past, there is God who is the source of all power and activity in the universe Our physical constitution is dominated by certain tendencies and qualities, in strict accordance with the law of karma. And in turn our actions arise from our physical and psychological endowment, whether acquired or inherited. They belong, so to speak, to the realm of kisherra, of something which is not spirit. But praktit, disso lated from purisha, is safe in nett. And the

self in us is akin to, or as some think, identical with the Supreme Soul of the universe If we look far enough into the cave of our heart, we shall find the hidden Ancient to whom we owe our very existence Our bodies are like machines, skeletal structures of bone and muscle, nerve and brain The spirit that animates us and makes us conscious and alive is of the nature of the divin-But for the divinity within us, which makes all the world one, there will be no life and no thought in the universe. The energy that makes the mechanism of the body move and think and feel, the power that drives it. is derived from the Lord Himself, who constitutes the very foundation of our spiritual life. Thus, our actions are determined in the first instance by the guna of prakrits dominating us for the time being in accordance with the law of karma, and ultimately by God Himself who set this law in operation If we are to be rid of the domination of prakrits we must behold the light within us and follow the path which it illumines

It may be noted here that the term, 'maya', occurring in this stanza has been understood differently by Sankaracharya and Rāmānujāchārya The former attributes to it the sense of 'delusion According to that view, this verse would mean tha the Lord within us drives us about by means of the illusion of māyā The Nirgunabrahman of the advaitins is actionless All activity remains only in the domain of maya Sri Ramanuja on the other hand interprets the term as the prakrits made up of sattva and other gunas and belonging to the Lord, a meanin which is not unknown in ancient works. Understood in this way, God is looked upon as the source of all power and activity in the universe which is fundamentally-and not merely relatively-real The region of the heart of course stands for the centre and source of our being And by the statement that God is seated there is conveyed the suggestion that we live and move and have our bein; in God

It may also be observed here that the comparison of the relations between the body and the soul to those subsisting between a mechanical contrivance and the motive force working it is not foreign to our sucient thinkers. In the Kathopa ishad there is a well known passage which describes the self as the owner of the chariot, the body as the charlot, the intellect as

Les LYXXIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

the charioteer, the manus as the reins and the senses as the horses that drag the chariot. We may, therefore, look upon the word, 'yantra', in this śloka as signifying the mechanism made up of the body and the senses, and driven and propelled by the wonderful power of God. There is another passage in one of our Upanishads which states that the soul is revolved in the Brahma chakra or the wheel of the Brahman. All these passages indicate a more or less similar trend of thought about the directing function of God, which is beautifully summed up in this stanza.

The Lord being supreme in the way Sri Krishna proceeds to ask Arjuna to seek refuge at His Iotus feet

तमेव शरणं गच्छ सर्वभावेन भारत । तत्त्रसादात्परां शान्ति स्थानं वाप्सिसि शाश्वतम् ॥ ६२ ॥

62 Seek refuge with Him alone, O Arjuna, with all your heart Through His grace, you will obtain supreme peace and (the) eternal abode

Acting as our nature drives us to act is to guide our life along the path of least resistance and to throw away the innumerable opportunities that life offers to us for progress to perfection We must rise, as the poet says, on our dead selves to higher things By throwing ourselves at the lotus feet of the Lord, we may rise above ourselves and become the masters of our prakrits Arjuna has already been told that, by submitting to the complex of tendencies which we sum up as his nature, he will be treading the path to tuin. A crude fear of sin and a dread of the sorrow that he may feel at the slaughter of friends and relatives have plunged him into a flood of sentimental self pits. But before long it is bound to change to a mood of martial indignation by the promptines of his rayasa nature Whichever of these attitudes Arjuna adopts, he cannot be said to have exercised his sense of moral discrimination, both these attitudes are swayed, so to say, by mere whims of fancy If, from a sense of duty to be done, he overcomes his repugnance to the performance of an unpleasure task and discharges his office in war as a service rendered unto Go !. then only can be avert the ruin that stares him in the face Pyery temptation overcome is a rung in the ladder to heaven and every

lxxxiv

At almost the very end of the long discussion they indulge in, Sri Krishna outlines clearly to Arjuna the alternatives before him Arjuna can exercise, as we saw last week only a restricted choice, this inherited endowments and the environment in which he is placed define the limits within which he is free Fight he must in the war whether he will or no. If he does not fight from a sense of duty, he is sure to fight from a sense of wounded vanity. Nature will feel outraged if a warrior born and bred, betakes himself to the life of mendicant ascetticism and sooner or later will have her revener Nevertheless prakriti itself is controlled and guided by God and Arjuna will be wise to seek refuge at the lotus feet of the Lord and do His bidding that he may emancipate his soul. Sri Krishna makes this very clear in the concluding stanzas of His great and wonderful discourse, and these we will take up for study today.

सर्वगुद्यतमं भृयदृश्युणु मे परमं यच । इगेऽसि मे ष्टढ इति ततो वक्ष्यामि ते हितम् ॥ ६८ ॥

64 Listen again to My supreme word, most mysterious of all As you are distinctly dear to me, I am speaking (for) your good

Sri Krishna here impresses upon Arjuna the importance of the final words He is going to utter. Arjuna has been favoured with the grace of God, he is dear to the Lord. And as those alone can attain aslavation on whom the gracious glance of the Lord falls, such persons learn the truths that transform their inner natures. When once the Lord for whatever reason bestows on us. His water that the state of the lord for whatever teason bestows on us. His grace, He steadily leads us to the highest destiny op n to us, replacing our weakness with strength and removing our ignorance with the light of wisdom. Arjuna is about to learn the way of the elect, the wisdom that is the possession of those whom the Lord loves. It is not such knowledge as may be picked up readily, by the wayside from all sorts and conditions of men. It is the deepest of secrets, not to be easily obtained. It is the suprem-teaching of the Lord and contains within itself all that philosophy and religion have to teach us. After thus stressing the value of the

teaching, Sri Krishga proceeds to state it in the ensuing two stanzas. The first of these reads thus,

मन्मना भव मद्भको मद्याजी मां नमस्तुरु । मामेवैध्यान सत्यं ते प्रतिज्ञाने वियोऽसि मे ॥ ६० ।

65 Fix your mind upon Me, become devoted unto Me, worship Me, bow down unto Me You will reach Me alone I truly give you (this) assurance (as) you are dear to Me

Some of you may have noted the similarity of the words and phrases of this verse with those found in the concluding stanza of the ninth chapter The only difference lies in the second line of the stanza Sri Krishina here lays emphasis on His love towards Arjuna, whereas in the ninth chapter He stressed the importance of the discipline of bhakts On account of this it has been suggested that the teaching of this verse deals indeed with bhakts, but not with the d scipline known as bhakti yoga, which has its own regular rules and observances The argument may, of course, be advanced that love by its very nature knows no laws and keeps no limits and that it would be rash to enjoin that devotion to God should fare along a fixed track or flower forth into certain types of activities only The seed of love, once it is sown, may grow into many beautiful patterns, obeying no logic save its own Whether therefore, we agree or disagree with the view that there is a special discipline of devotion this verse differs from that of the ninth chapter in shifting the emphasis from the love of the devotee to God to the love of God towards the devotee How far reaching may be the consequences of this change is well brought out in the famous declaration of Sri Krishna

सर्ववर्मान् परित्यज्य मामेर्गः शरणं व्रज्ञ । अह त्वा सर्वपापेभ्यो मोक्षयिष्यामि मा ज्ञच ॥ ६६ ॥

66 Completely renouncing all dharmas, seek Me alone as refuge I will release you from all sins. Do not grieve.

This justly celebrated verse has made history in the religious thought of India It is the most widely known authority for the voice of conscience forced us against our wills to tread the straight and narrow path. The idea of duty thus involves always the idea of a command, a premptory injunction to do or to refrain from doing something. And it wers this aspect to us because of the double nature within us. The voice of conscience commands us with the authority of the voice of God, because every moment in our lives many unholy but siten voices invite us to the primrose path to perdition.

In this apparently never ending struggle which we all have to wage against the powers of darkness, some seek the aid of a developed sense of duty that confers on them a stoical strength Yet others resort to the path of \$j\tilde{n}a\$ and \$gain\$ freedom from sin and selfishness through spiritual and philosophical realisation and selfishness through spiritual and philosophical realisation is the culture of our tender and b-nevolent emotions. For the tendency to love is altogether natural and is implanted in the hearts of sill. There is in fact none who has not a natural apritude to love and be moved by love. It is enough if we make this natural sentiment expands so widely and so completely as to have God and all His creatures for its object and, in consequence of this love, place the utmost trust in Him and allow Him to use us as His tools

of the Lord, must we not deem it a privilege to be allowed even to love Him? As for His response to our love, dare we expect 12 Judged from the standpoint of our fitness to be loved by God and to be granted the enduring emancipation of moksha, how many of use can pass the test? Were it not for the grace of God, who tempers His strict justice with overflowing mercey, we must abandon all hope and sink into abysmal despair. It is the height of foolishness to demand salvation from God as a right earned and fought for Our only hope is to appeal to the gracious mercy of the Lord and to pray that He might overflowing the gracious mercy of the Lord and to pray that He might overflook, our faults and weaknesses in the abundance of His love Indeed, our very weakness may induce Hlm to bestow on us His protecting Jove The best offering of devotion and love that we can make is our sweet, little self. As the poet has sung

Our wills are ours we know not how
Our wills are ours to make them Thine

There has been discussion among the pious and the learned on the extent to which we must develop this sense of trust and confidence in God, a theme which is not unfamiliar to Christian theology. Some hold that, like the baby monkey which clines of its own effort to its mother, we must supplement our trust in God by working ceaselessly for attaining unto Him, while others take the view that our trust in God must be as complete as that which the kitten shows in its mother by allowing itself to be carried by the rither and thirter helplessly. While differing on the emphasis to be laid on the saving efficacy of the grace of God, both schools of thought, of course surce in stressing the importance of absolute self-surrender.

When one has so much faith and confidence in God as to priver and always, "Thy will be done" when one has no will save that of the Lord then it is said that God Himself works through such an individual It is not any sense of internal compulsion which forces him to tread the path of righteoisness Spontaneously and naturally he is good. He divests himself even of his sense of responsibility, for the sense of responsibility dies with the death of a separate will. He gets through the work on hand from moment to moment without minding what its form is or alm or consequences. He is like a soldier. God is his general

scriptures) Whomsoever He chooses, by him alone is He reached To him this Self reveals His own form "Only he can attain God, whom God elects Here is the explanation for the many ways in which the flower of spiritual greatness buds and blossoms. The spirit bloweth where it listeth. When conscious efforts at attaining perfection may not bear fruit, at odd corners and in strange ways, men of light and leading rise up. And it is surely imperturent to investigate the guiding motives of God in bestowing His grace. Not till our wisdom is greater than that of God may we hope to do so with any promise of success. Is it not, therefore, abundantly clear that our wissest curse is humbly to seek refuge at the lotus feet of the Lord, leaving our fate to be decided by His mercy and wisdom? This is the logic underlying the teaching of Abanahāgar, (tefuge secking), which is another name for prapatit.

The nature of prabatts is clearly stated in the definition bhagavat praviitti virodhi svapraviitti niviitti freely rendered as abstinence from such actions as are opposed to the plan and purpose of God in governing the universe You may remember the simile of the engine which I placed before you, when we were discussing the question of the limits of moral choice, which arose during the course of our study of the eleventh chapter The universe I then ventured to suggest, may be looked upon as a mighty engine guided and directed by God, we are all called upon to relate ourselves thereto. We may try either to obstruct the working of the machine or to co operate with it Our power being infit itesimal no harm can result to the machine by our obstructive tactics. It will carry out its appointed work, relentlessly crushing us if we stand in the way. By co-operating with the machine we will be really helping ourselves. The prapanna is one who has dedicated himself to the service of God and has made himself a mere cog in the machine. His life is one continuous succession of acts of service rendered to God Giving up arankāra and mamakāra, he realises that he has no indep-ndent status in life For food and clothing for home and shelter, for everything in fact, he feels his dependence on God He has such, overwhelming faith in God that he b-lieves that God will always do the right thing at the right moment

This deep confidence is sometimes compited to that displayed by Drau, all at a critical moment in her life. You all know that

The least taint of a desire for some reward in return for service rendered or the smallest suggestion of self effort undermines the very foundations of prapatts. An incident from the Ramayana is sometimes cited in illustration. Hanuman, as you are aware, could only be bound by the brahmastra and that, too, only for a specific period When Hanuman gave battle to the Rakshasa hosts after seeing Sitā in Lanka, Indrant bound him by the brahmastra But his followers mistrusting the efficacy of the brahmastra and as a measure of additional precaution, tied Hanuman also with topes But the magic weapon would tolerate no crude rival and it is related that at the first touch of the rope, the bonds of the brahmastra gave way of themselves Even like the brahmastra prapatts can brook no rival near the throne Prapatts is a jealous mistress and will tolerate the co existence of no other attitude towards God Any self effort or desire for fruit stultifies prapatts which can mean nothing other than unbounded faith in and absolue self surrender to God. We are now in a position to grasp the significance of the six ingredients of prapatts, which the Lakshmi tantra mentions-the practice of that which is good, the avoidance of that which is evil a strong faith that God alone is the deliverer earnest prayer to God for protection, the entire entrusting of one's soul to the disposal of God and the realisation of one s own littleness

According to Ramanujacharya, the term 'dharma', may mean either the fruits of the disciplines of karma inana and bhakts or the expiatory rites enjoined for wiping away our past sins in order to fit us for the practice of bhakts 30ga In the former case, the verse may be understood to teach that we must practise karma yoga inana yoga and bhakti soga without any desire for salvation as such For even the desire for emancipation is in the last resort a selfish desire In the latter case, seeking refuge at the feet of God is regarded as a substitute for various expiatory ceremonles and rites From this it is an easy step to take the view that saranagats is a substitute for all kinds of rites whatsoever and for all efforts after perfection of the self That Sri Rāmānuja was not unaware of the saving power of self-surrender comes out clearly from that beautiful and moving prayer of his, which goes by thname of Sarandgati gadya Therein, Oppressed by the weight of his countless sins of commission and omission, he throws himself entitely at the nercy of the Lord, and represents himself to have

within itself all the highest conclusions of religion and philosophy. To one who has complete faith and confidence in God, who surrenders his will and divests himself even of the sense of responsibility, there remains no compulsion of duty. Spontane ously, his life orders itself so as to be in harmony with the plan and purpose of God. In fact, God Himself works through him Such in brief is the import of this teaching. And with these words, I beg your permission to conclude here our work for the day.

lxxxv

With the sixty sixth stanza of the eighteenth chapter, which we tried to study during our last class the teaching of the Gitä naturally concludes. But there remain a few verses which are of the nature of what is known in Sanskrit literary convention as phala fruits, praise of the work that has been studied and a few others which round up the memorable dialogue by way of an epilogue. And these we shall take up for consideration to day

इद् ते नातपस्काय नामकाय कदाचन । न चाशुश्रपत्रे घाच्य न च मा योऽभ्यस्यति ॥ ६७॥

67 Never should this be told by you to one who has not practised austerity, nor to one who is devoid of devotion, nor to one who is lacking in attention, nor to one who calumniates Me

This stanza raises an interesting question at which we have glanced more than once (Cf Vol I p 318) Some of you may ask whether this injunction of Sri Kjrisha does not tend to confine His teaching to a privileged few and deny it to those who are most in need of it. That is a legitimate question to ask, and in answring it we have to take note of the spirit of the whole of the Ofla Tender concern for the weaknesses of man has been one of the prominent features of Sri Kjrishaya s teachings, and we cannot ignore it in the present context. At the same time, we have also to bear in mind the fact that the value of religions and philosophies is dependent on two factors—upon the proportion of realised a truth and windom which is contained in them as well as upon their fitness and suitability to the Individual or community who is

asked to adopt them Even' the founder of Christianity which is claimed by its followers to be a universal religion of love and brotherhood, exclaimed "Give not that which is holy unto the dogs, neither cast ye your pearls before swine, lest they trample them under their foot and turn again and rend you." Religion and ethics deal with personal and social life, and when they are misapprehended they may add terribly to the sum total of human misery. An ancient Latin poet sang O Religion what crimes are committed in thy name! Religion unlesshess strong forces, powerful alike for good or evil. And when religions is misunderstood incalculable harm ensues. The history of religious persecution is swritten in blood across the pages of the history of the world. And as modern psychology has pointed out, there is as much material for pathology as for hagielogy in the lives of mystics.

Religious teachers have to be cautious and circumspect in propagating their teachings They have to study their audience before starting their evangel Hinduism has had to face this problem from the beginnings of history It has had to make its way amidst a people, marked by amazing variations in culture and religious belief There are unfriendly critics who declare that Hinduism is not a religion, but a jumble of incongruous creeds We know of course that this is not so that there is a definite ideal to which Hinduism is offering homage There is a Vedantie ideal which has been influencing the varied compartmental groups into which Hindu society has been divided. And in propagating this ideal Hinduism has proceeded on the basis that the publi must be as fit to receive as the teacher to teach. It has attempted to lead men and women within its fold or wandering on its borders gradually and almost unconsciously from lower to higher phases of religious life That Hinduism is doing this kind of work even to day has been borne witness to by many Western students of our religion And it may not be amiss to remark that in a world which has contracted by modern methods of communication and which has been closely knit by the development of international trade, the example set by Hinduism is of no little value

We must try to understand the injunction given in this floka in the light of all that Sri Krishpa has taught us so far, and we must not fail to give due weight to the spirit of comprehensive charity and all inclusive toleration which has illuminated the Gita If we do so, it will become clear to us that the intention of this sloka is not to evolve an esoteric cult from the religion and philosophy of the Gita The advice given is a counsel of prudence and common sense which teachers of religion can only ignore at their peril Please mark carefully the characteristics of those from whom the lessons of the Gita are to be withheld Firstly those who are lacking in self discipline are mentioned. As you are aware moral progress in the history of civili ed communities all over the world has largely consisted in replacing external restraints on undesirable and en a social forms of behaviour by internal checks The fact that we still need penal codes shows that we are far from the goal Popular religion with its mythology of heaven and hell has not yet advanced beyond the stage of regulating conduct by the stim ilus of fear of infernal punishment on the one hand and of greed for celestial bliss on the other Indeed there are those who maintan that it is good policy to foster this kind of religious sentiment as it tends to maintain social stability

Let LXXXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

thems-lves that their teachings are not vulgarised or distorted by those to whom they are taught. It is probably with the intention of drawing attention to this that \$r_1\$ Krishna thought it fit to give this injunction to Arjuna. You may ask. What exactly is the duty of teachers of religion in regard to those whom they deem unfit to receive their teachings? It cannot be that they are to have no concern whatever with the spiritual welfare of such persons. In all probability, they are expected to make those who are unfit, fit in the first instance. The history of Hindusius shows with what magnificent success this policy can be pursued.

य द्रई परमं गुर्श मङ्गलेष्वभिधास्यति । भक्ति मयि परा कृत्वा मामेर्देष्यत्वसदायम् ॥ ६८ । स स तस्मान्मनुष्येषु कक्षित्म प्रियक्तनमः । भविता न स मे तस्मादस्य प्रियत्तो नृदि । ६९ ॥

- 68 He who displaying supreme devotion towards Me, teaches this highest mystery to My devotees, shall attun Me wirhout doubt
- 69 Nor is there anyone among men, who can do anything more pleas ng to Me, nor will there be another on earth, dearer to Me than he.

Here is shown another aspect of the responsibility whi has imposed on teachers of religion. They are here expressly bidden to spread the light among those who may need it, and who are not likely to be darded or inconsenienced by le on account of their unifiness to receive. It is their mission to propagate the gospel Nothing is dearer to God thin the work of directing men towards he light of wisdom. No one can be dearer than those who are the light of wisdom. No one can be dearer than those who are engaged in this holy enleavour. You will note that the teaching to be spread among the devotees of God. That is the primary qualification which indicates fitness to brieff by this holy teaching. And it must be presumed to imply by itself the absence of those undesirable qualities which we were considering a few minutes ago that this is so must be obvious to any one who has studied to any purpose the characteristics of bhakts, as described in the

In the same strain, \$ri Krishna proceeds to praise and bless those who study the memorable dialogue of the Gita

अध्येष्यते च य इमं धर्म्यं संग्रादमावयोः । हानयद्वेन नेनाद्वमिष्टस्त्यामिति मे मतिः ॥ ॥७० ॥ श्रद्धावाननस्यश्च श्रृणुयाद्वि यो नर. । साऽवि मुक्तदशुभांहोजान्त्राष्नुयात्पुष्यकर्मणाम् ॥ ७१ ॥

70 It is My conviction that I shall have been worshipped through the sacrifice of knowledge by him who will study this righteous dialogue between us.

71 And even the man who listens to it with faith and without envy, shall reach the auspicious regions of those who have lived righteously, having emancipated

You may remember that, in the course of our study of the ninth chapter, we came across the expression, 'jñana yajña' (IX 15) I temember to have suggested then that we have understand by the term the institution of sacrifice as viewed in the light of the wisdom of the man of wisdom And this implies, 25 we saw then, that the man of wisdom who worships God through jaana yajaa identifies all rituals with God who becomes for him both the end and the means of all religious effort. The study of the Gisa is intended to develop this attitude and it is therefore, quite appropriate to describe it as the worship of God through the sacrifice of knowledge The study, of course, must be a study in the best sense of the term Bacon has an epigram distinguishing what he calls digesting of books from merely browsing through them The Gifa is a book to be read, re read, pondered over and acted upon And even as we were called upon to endow the term, 'jīāna', with a volitional significance in Chapter XIII, we must construe study in this context to mean study finding its fulfilment in action In other words, reverent study of the Gua will teach us all that we need to know of God and of the manner in which we ought to relate outselves to Him; and it is a necessary corollary to our study that we should make it flower forth into

Lec. LXXXV) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Even the man who listens to the Gitā with faith and without envy is here promised the happy regions of those who perform good deeds. It may, therefore, be presumed that listening to the Gitā is considered to be capable of giving rise to punya. It is noteworthy, however, that the salvation of soul-emancipation and God-attainment is not promised to those who merely listen to the Gitā. Sri Rāmānujā in his commentary goes indeed so far as to suggest that the term, 'loka', means nothing mere than host or collection. That is, those who listen to the Gitā are promised the company of hosts of good men and true Whatever view we may prefer to take, it is well to note that Sri Krishija must not be understood here to teach that mere listening to an exposition of sound morality or studying the scripture can be a substitute for the right kind of conduct, which alone can emancipate us from the bonds of karma and lead us on to salvation

Having finished what He intended to say, Sri Krishna proceeds to enquire whether His teaching has borne fruit

कचिदेतच्छूतं पार्यं त्वयैकाग्रेण चेतसा । कचिद्वानसम्मोदः प्रणप्रस्ते धनञ्जय ॥ ७२ ॥

72. Has this been heard by you, O Arjuna, with one-pointed attention? Has your delusion, born of ignorance, been destroyed?

Note once again the remarkable manner in which Sri Krishna emphasives that the final decision to fight or to retire from the battle-field rests with Arjuna and Arjuna alone. There is no battle-field rests with Arjuna and dictate his opinions, attempt to order Arjuna about and dictate his opinions. Sri Krishna is playing the role of the ideal teacher whose duty it is to the treat to compel them to subordinate the verdict of their but never to compel them to subordinate the verdict of their reason to mere tradition or authority. Sankari-histya, in commenting on this verse, observes that Sri Krishna had by no commenting on this verse, observes that Sri Krishna had by no means exhausted all His resources in persuasion; if doubts svill persisted, He was quite ready to combat them. The ideal teacher would be failing in his duty, if he did not reason his disciples out would be failing in his duty, if he did not reason his disciples out of their erroneous yieas on any matter. The credentials of reason

may not be questioned, the ultimate responsibility of the individual to make the choice that morality requires cannot be shared by others. Short of this, however, persuasion is legitimate and indeed obligatory upon all who find thems-lives face to face with error or delusion. It is noteworthy that the last \$foka\$ which \$ri\$ Krishqa speaks in the \$Gitd\$ emphasises the obligation of the teacher to spread right opinions and the responsibility of the disciple to form his own views.

थर्जुन उपाच—

- ्रे नष्टो मोहम्स्मृतिर्लब्धा त्यत्वसादान्मयाऽच्युन ।
 - े स्थितोऽस्मि गतसन्देहः करिष्ये वचन तव ॥ ७३ ॥

ARJUNA SAID

73 Gone is my delusion. Memory has come back to me, O Krishna through Your grace. I stand free from doubt. I will act according to Your word.

You may remember what Arjuna said at the beginning He confessed that his mind was clouded in respect of the duty to be done, and that his whole nature was impaired by the fault of weakness in will and heart (II 7) He no longer felt sure of himself he felt himself lost in a situation in which his soul was tent in twain Having undertaken the duty of war, he found himself facing respected friends and kinsmen bound to him by elose ties of blood A wave of repulsion to the task on hand overcame him and he threw away his bow and arrows Not for him the sovereignty of the three worlds, if it had to be attaine through the slaughter of friends and kinamen He did not want to wade knee deep in blood to ascend a throne He foresaw too the wide spread social disorder that a war of the magnitude about to be fought was bound to create, and he had no desire to assume responsibility therefor In treachery to friends and relatives, the evil minded sons of Dhritarasatra might have seen no sin, and they might be heedless of the horrors of war Was it not they might be necosess of the nortors of war was it. Incumbent on Arjuna who felt these things, to retire from the battle before it was too late? Would not participation in war brand him with the san of deliberate wrong doing?

In assuming this attitude, Arjuna displayed mental confusion. Troubled by a conflict of duties, he failed to see his way out of a difficult situation. He also did not understand himself properly He did not realise that all his high sounding reasons for retiring from the war were in reality the result of dispuised selfishness and unreasoning fear of sin After following the teachings of Sti Krishna so far, Arjuna says that the delusion that clouded his intellect has vanished. He now stands free from doubt, and sees his nay clearly before him. And above all, he has not back his surfit. he is himself again. The expression 'smrits', generally stands for memory Sri Sankara points out that Arjuna has recollected the true nature of his self and realised its identify with the Supreme Brahman The Self, in inexplicable conjunction with maya, has, so to speak, forgotten Itself and appears as the differentiated universe. With the rise of true knowledge, memory somes back to the soul, and the bonds that bind it to the world are broken Sri Ramanua interprets 'smtiti' in the more general sense of 'knowledge of truth' Ariuna is now in a position to netceive the truth about himself and his relations with the universe and with God. The point to note is this. Ariuna was for some time past under the spell of a delusion. Now, that delusion is gone, and he has recovered his poise and balance. He has learnt what he has to do under the circumstan es. The duties that have been determined for him by his endowment and environment have been realised by him. He no longer thinks that he is other than what he is, that he can lead a life of mendicant asceticism against which sooner or later his whole nature would rise in revolt

Free from doubt and delusion. Arjuna recognises that the only course open to him is to carry out the bidding of Sri Krishna We will do well to keep this clearly before our minds critics have seen in the Gita only a medley of ideas answers this criticism so successfully as Sri Krishna's repeated instructions to Arjuna to remember his duty and fight. Every argument ends with the appeal to fight. Despite all digression, the main purpose of the dialogue is never lost from sight. It is this which gives the Gitā its unity and dire is the development of its thought. Sri Krishna's teachings end, not in an academic conclusion, but in practical action.

We are now very near the end of our long pilgrimage. The debate between \$ri K_lishna and Arjuna, the ideal. Teacher and the

ideal disciple, is over Sañjaya who related the whole discussion to Dhfitarashtta now begins an appropriate epilogue

सञ्जय उवाच--

इत्यद्व वासुदेवस्य पार्थस्य च महात्मन । सवादिमममञ्जीपमञ्जूत रामहर्पणम् । ७८ ॥

व्यासप्रसादाच्छुत्रवानेतद् गुद्यमह परम् । याग यागेभ्यरात्र च्णात्सात्सत्कययनस्वयम् ॥ ७५ ॥

SAÑJAYA SAID

74 Thus have I heard this wonderful and thrilling dialogue between Sri Krishna and the high souled Arjuna

75 By the favour of Vyasa did I hear this supreme secret, the yoga taught by Sri Krishna, the Lord of yoga

You may remember that the Gita is given in the Mahabharata in the form in which Safijays is conceived to have narrated it to Dhritarashira (vide Vol I p 4) Before the war begins the sage Vyasa asks Dhittarashtra if he would have his blind eyes opened, so that he may see for himself the course of events on the battle field The aged King declines the proffered gift having no desire to watch the slaughter of his kindred But he expresses a desire to hear full and accurate reports about the progress of the war Accordingly Sanjaya , eranted by Vyasa the power of supra normal vs on by means of which he comes to know all things, whether o en or secret whether taking place during the day or during the night. Not even things only thought of in the mind are to be concealed from him. It is in this manner that Sanjaya relates the thrilling d alogue between Sri Krishpa and Arjuna to Dhittarashtra and from the nature of the gift bestowed on Sanjaya it may be presumed that the actual language of the discussion has been accurately reproduced But as I ventured to Point out to you on a former occasion it does not matter for our Immediate purpose to whom we choose to attribute the language of the Gija Suffice it to know that the teachings of the Gija are

Lec LXXXV] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

fully worthy of the divine wisdom and knowledge of truth, which, we believe, were possessed by \$r1 Krishna

राजन्सस्मृत्य सस्मृत्य नवादिमिममञ्जुतम्। केशवार्जुनयो पुण्य हृष्यामि च सुदर्मुहु ॥ ७६॥

तज्ञ सस्मत्य सस्मृत्य रूपमत्य हुत हरे.। विस्मया मे महान् राजन् हृत्यामि च मुहर्मुह् ॥ ७७॥

76 O King, remembering over and over again this wonderful and holy dialogue between Sri Krishna and Arjuna, T rejoice again and again

77. And remembering again and again that most marvellous form of \$\frac{5}{1}\$ Krishna, great is my astonishment, O King, and I rejoice again and again

यत्र योगेश्वरः कृष्णो यत्र पार्थी घनुर्घरः। तत्र श्रीविजयो भृतिर्भृवा नीतिर्मतिर्मम ॥ ७८ ॥

78. Wherever there is \$\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial r_2}\$ krishna, the Lord of \$\frac{\partial r_2}{\partial r_2}\$ and Arjuna, wielding his bow, there, it is my conviction, will be fortune, victory, prosperity and enduring righteousness.

Bringing himself back to the realities of the situation, Sanjaya gives a plain hint to the old King about the chances of war Dhritarashira's sons never could hope to succeed, he says in effect, against the combination of divine wisdom and martial g-nius represented by Sri Krishna and Arjuna Notice that he refers to Arjuna as dhanurdhara, wielding the bow You are sure to remember the statement made in the first chapter of the Gifa that Arjuna cast away his bow and arrows and sank down on the seat of his chariot (1 47) It is a different Arjuna that we are asked to picture here No longer depressed by overwhelming grief, no longer weak in will and heart, but with doub's dispelled and resolute of mind, Arjuna has taken up his bow again, with the care free confidence of a crusader in a great cause. He has followed up his promise to do Sri Krishna's bidding by taking up his arms in real earnest That, indeed, was the primary object of Sri Krishna's discussion with Arjuna and with Sanjaya's report about the successful accomplishment of this object, the Gua

Allow me now to make a brief survey of the teachings of the eighteenth chapter. It begins as you know with the question of Arjuna about the nature of sannyasa and tyaga. As I suggested to you then the eighteenth chapter takes up the thread of authoritative guide to conduct Arjuna asks in effect, why is it that the recommendation to win liberation through \$annyasts and their recommendation to win liberation through \$annyasts and answer \$sit Krishipa clearly explains what He understands by these indeed a possible ideal By sannyasa. He understands the giving up of all actions prompted by desire, and by '13,4ga' the

Lec LXXXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

renunciation of the fruit of all actions in which one engages. Then, further to impress on Arjuna the necessity for giving up attachment to one's work, \$1 Krishna analyses the concept of action and points out that the successful fruition of an action depends upon five factors, of which the agent constitutes only one The motive with which action is done, the agent and the act are then classified under the categories of sattivika, rājass and tānussa. The classification is further continued in respect of intelligence, will and pleasure

sativa guna, the transformation of (the performance of) one's duties (into worship of God) and the essence of the sastra

We have already discussed these topics in the course of our study of the chapter. I may, however, point out that though all agree in holding that the essence of the \$d\tilde{s}astra is taught in the eighteenth chapter and the importance of the celebrated sixty sixth to what this 'essence of the \$d\tilde{s}astra is taught in the verse is generally recognised, there is sharp difference of opinion as to what this 'essence of the \$d\tilde{s}astra' is According to preface to his commentary on the \$67th verse, that the \$Gi\tilde{a}\$ teaches however, is clearly of opinion that the essence of the \$d\tilde{s}astra is \$h\tilde{a}\$ that Such diversity of interpretation, however does not shows, as I have often pointed out to you, that the rate many roads leading to the City of \$God!

Before I conclude our work for to day, may I point out that the study of the Gita which we began about two years 2go, 15 now about to be concluded? It is desirable, I think, that we should spend another hour or two in trying to recapitulate what we have learnt and to put the teachings of the Gija in an orderly sequence When that work is done, we shall have in a way concluded our study of the Gita Indeed I need not say that it is neither a very original nor a completely exhaustive study of the Gita And it would be foolish to think that we can easily master the teachings of the Gita, however carefully we may study them But I do hope that these classes may have induced many of you to seek guidance and consolation in the marvellous and memorable dialogue b-tween Sri Krishna and Arjuna Gentlemen I feel deeply grateful to you for the patience with which you have attended these classes, week after week, for hearly two years May I hope that you will extend to me the same couriesy for another week or two, and that when we part in the end, we shall bid farewell to one another as fellow students who have gathered together often in a united endeavour to learn

relation to these, the bonds of karma are loosened and the soul becomes free The practice of this non attachment requires absolute unselfashness, strenuous endeavour and great concentration of mind in the performance of work

All duty has for this purpose to be done for its own sake Men have the title to the doing of duty and not to the fruits thereof Work in itself is incapable of producing karma It is the disposition of mind with which work is done, which creates for us this bondage And so the power to command the appropriate unselfish disposition enables one to live a life whi h will lead on to the goal of salvation The seer of steady wisdom who atrives to reach this goal, realises the transcendental glory of self realisation and for that purpose tries to subjugate his senses The senses can be conquered only by killing the internal relish for pleasures and not by external sense starvation So the seer has to practise the yoga of meditation and mental concentration having God as the one and only object of devotion Thus, his mind will become pure and steady and help him to reconcile both active achievement and hearty renunciation in his own life This life of unattached and unworldly endeavour is representative of that divine philosophic wisdom where there is no room for either the delusion of interested worldliness or of a similar other worldliness

At this stage Arjuna asks If the disposition with which work is done is more important than the nature of the work why does Sri Krishna ask him to do work which is call? He is obviously under the impression that by making the motive unattached and unselfish one can easily reach the goal of salvation whether the life lived happens to be one of work or of no work and whatever may be the nature of the work or or no work and may be the nature of the work Sri Krishna proceeds to reply that there is an involable rule of choice in regard to the work which men have to do in life Only that work happens to be out duty the doing of which has become incumbent upon us We cannot judge the conduct of m n either from the standpoint of motive alone or from the standpoint of action alone It 15 impossible for any embodied being to live the life of absolute inaction for the very prakrits of his embodiment compels him to live a life of work We cannot therefore, escape from the necessity of work We can only try to make the life of work itself succeed in overcoming and exhausting the samshara of karma. In

sensuality and selfishness and sin may be found in him. He will then endeavour earnestly to live such an active and sinless life as is worthy of being dedicated unto God and is in consequence well fitted to enable him to attain salvation.

After clearing in this manner Arjuna's doubts Sri Krishin proceeds to emphasise the importance of the doctrines tought by Him by indicating their divine source and great antiquity and that transmission through a line of teachers beginning with Himself This naturally leads Arjuna to ask how his contemporary. Sri Krishina could have been the ancient and divine promulgator of those doctrines. In answer to this enquiry, Sri Krishina reveils this own nature as God man to H s friend and explains the meaning and aim of divine incarnation as it takes place universally everywhere and in all ages in almost all the great crists in history. From the universallyt of the process of divine incarnation and its ever beneficent aim it follows that all roads of and righteousness are laid out by God Himself and have God attainment for their field and out by God Himself and have God

After this \$ti Krishna takes up the thread relating to the society. He declares that the division of people in society in the division of people in society into fact of their functions in life having necessarily to vary in fact of their functions in life having necessarily to vary in it is the physical, mental and moral fitness of persons which in society so them the broad outlines of their function and status they have as a matter of necessity to belong. The Guld is cognising through the recognised influence of heredity on easte by qualities these qualities determining for man his duty or dharma in life.

While duty is thus determinate we have also to pay attention to the disposition of the mind of the worker. For work can create bondage only so long as it is done with s-flow motives, if the motive is unselfath no work can create bondage. When work is thus ineffective in creating bondage, it becomes equivalent to

Lee LXXXVI) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

'no work' It is thus that the question of equivalence between work and no work is taken into consideration, and it is taught that he alone is truly possessed of intelligence among men, who sees work in no work and no work in work. The means whereby the mental disposition which makes work equivalent to no work. may be acquired can be inferred from the dictum that only such work creates bondage as is not intended and utilised for carrying out divine worship All forms of divine worship are here conceived to be modifications of the universally typical form of the religious sacrifice. Thus another thread of the old argument is taken up and various mental as well as moral forms of religious worship are declared to be equivalent to the typical sacrifice Ultimately, the equivalence of work and no work rests on the possibility of transforming work into worship. To demonstrate that such transformability is no mere moral fiction, the relation between work and wisdom is next taken up. We are taught that all work in its entirety culminates in such wisdom in the end, as is the possession of all seers and enables us to see all beings in ourselves and ourselves and all beings in God. Only the man of faith is fitted to be blessed with this wisdom and with its aid all doubts may be destroyed and all action made incapable of produ me the handage of sarma H no- Ariuna is called upon to win this wisdom to work off his ignorance and overcome all his doubts and then to do his appropriate duty in the appropriate manner

become unworldly, even as they lead a life which is to all appearance worldly. Sri Krishna praises equally well both the path of unselfish work and achievement and the path of renunciation and realisation.

But the worthiness of a way of life is determined not by itself altogether, it is also closely related to its suitability for adoption by those for whom it is intended. Each path is, therefore, good in its own place Both the paths give rise to the same moral result and lead to the same final goal. The chief moral result is the lesson of samatva or the equality of all beings. The yāñanaryogan arrives at this result through his experience in the state of self realisation The karma-yogin, by the conquest of all feelings of ahankāra and mamakāra and by looking upon his soul as the soul of all beings, also arrives at the same lesson. To win freedom from samsāra and to attain God like qualities through God realisation and God-attainment is the goal of karma 30ga as well

Sri Krishna then proceeds to state that the acquisition of the moral power of selfishness through living the life of disinterested duty is a necessary preparation for the adoption of the bolder life of renunciation and realisation even by those who possess the required fitness Then He discusses at some length the roga of meditation and mental concentration, explains how and by whom and under what conditions that yoga is to be practised, what the results are of attaining success therein and how these results tend to ratify and uphold the ethical law of universal equality The practice of yoga leads on the fortunate to self realisation and then to God realisation As a consequence of self realisation, the yogin sees all beings in himself and himself in all beings, and as a consequence of God realisation, he sees God in all beings

These realisations of the rogin ratify the great law of equality and enable him to lead a life in which he feels spontaneously the happiness and misery of others as his own and equally spon taneously endeavours to advance that happiness and remove that misery Difficult the path of soga is, but it tests on the fact that the mind can be controlled. And no failures that may greet us in our attempts at yoga need dishearten us, all our attempts will

Lec LXXXVI HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

contribute to the final success. And so Arjuna is bidden to become a 50gin, that is to say, he is asked to conduct himself in the manner in which the yogin who has arrived at God realisation and become God knowing God b-lieving and God devoted will conduct himself in the situation surrendering himself entirely to God and making himself no more than a ready and willing instrument to carry out the will of God

The reality and nature of God are revealed in the ecstatic vision of the successful yogin But successful yogins are few, and this completely satisfactory proof of God is practically not available for most of us However a cosmological analysis of the universe conducted with a free open and unprejudiced mind is also capable of leading us logically to a Supreme B-ing who has to serve as the great centre of power from which the universe proceeds in the course of its evolution and to which it returns at the time of its dissolution. The reality as well as the worthiness of all beings is due to God. And this argument may be supplemented by the need felt by the human heart for religion and by the authority that is required to establish the moral law In spite of all this however the fact remains that there are many prople who continue to be sceptical or atheistic This is due to the obscuration of God behind the vel of His wonderful maya Whether or not this veil shuts off the vision of God entirely from us is dependent on our nature being divine or demoniacal In other words God vision is possible to man more through the eye of faith than through the eye of reason Our reasoning must be supplemented by faith before we can see God Judged from outside characteristics four different kinds of persons are said to possess the necessary faith and in consequence be devoted to God-those in affliction those who wish to obtain knowledge those who hunt after wealth and the truly wise

knowledge of the Brahman, of the whole of what constitutes their self or soul and also of karma in its entirety, the unity which underlies the diversity of creeds and faiths is easily apparent. They see that the final goal of all forms of faith is the great Vasudeva and look upon all the deitles known to the various freigion known to man as the limbs of the one only God And truth in its undiminished completeness is reached by arriving at the conviction that Vāsudeva is all and all in all. The aim of the person who arrives at such a conviction, is to seek freedom from old age and death, and with his divinely devoted mind his realisation of God is certain to be firm and vivid even at the time of his death and departure from life.

At this stage, Arjuna asks Sri Krishna seven questions, arising more or less from what has been taught immediately before They relate in order to the Brahman, the soul, karma, matter, divinity, worship and the manner in which God is to be remembered at the time of death. In answer, Sri Krishpa recapitulates what Ha has already taught in verious contexts to Arjuna He points out that in the case of every embodied being, the body which is mutable and material, is mortal, while the embodied soul, being spiritual, is immaterial, immutable and immortal The creative process which brings material embodiments into existence, is karma Supreme Person the centre and source of all power in the universe, and the object of love and devotion to all saints and devotees The intrinsic essence of all deities is the Purusha, that is the divinity of all the gods and goddesses known to man comes from the Supreme God Himself Hence God Himselt is the intrinsic essence of all acts of worship, carried out by all embodied

Lastly, the importance of the remembrance of God at the time of death It is taught, is due to the fact that such remembrance gives rise to God attainment, which in turn enables the souls to get out of the cycles of evolution and involution which constitute the process of creation. Since success in the practice of the 2008 of meditation and mental concentration is not possible for many, the enquiry as to other means of God attainment becomes natural and necessary. So the two paths leading the souls departing from life to freedom and bondage respectively are considered in some detail

some facts about His 30ga and vibhilit. Everything lives and moves through the power that comes from the Lord, but the unequal distribution of various kinds of excellence in this world indicates to us that specially endowed beings are in some since specially representative of God and enable us to realise in some measure what the glory of God is like Even now, our realisation of God is incomplete. The relation of the whole universe in totality to God still remains to be realised, and Arjuna is anxious to see God directly as the emispresent individler who transcerds at the same time the universe which He surgage.

In response to the prayerful expression of this with Sri Krishna graciously grants him the divine vision whi h enables him to see into the heart of things And so he sees for a brief while the great and awe inspiring tistarilpa the universal form of the Lord The strange and fearful grandeur of the cosmic destruction that Arjuna sees unnerves him Further to impress on Arjuna his true status and significance the Lord addresses him and "I have decreed that this war shall take place and that the opposing armies encompass the destruction of each other With you or without you, the war is certain to take place You are free to choose only whe her or no you will become a mere instrument in My hands for the work of destru tion that I have erdained" Then agreeably to Arjuna's request, the Lord resumes His gracious form and points out that Arjuna need not feel terrified at the vision that he saw Indeed only those who are devoted to God continually and exclusively are blessed with this rate vision, for only thus can one know, see and enter into God

Arjuna then asks Who follow the better path, those who Unmanifected and Indestructible God? Sri Krishna replies that, to the though both paths I-ad to the goal of moksha the path of devotion graded instructions for following this path and then to sketch for constituent factors the great ideals of samatta, devotion and service

The ethics of the $Git\delta$ rest on the double foundation of God realisation and self realisation The lesson of equality is the

Lec LXXXVI] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

main feature of this ethics and it rests on the soul and God in us, as distinguished from what constitutes the material embodiment If we are to follow this rule of equality with any degree of success, we must learn to distinguish the promptings of the flesh from the dictates of the spirit both these being closely intermingled in our daily life A valuable analysis of our complex nature is made next by Sri Krishna in order to teach us to discriminate between what is low and unworthy in us and what is spiri unl and divine the same object in view a further examination of the nature of praktiti and its gunas is conducted. It is taught that all the three gunas of praktiti tend to bind the soul though of the three the sattra is the best. All the embodied beings in the universe have all the three gunas present in the constitution of the rembodi ments but there is variation in the relative importance of the gunas in their composition Some are dominated by the sattva guna others by the rato guna and yet others by the tamo-guna The way of redemption lies through enco iraging the operation of the sattva guna in us and in discouraging the influence of the other two gunas. The ultimate ideal must be to rise above the ginas altogether and attain the state of a gunatita And this state may be attained most easily by undeviating devotion to the Lord who is the support of this world of samsāra and the world of indestructible immortality, of the enduring law of righteousness and the perfect bliss of the emancipated

To make this fact clear Sri Krishpa proceeds to place b-fore Arjuna the figure of a fig tree with its roots high in H awen and its branches sp ead down below. The tree stands for the world of branches sp ead down below. The tree stands for the world of branches and the upward growing roots indicate its firm establish ment in God. There are dependent roots of karia binding the ment in God. There are dependent roots of karia binding the root its chimment. That these roots have to be cut assunder on attachment that these roots have to be cut assunder the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on to point out the interrelations amons the Sri Krishpa then goes on the soul and both these sre

To many however the vision of a God who is the support of the universe of matter and energy and the principle of conscious ness that pervades it, and the source and sanction of the moral law, is not vouchsafed. Their inherited mental and motal tendences prevent them from seeing the divine and impel them to live a life of selfishness and sin A few are endowed with a fund of divine qualities which lead them onward to God If we have no guide in life save our own untitored instincts and impulses, most of us will sind it almost impossible to conquer temptation and strive for spiritual and moral progress. And since even those gifted with a divine moral and mental endowment may have their own moments of weakness and hesitation, the need for an authoritative guide becomes all the more necessary. And so, Sri Krishpa declares, this authoritative guide for conduct is to be found in the commandments of the \$dstras.

At this stage, Arjuna asks How are we to judge of the conduct of persons who are endowed with faith, but who do not conduct themselves in accordance with the commandments of the sastras? In answer, Sri Krishna expounds the essentials of a religious life and classifies the faith and worship of men into the categories of the sattvika, the rajasa and the tamasa Worship, self-control and charity—these form the essentials of a religious life But all aspects of life are influenced by religion, and even the nature of the food that we eat may be seen to be determined by our inborn character, and influences in turn our physical, mental and moral life. Here then are the criteria for judging the worthiness of one's religious life If our religious faith and life place us in harmonious relations with the plan and purpose of God, then whether the driving force behind our life is belief in the authority of the \$\vec{a}stras\$ or some kind of faith which is in consonance with the essential and fundamental conceptions of religion, we shall be leading a truly religious life

Now, Arjuna raises another question If the bastras are our authoritative guide in conduct, how is it that they teach the apparently mutually contradictory paths of pravritis and nieritis? What, indeed, is true significance of the tydga and the true significance of the tydga and the sannydsa enjoined in our bastras? Sri Krisha explains that by desire, and 'tydga' the abandonm in of the fruit of the actions in which one engages. The combined practice of both sannydsa and tydga then would amount to this; that one should give up all work prompted by desire and engage oneself only in the discharge

Lec LXXXVI) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

of one's necessary duties without the least taint of attachment to the fruits of one's work. To enforce this lesson Sci Krishna points out that the successful fruition of any action depends on five factors, of which the agent is only one. The motives which prompt actions, various kinds of agents and different types of actions are then brought under a comprehensive classification into the saltivika, rajasa and tāmasa categories. The classification is extended to cover other aspects of our life and deals in turn with intelligence, will and pleasure

In all this, Sri Krishna's object is to reinforce the lesson of renunciation taught throughout the Gita, that one should do one's duties without attachment to the fruits thereof. In order to enable men to know the nature of their duties. He proceeds to set forth the functions of the four castes strictly in accordance with their qualities. Every one by understanding his own capacities aright can find out his true duty in life, and by discharging it in the right spirit, attain to the Highest Through devotion to God, one may most easily cultivate this spirit of detachment Supreme devotion will enable us to realise that in doing our duties, we are actually serving the Lord Nothing but the grace of God can save us from the troubles and turmoils of this world If only we take refuge in His grace and submit ourselves entirely to His will He will save us from sin and lead us to freedom and bliss. On this note of self surrender to God. Sri Krishna brings His teachings to an end He then asks Arjuna to do as he pleases Arjuna, with his doubts dispelled, declares himself ready to obey Sri Krishna's bidding And in the very last verse of the poem Sanjaya indicates to the blind King Dhritarashtra the probable course of the war and gives us a picture of Arjuna wielding his formidable bow

Here is a running summary of the eighteen chapters of the G11ā I hive been to a large extent going over familiar ground here. I trust that what I have tried to explain to you to day has given you some idea of the course of the evolution of thought and iscussion in the G11ā. I shall stop here to day, and next week, when we shall be having perhaps our last class, I shall try to suggest, as well as I can, how the plan and purpose of the G11ā may be studied to the best advantage from our point of view.

The knightly code of chivalry prevalent during those days permitted such inspection before the actual commencement of hostilities And this fact, by the way, disposes of the criti ism frequently made that a long philosophical argument between Arjuna and Sri Krishna on the eve of battle sins against the finesi of things As I pointed out to you on a former occasion (Vol I p 54), even after the teaching of the Gita had been completed, the war did not actually begin, Yudhishthira and his brothers still had time to enter the lines of the enemy's forces, in civilian attite to seek permission from Bhishma, Dropa and others to fight against them They had in those days as elaborate an etiquette for war as the knights of myths and middle ages for their tournaments And it is surely perverse to superimpose on the setting of the Mahabharata war the conditions that prevail in the mechanised

Now, to resume the thread of our argument The sight of the opposing army produces in Arjuna an unexpected reaction Sentiment overpowers him, and he is filled with horror at the prospect of killing his kith and kin, friends and preceptors, men to whom he is bound by ties of love or blood. Under the sway of this emotion his duty assum-s for him the features of sin His cousins, he argues, may see no sin in the slaughter of kinsmen and in treachery to friends, but he who knows better, -should he not turn away from the crime at whatever cost to his worldly prospects? Not for the sovereignty of the three worlds would be take part in the slaughter of the fathers and grandsires, teachers and maternal uncles, brothers sons, grandsons and similarly friends, fathers in law and also cordial companions", who stand ranged against him in battle array To kill them is sin and even from a grossly worldly point of view, what joy can be his, after wading knee deep through the blood of friends and kinsmen to a throne? So Arjuna sinks down on the seat of his chariot, casts away his bow and unloosens his quiver, his heart oversome with grief and compassion and his mind bemused and benildere i in

That is the setting at the commencement of the poem And It may be noted in passing how the feelings of Arjum are dramatically appropriate in the crists with which he is feed. It will be difficult to think of a more artifule background for the

Lec LXXXVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

discussion in the Gitā. Let us now look at the end of the poem. At the conclusion of Sri Krishna's discourse, Arjuna exclaims: "Gone is my delusion Memory has come back to me, O Krishna, through Your grace I stand free from doubt I will now act according to Your word" (XVIII 73) He takes up again the arms that he cast away, and Sanjaya gives us in the very last verse of the poem a final glimpse of Arjuna, bow in hand—Pāriho dhanurdharah

It is clear from this that the main purpose of the poem is to resolve Arjuna's doubts about his duty and to induce him to play his part in the great Bharata war. I note this fact, obvious as it may seem, because there are so many conflicting views about the teaching of the Gita that there is a very real danger of our losing the wood for the trees The Gua presents a particular problemthe problem of Atjuna's right dury in the circumstances in which he was placed—and solves it Properly to understand the Gita, I submit, this fact must not be overlooked And, indeed, Sii Krishna takes every care to see that we do not forget it and again, He draws Arjuna's attention to his duty in the crisis with which he is faced Every high argument, as you are well aware, tends to this conclusion and this only

The Gitā, then, sets about answering Arjuna's doubts about his duty. The reasons for Arjuna's refusal to fight may be gathered in part from his own words and in part from \$ri Krishna's remorseless analysis Arjuna feels that it is wrong to kill at all and wrong particularly to kill friends and kinsmen. He thinks that he will incur sin by becoming responsible for such inevitable consequences of war as the wide spread social confusion it will leave in its wake And he argues that the right duty for him in the circumstances in which he is placed is to obey the s rictural commandment to renounce by becoming a sanny asin Sci Krishpa meets these arguments by teaching that every one has his duties in life determined for him according to his natural fitness and qualifications and the environment in which he is plac d, that this duty is quite determinate and cannot be evaded by any one except at peril to his own soul, that bondage and sin arise from the disposition with which any work is performed and not from the nature of the work itself, that, when work is performed without nature of the work lises, that passive stachment to results, it cannot bind the soul, that passive inaction, which is what complete renunciation of work would mean, is an impracticable, and even if practicable, an undesirable ideal, that the scriptural injunction to renounce must be under stood to mean a command to renounce the fruits of one's work and not work itself, that the life of mendicant ascetticism is not suited to a man of Arjuna's birth and breeding and will result in unnatural repression and end in a calamitous recoil, and that the guiding hand of God has brought the forces of history to the crisis of war—a crisis which Arjuna cannot avert by any act of commission or omission on his part and in which it is his duty to fight for the vindication of justice, irrespective of the consequences it involves to him and in a spirit of serene detachment and devoted dutifulness.

Here is the thread of Sri Krishpa's direct reply to the problem presented by Arjuna. The answer is complete and coherent. But it implies certain metaphysical foundations and raises certain questions as regards the practicability of the ca-le of conduct of this memorable dialogue. Sri Krishna's discourse on God and man, on duty and devotion on "fate, foreknowledge and freewill', arose out of the necessity for demonstrating the bases of His ethics, and His description of the disciplines of karma, for His teaching by men. We shall have to deal with all these in turns.

We must begin with the question How is duty determinate? It is taught in the Gita that our duties are determined by the endowment with which we are born and the environment in which we are placed. Our inborn qualities whether inherited from our our souls. And reacting on our environment, they in turn of kerna weighing on determine the nature of our duty in 16. Failure to discharge our hankering after duties that are not our, will upset the moral of our conduct of ulies and fejuriously affect the fountain springs of our conduct. But even the duty that is ours has to be it is performed in a spirit of dispussionate detachment. Otherwise, if the created

Lee LXXXVIII HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

Underlying this teaching on the nature of duty, there is a metaphysics postulating three ultimate entities, which we may loosely describe as matter, soul and God Matter or prakfits 15 conceived as dull, inert and dead, the field for the play of the soul It is mutable, and in that sense, mortal It has been from the beginning of time and will last till the end of it. The frequent changes of state that matter undergoes are due to the interplay of its three constituent gunas-sattea, rajas and tamas Physically viewed, the rajo-guna represents universal energy in the process of evolution and the tamo guna the same energy in the process of dissolution The satte a suna stands for the steady condition of balanced motion and even life The Gitā accepts the Sānkhya theory of evolution with some significant variations. According to this theory, the entire universe of matter and energy has evolved out of a kind of primordial non differentiated matter, called Prakriti or mulaprakliti This forms the substratum of the universe, undergoes modifications and gives rise to the various kinds and conditions of differentiated matter. It is further held that the process of evolution has to be cyclic in character, masmuch as it is the parinama or the modification of the cause which gives rise to the effect and the effect is also apt to be subjected to parinama so as to become thereby a cause in its turn Such an endless series of causes and effects, each effect becoming a cause in its turn, makes what we may call the circle of evolution In the theistic philosophy of the Gija, this is summed up by the statement that God is the origin as well as the dissolution of the whole world

The universe of matter and energy is pervaded through and through by the soul On the question whether this soul is one or many there is acute difference of opinion among the leading many there is acute difference of opinion among the leading many there is acute difference of opinion among the leading can find some measure of support in the Gita This question can find some measure of support in the Gita This question however that it will be out of place in a study of the Gita as the however that it will be out of place in a study of the Gita as the however that it will be out of place in a study of the Gita as the however that it will be out of place in a study of the Gita as the however that it will be out of place in a study of the Gita as the order of the Gita upholds this or that polemical point try to prove that the Gita upholds this or that polemical point try to prove that the Gita upholds this or that polemical point try to prove that the universe is pervaded by a number of separate but the view that the universe is pervaded by a number of separate but the view that the universe is pervaded by a number of separate but the view that the universe is pervaded by a number of separate but the view that the universe is pervaded by a number of separate but the view that the universe is pervaded by a number of separate but the view that the universe is pervaded by a number of separate but the view that the universe is pervaded by a number of separate but the view that view the view that vie

into by God, and the ethical corollaries that follow therefrom I shall then try to indicate to you how the adoption of the view of the Advatin that the principle of consciousness, which, as the soul, is in association with individual beings of various kinds in the universe, is in essence the same as the Universal Soul, will modify the conclusions that we may have drawn. Let it be borne in mind that even the Advatin grants—so to speak—a sort of limited reality to the universe as conceived by those who differ from him.

I may now proceed to state that the soul is conceived in the Gitā to be immortal, immutable and of the nature of consciousness It is the knowing and experiencing entity within us, the unifying and co ordinating principle that gives meaning to experience and makes knowledge something more than automatic response to external stimuli It pervades all things and is present in stocks and stones as well as in plants and animals and men Nothing in the universe is divorced from the vitalising union of the spirit. The extent to which the principle of consciousness asserts itself in different beings varies, but even in the things that we consider 'dead', the soul is present, though it suffers there an almost total eclipse of its powers and privileges There are only differences of degree and not of kind among the various beings in the universe For even in man in whom the principle of consciousness—to the best of our knowledge—finds its highest expression and freest manifestation, the body clogs the soul and limits its powers to know and to enjoy The senses are conceived not so much as independent and essential instruments of knowledge for the soul but as cracks and crevices through which light creeps fitfully into the dungeon in which the soul is imprisoned Without the senses, the soul can experience in its state of freedom. The senses are like slits in a screen which shuts the soul off from knowledge and bliss. The true nature of the soul can be realised either through an analysis of our mental structure (as is given in III 42) or the revealing experience of self realisation which the sogin attains in the state of samadha

The description of God in the Gija has been criticised by many Western students as being full of irreconcilable contra dictions. These are however, more apparent than real, and may all be resolved on the view that God is both transcendent and

Lee LXXXVII) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

immanent at the same time,—a view which has dominated Indian thinking from the Vedas downwards There are differences of opinion among the various schools of the Vedanta as to the exact relation between God and the universe But all agree in looking upon God as being both transcendent and immanent at the same It is taught in the Gita that the whole universe, if rightly understood, is an elaborate revelation of God Both the world of matter and energy and the principle of consciousness which pervades it, constitute brakritis of God All that exists as matter or energy or consciousness is dependent for its very existence on the presence of God within it as Antaryamin At the same time, however, we must look upon God as being above and beyond the universe as well He is the source of its origin and the culmination of its evolution This dual aspect of the Godhead indicates to us the complexity of the relation that exists between God and the universe

The true nature of God may be understood from a cosmological examination of the totality of universal phenomena, which is apt to lead us to the postulation of a Power, above and beyond what is visible, and fully responsible for all that lives and moves. This argument may be supplemented by the need felt for religion in the human heart and the authority that is required to sustain the moral law? This proof may not, however, satisfy certain sceptics and atheists. For the eye of faith has to be opened in addition to the eye of reason before we can see God. The practice of 30ga is also capable of testifying to the reality of God through a vivid experience in the state of samādh;

The inter relations among these ultimate postulates of philosophy—matter, soul and God—indicate the principles of sound ethics It is stugglin in the Grid that the universe of matter and energy is pervaded by the principle of cons iousness, so that this principle, being the knower as well as the enjoyer thereof, is seen to be its sustainer and life giver, and that, again, the same world of matter and energy, so pervaded by consciousness, is further pervaded and entered into by God, so that He becomes its absolute and ultimate owner and supporter and enjoyer. The universe of matter and energy is a 'prakrist' of God, the individual souls, pervading this universe, constitute collectively another and higher 'prakrist' of God, being but sparks from the Flame Divine Here then we have a graded relationshipp, matter is subordinate to the soul, and both these are subordinate to God

Now, in the worl i of samsara, we find the souls in a state of embodiment. They have become subject to the limitations of the flesh and have forgotten their original freedom and bliss. The life of embodiment is more or less completely dominated by the needs and necessities of the body. The multiform activities of people, the motives which impel those activities and the appetites which are satisfied by the results of those activities, all belong to the body. You will remember that all the evolutionary as well as dissolutionary activities of the universe around us are due to the gupas of prakrit. But for these, there can be no activity in Nature and no possibility of doing work. When any person works it is really the embodiment which does work

In the prakrits of every embodiment, some one guna of prakrits is dominant. And the status and function in life of any

Lee LXXXVII] HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

one as well as his physical, mental and meral quality is determined by this dominant guna Many things which we are apt to regard as belonging to the domain of psychology such as desire and aversion, pleasure and pain are declared in the Gita to belong to the realm of the kshetra, the not self the field for the play of the soul, on the ground that all these arise as a result of the contact between matter and soul Due to the close correspondence which is thus believed to exist between our physical embodiment and our moral qualities the guitas have acquired an ethical reference Sattva represents the illumination of wisdom and "the calm of mind all passion spent', rajas the energising activity of self aggrandisement and tamas the comatose stupor and deadly mertia of dulness In other words each of these g inas reveals itself in its own characteristic way in our moral life And so all aspects of life, our faith our worship our intellect, our will even the food that we eat, are classified under the three heads of the satterka, the rajasa and the tamasa

Now the supreme good of the soul is taught to be freedom from this enforced association with prakrit which is imposed on it in a life of embodiment. In some sense or other this freedom for the soul implies not merely emancination from bondage to for the soul implies not merely emancination from bondage to matter but the attainment of God as will. There is no beginning that we can trace to the contact between mitter and soul, but the that we can trace to the contact between mitter and soul, but the casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting off worn out bodies are new like and the casting off worn out bodies and taking up those that are new, like casting of the casting of

association of our souls with their physical embodiments and confirm and continue our bondage. These contacts with their associated pleasures and pains are of the very stuff of our experience. They naturally give rise in turn to likes and dislikes, and it is by becoming a slave to these that one tends to live a life of selfish attachment. We can, however, manage to maintain the mastery of our will over these likes and dislikes by wisely directing our inborn potentialities. An unnaturally planned life cannot lead us to perfection. Hence it is that the GHB teaches that there is an inviolable rule of choice in regard to our duties and that thase are determined by our fitness for service and by the environment in which we are placed.

Not less important than the right choice of duties is the disposition with which they are done Having discovered our duties, we must see that they are performed without any possessive interest in their fruits The basis of all egoism lies in differenti ation Selfishness becomes irrational when the futility and folly of the feelings of '1 ness' and mine ness' are understood Looking at mankind from the standpoint of enduring spiritual realities we have to conclude that all are equal, all souls being alike in essence The observation of equality has indeed to be extended so as to include all sentient and what the world deems as non-sentient existence as well The universal immanence of God also reinforces the lesson of equality In moral life, the law of equality requires that we should regard the happiness and misery of others as our own And in its emphasis on the spiritual and the divine in us it shows the n-cessity for conquering our tendency to become enslaved to pain and pleasure. The law of equality in fact comprises in itself both the law of duty and the law of love And as we have seen this vision of equality becomes the possession of experience in the transcendental states of self realisation and God realisation

To enable us to carry out our duties in this manner and thus to attain the ultimate salvation of soul emancipation and God attainment, Sri Krishha places before us in the Gita three, or according to some, four paths of moral discipline. There is first the harma marga, or the path of works in which we do our duties simply because they happen to be our duties. There is then the path of philosophic wisdom and realisation, the jiñāna marga,

Lec. LXXXVII) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

which requires of us self-realisation, so that we may be in a position to discriminate between the self and the not self and distinguish the appropriate aims and objects of the self from the tendencies and promptings of the not self. Thirdly, we have the bhakirmārga or the path of divine devotion, where intense attachment to God weans us from attachment to all worldly objects whatsoever. The fourth, which is also sometimes given, is the way of prapatts, of trustful confidence in and absolute selfsurrender to God Any of these paths of moral discipline can effectively kill the selfish feelings of 'i ness' and 'mine-ness' and make it possible for us to lead lives of samatea and service. It is not necessary to regard these paths as mutually exclusive or antagonistic. Indeed, a well known teacher of the Vedanta in South India expressly states that they are helpful to one another Opinion, of course, differs among the eminent commentators who have interpreted the Gitā, as to which of these paths is the best of all, some holding that bhakts or bhakts and prapatts constitute the one grand key note in the supreme spiritual harmony that is noticeable in the teachings of the Gita and others maintaining that the Gun teaches the way of salvation through knowledge and wisdom

According to the Advasta school of Vedanta, the picture of the universe outlined above will have to vary in many essential particulars It is maintained by them that the all pervading Universal Soul is the same in essence as the various individual souls. The experience of individuality is due to a false perspective The Supreme Brahman, under certain upadhis or limitations, is looked on as the individual soul. The resulty of the individual soul, the external universe of matter and energy, and a Personal God controlling and p-rvading the universe, rests on the validity of this outlook. In the state of samādhi, the oneness of the individual soul with the Supreme Brahman may be made out, and all distinctions vanish in the light of supreme wisdom epistemology of Advasta denies ultimate reality to the so called external world and our experience of individual personality accordance with the tenets of this philosophy, Sankaracharya points out that the Gifa teaches the way of release through converting praviitis, the path of works, into ministis, the path of renunciation The disinterested performance of duty will help us in gaining the right vision, which alone can lead us to final release

Now, applying the principles of the ethics which we have been so far studying to Arjuna's case, what do we find? As has been frequently pointed out in the course of these lectures, Arjuna wanted to reject the duty which was determined for him by both his environment and endowment Taking his endowment first, we have to note that he was a warrior, born and bred, inheriting the martial spirit of countless generations of Kshattriya ancestors and with an honourable record of distinguished military service The life of misunderstood renunciation and passive maction, which he wanted to embrace, would be entirely unsuited to him, however fascinating a way of escape it might offer him for the moment in his perplexity. The environment in which he was placed demanded of him no less the fulfilment of his onerous duty as a general leading an army fighting to vindicate righteousness The forces of history, under the guidance of God, had brought about the opposing armies to the field It was beyond the power of Arjuna to avert the conflict But he could be the instrument of divine justice restoring order and righteousness in a world for long under the sway of enthroned wickedness, or donning the ochre robe of the sannyāsin, he might basely desert his post of duty The attitude of Arjuna then comes to this that he refuses to perform his duty for the simple reason that it is unpleasant for him to do so Hence Sri Krishna calls upon him to shake off this weakness of will and heart and play a manly part in the war, without caring for personal considerations and with full faith in

The main outlines of the teachings of the Gild are thus clear, and the reasoning is consistent and cogent. The divergences of interpretation that we have had occasion frequently to notice merely show the spirit of all inclusive toleration and comprehensive charity, which animates Sri Krishpa's treatment of the various context ding views of life and religion current at that time in this country and makes the Gild almost unique among the sacred books of the world. Reference has been frequently made in these lectures to the tenest of the Safakhya philosophy as having been accepted with some significant variations by the Vedanta. We are not in a position to know the exect nature of the Safakhya

of immemorial antiquity, though they have been lost through lapse of time (IV 2) Nevertheless, it must not be forgotten that, even if Sri Krishna's function was to remind rather than to originate, Hhad to throw new light on conventional concepts

Even if He was handling old ideas and familiar themes, they had to be re-stated and re interpreted And so, everywhere in the Gita, old conceps gain a new significance and houry conflicts are resolved in a new harmony The Gitā has little sympathy, for instance, with the time worn cult of sacrifices, but in its anxiety to build on the faith of the past, it refuses to condemn or discard the ancient rite Sti Krishna, on the other hand gives a new meaning to the concept of sacrifice and makes it typical of religious worship in general The ritualism of the Vedes is thus assigned its proper place in the scheme of things Not the highest form of religion by any means, but if practised withour selfishness and in a spirit of dispassionate detachment it can also lead to the Highest To take another example, a new significance is given to the age old concept of renunciation also and the conflict between the scriptural injunctions enjoining the practice of pravritts and those enjoining the practice of nivfitti is resolved and harmonised

There is yet another aspect of the synthesis in the Gita which is worthy of note There are critics who say that the ethics of the Gita lay an almost exclusively stress on the obligatoriness of the performance of duty by the individual and have little to say of social and communal welfare This is as we have often seen, a wrong view to take Ariuna has to be shown the imperative necessity for the performance of his duty as a citizen and soldier and on this Sri Krishna has naturally much to say But you will remember that one of the objections of Arjuna to fight related to the social consequences of war And Sri Krishna's views on the consequences that accrue to society by the success or the failure of individuals in the performance of their duties in life may be gath-red from many contexts in the Gita The vision of visvarupa thrusts Arjuna right into the middle of the flowing stream of history Duties being determined by our annate endowments and our environment the proper performance of our duties contributes as much to our spiritual advan ement as to communal welfare And I kewise our failure to perform our duties hinders our moral progress at the same time that it injures

Lec LXXXVII) HINDU PHILOSOPHY OF CONDUCT

the commonweal The interests of the individual and of society thus point in the same direction Social progress and individual advancement proceed along parallel lines

In a society fashioned according to the teaching of Sri Krishna. with its doctrine of the fit man for the fit place and its ideal of devoted dutifulness, there will be little or no social friction or wastage. But every allowance will be made for differences of moral and religious belief in accordance with temperamental idiosyncrasies And in respect of economic organisation, it will be so constituted that every member of it will work honestly according to his capacity and aptitude and share in the common produce of the labour so put forth according to his natural needs and requirements The need for the police functions of the State will continue so long as there are recalcitrant members in the community The need for the arbitrament of arms will also remain so long as civilised communities fail to recognise that all issues between them should be settled by mutual agreement But the sattitude ideal of mercy and charity and loving service, as embodied in the lives of a select few, will always serve to remind the many of the ultimate consummation of peace and goodwill on Meanwhile the Gita teaches us that by working in the world without becoming worldly, we not only help on the salvation of our souls, but also help on the progress of civilisation to the realisation of the destiny which God in His wisdom has ordained for mankind. It is a lesson which everyone, whatever his position in life, needs to learn. The study of this holy and memorable dislogue is, therefore incumbent on the young and the old on the learned as well as the unlearned, upon those who believe and those who do not helleve All sorts and conditions of m n may study the Gita and derive priceless profit from it. What is required is only an unbiassed and receptive mind, eager to learn, ready to criticise as well to accept, but not to calumniate

You are now in a position to see how the teachings of and are now in a position to see now the reachings of \$11 Krishpa harmonise human civilisation and its institutions and place before us an ideal which aims at organising the component piece perore us an lucar which aims at organising the component communities that make up mankind in all their different grades of communities that make up management and interdependent family. If I development into an amicable, and interdependent family. development into an activation and in the very first of this series of may repeat here what I said in the very first of this series of

BHAGAVADGITA

[Chap. XVIII

that when we are all ready, even like Arjuna, to hearken to the teachings of the Gliā and obey the commands of the Lord, the world will have advanced a long way towards that

one far-off divine event

To which the whole creation moves?

The Sloka		Charter No.	Verse No.	Page No.
হ্ম				
अदेशुकाले यहानं		17	22	222
अधम धर्मामिनिया		18	32	286
अध्योधी च प्रस्ताः	•••	15	2	106
अधिष्ठानं तथा कर्ता		18	14	260
अध्यारमञ्जाननित्यत्य		12	11	17
अध्येष्यते च य इमं		18	70	366
अनादित्यान्निर्गुणत्यात्	•••	13	81	57
यनिष्टमिएं मिश्रं च	•••	18	12	257
अनुद्वेगकरं चाक्य		17	15	215
बनुयन्धं क्षयं हिंमां		18	25	275
अनेकचित्तविभ्रान्ताः		16	16	177
अन्ये त्वेवमजानन्तः		13	25	47
भषकाशोऽपवृत्तिश्च		14	13	75
अफलाकाङ्किभिर्यञ्चः		17	11	208
अभयं मस्वसंशुद्धिः		16	1	156
अभिसंधाय तु फलं		17	12	209
अमानिन्यमद्भित्व		13	7	17
श्रयुक्तः प्राष्ट्रतस्स्तन्धः		18	28	279
अविभक्तं च भूतेषु		13	16	31
अज्ञास्त्रविहिनं घोर		17	5	204
अध्यक्षा हुनंदत्ते		17	28	238
थसक्तवुद्धिस्मर्वत्र		18	49	328
अमक्तिरन्भिष्यहः	••	18	9	17
अन्त्यमधितप्र ते	•••	16	8	167
असी मया इतर्शाष्ट्रः	•	16	14	175
अहङ्कारं वलं दंप कामं कोधं च	•••	16	18	180
अहङ्कार वलं दर्प कामं कोधं परिष्रहं	***	18	53	330
बद्दं वेश्यानरो भृत्या	***	15	14	126
शहिंसा सत्यमकोधः	•••	16	2	156

			SLU.	KAS			
The Sloka		-		Cha N	pter o.	Vers No.	e Page No.
था था							
थाढथोऽभिजनवानस्मि बात्मसंभावितास्त्राचाः				1	6	15	176
आयुस्सत्त्ववळारोग्य				16	3	17	178
आशोपा <u>त्राच्य</u> ीर				17	,	8	206
वासुरी योनिमापन्नाः				16		12	172
धाराम्य भी				16			
आहारस्विप सर्वस्य			••••			20	187
_			••••	17		7	205
¥							
इच्छा <i>हेपस</i> सुसं दुःसं							
			••	13		6	11
पार्वा स्ट्रियालका				15		20	143
रात क्षेत्र तथा कर्				17		33	350
इत्यह वासुदेवस्य				13		8	34
इदमद्य मया लब्धं				18			
इदं ते नातपस्काय		•••	•	16	7		370
^{१द} शरीरं कौन्तेय		•••		-	1		174
रत शरार कान्तंय		•••		18	6	7	362
इद ज्ञानमुपाश्चित्य		•••		13	1	!	4
इन्द्रियार्थेषु वैराग्यं		***		14	2		65
		•••	1	3	8		17
ईं ईश्वरस्सर्वभूतानां							
		•••	1	8	61	3	17
ਤ							
उत्कामन्तं स्थितं वापि उत्तरः							
		****	15	;	10	12	
प्राप्तानग्रहास्त्रक.		••••	15		17		
उपद्रष्टा अनुमन्ता च		****	14		23	13	
		****	13		-	91	
. . 55		-	10		22	43	
कर्षं गच्छन्ति सत्यस्थाः कर्धमहराष्ट्र							
जर्भमूलमधरशाक		•	14				
22176		****	15	1	8	83	
			10		1	102	
	408						

***	יטי	A IO	SLC	KAS	;			
The Sloka				Ch	apter No.	Ve N	tse o.	Page No.
सङ								
आढवोऽभिजनवानस्मि								
जारभसभाविताकार			••••		16	16	i	176
			•	1	16	17		178
व्यक्तियाहाहाहाहाहाहाहा				1	7	-8		206
आसुरी योनिमापुद्धाः			••••	1	6	12		172
आहारस्त्वपि सर्वस्य				1	-	20		
प्राप्तवाय सबस्य				ı.	-	7		87
₹			••••	•	•	7	2	05
इच्छाद्वेपस्सुसं दुःसं								
इति गुह्यतमं शास्त्र				13				
इति ते ज्ञानमारयातं		•	••	15		6		1
इति क्षेत्रं		•	••			20	14	
इति क्षेत्रं तथा द्यानं		••	-	17		63	35	0
इत्यह वासुदेवस्य इत्यक		•••	•	13		18	3	4
इदमद्य मया लब्धं		•••	•	18		74	370)
इदं ते नातपस्काय		•••		16	1	13	174	
रद शरीरं कौन्तेय		•••		18	6	7	362	
इद ज्ञानमुपाधित्य		•••		13		1		
इन्द्रियार्थेषु वैराग्यं		•••		14		2	6	
		•••		13	8	3	1	
ई ईश्वरस्सर्वभूतानां								
र परस्य भूताना				_				
₹		•••	1	.8	61			
उस्काम≈ ६ .								
उत्कामन्तं स्थितं वापि उत्तमः गण्या			_					
उत्तमः पुरुषस्यन्यः उत्तासीनयदासीनः		****	14		10			
उपटण :		****	14	-	17			
उपद्रष्टा अनुमन्ता च		••••	14		23			
- &		••••	13		22			
ऊर्ध्व ग राज ि⊸								
जर्भमूलमघरशाकं			٠,					
ज ः नदसाकी		••••	14		18			
		••••	15		1			
40	08							

The Sloka		Chapter No.	Verse No	Page No.
ध				007
घृत्या यया धारयते	_	18	33	287
ध्यानेनात्मनि प्रथम्ति		13	24	46
•				
न		18	69	365
न च तसान्मनुष्येषु	-	18	40	301
न तदस्ति पृथिब्यां या	_	15	6	113
न तद्भासयते सूर्यः	_	-	10	254
न देएयजुदालं कर्म	_	18	3	107
न रूपमस्येह		15	73	368
नष्टो मोहस्स्मृतिर्दान्धा	_	18		256
न हि देहभूना शक्यं	_	18	11	85
नान्यं गुणेभ्यः कर्तार	_	14	19	247
नियतस्य तु संन्यासः	_	18	7	274
नियतं सङ्गरद्वित		18	23	111
निर्मानमोहा जितसङ्गदोषाः	_	15	5	245
निश्चयं श्रुण मे तत्र	_	18	4	24)
प्		18	13	254
पश्चेतानि महायाह्ये	_	14.	1	63
पर भुयः प्रवक्ष्याम्	_	13	21	40
पुरुषः प्रकृतिस्थो हि	_	18	21	272
पृथक्तवेन तु युद्धानं		14	22	89
प्रकाशंच प्रवृत्तिंच	_	13	19	36 -
प्रकृति पुरुष चैच्		13	29	56
प्रकृत्येव च कर्माणि	_	18	30	287
प्रवृत्ति च निवृत्ति च कार्याकार्य	_	16	7	166
प्रवृत्ति च निवृत्ति च जना				
घ		13	15	26
वहिरन्तश्च भूतानां	_	18	29	279
ग्रहेर्भेटं धतेश्चेय	_	18	51	330
वदवा विशद्या युक्तः	_	14	27	98
ब्रह्मणो हि प्रतिष्ठाह				
41	l			

The Sloka		Chapter	Verse	Page
	`	No.	No	No
यथा सर्वगतं सीक्ष्म्यात्		13	32	58
यदप्रे चानुबन्धे च	•	18	39	301
यद्यहडू।रमाथित्य		18	59	345
यदादित्धगतं तेजः		15	12	124
		13	30	55
यदा भृतपृथगभावं		14	14	77
यदा सन्त्रे प्रवृद्धे तु		18	34	291
यया तुधर्मक्रामार्थीन्		18	31	284
यया धर्ममधर्मेच		18	35	293
यया स्वप्न भयं शोकं		15	18	139
यसारक्षरमतीनो ऽहं		18	17	265
यस्य नाइंक्रतो भावः		17	10	207
यानयामं गनरसं		13	26	49
याचत्सञ्जायते किंचित्		17	1	199
ये शास्त्रविधिम्तस्त्रय		15	19	140
यो मामेजमसंस्मूद		16	23	192
य शास्त्रविधिम्नस्टब्य		107		
₹		14	10	79
ग्जस्तमञ्चाभिभूय		14	15	79
रनिस प्रलयं गन्वर		14	7	71
रजो गागात्मकं विद्धि		18	27	278
गगी क्रमीफलवेप्सुः		18	76	371
राजन्संस्मृत्य संस्मृत्य				
ਲ		14	12	74
लोभ प्रात्तिरारम्भः				
~ 7		17	13	210
विधिहीतमसृष्टा वं		18	52	330
विविक्तसेवी लग्बाशी		18	38	300 370
विषये स्टिय सयोगा त्		18	75	210
व्यासत्रसादाच्छुतवान्। -				
श		18	43	306
रामो दमस्तरदर्शीच		15	8	118
शरीर यद्याप्नीति	113			
•				

IND.	EX TO	SLOK	AS		
The Sloka			Chapter No	Verse No	e P₄ge No
ब्रह्मभूत प्रस्ततात्मा ब्राह्मणञ्जात्मिता		•••	18 18	54 41	333 304
म					
नत्त्या मामभिज्ञानाति			18	55	335
म 					
मचित्तस्मर्वदुर्गाणि मन् प्रसारस्मीरणः			18	58	344
			17	16	217
मन्मना भन्न मङ्गतः मम् योनिमहद्गतः		••	18	65	352
मम्बारो जीवलाके			14	3	67
मयि चानन्ययोगन			15	7	115
महाभूनान्यद्रहरू			13	10	17
माचयोऽज्यक्तिक			13	5	11
414(4)(2)(2)(2)(2)			14	26	95
धक्तसङ्गादन । ११ कि.सि.			14	25	93
मृदयाहेणात्मनो यत्			18	26	277
य			17	19	220
य इंड पान गर्न					
थ एवं बांच ग्रहत			18	68	36 5
वजन्य मारिका सक्तर			13	23	45
993[6 70 ***			17	4	203
यद्य तपस्य हरन —			18	5	245
44 Halifarature			17	27	235
यतन्त्री यागनध्यन			18 15	46	312
यत्तरम्र विपमित			18	11 37	122
यत्तु भामायना धम यत्तु प्राथमयदक्तिमन्			18		297 274
यत्तु व युपकाराध			18	23	273
वर्ष याग्या उपल			17		233
यथा प्रशासय यम			18	78	172
•			13	33	69
	(10				

INDEX TO SLOKAS Chapter No.

The Sloka

Verse No.

Page No.

• -			32	58
यथा सर्वगतं सौक्म्यात्	•••	13		301
यदम्रे चानुबन्धे च		18	39	345
यद्यहद्वारमाश्चित्य		18	59	
यदादित्यगतं तेजः		15	13	124
यदा भृतपृथम्भावं		13	30	55
यदा सत्त्वे प्रवृद्धे तु		14	14	77
पदा सरव प्रवृद्ध तु		18	34	291
यया तु धर्मकामार्थान्		18	31	284
यया धर्ममधर्मे च	•	18	35	293
यया स्वय भयं शोकं		15	18	139
यसारक्षरमतीतोऽहं		18	17	265
यस्य नाइंकतो भावः		17	10	207
यानयामं गनरसं		13	26	49
यावत्सञ्जायते किंचित्		17	1	199
ये शास्त्रविधिमन्त्रुज्य		15	19	140
यो मामेवमसम्मदः		16	23	193
यः शास्त्रविधिमृत्स्ज्य		-		
₹		14	10	79
ग्जस्तमञ्चाधिभूय		14	15	79
ग्जमि प्रलयं गत्वा		14	7	71
रजो गगात्मकं विद्य	•	18	27	278
गंगी क्योंकलवेप्सुः		18	76	371
राजन्संस्मृत्य संस्मृत्य	•			
ਲ		14	12	74
स्रोभ∙ प्रमृत्तिरारम्भः				210
च		17	13	210
विधिही नमस् <u>ष्</u> रपासं	•	18	52	330
141881 14.52				
ित स्रो ती लघ्वाशा		18	38	300
विविक्तसेवी ल्यासी विक्रोन्टियसंयोगान्			38 75	300 370
िल्लो व्हियसंयागात्		18 18	75	
विविक्तसेनी लच्चाशा विषयेन्द्रियसंयोगान् व्यासप्रसादारङ्कृतवान् श		18 18	75 42	
जिप्येन्द्रियसंगानत् व्यासप्रसादाः ब्हुतवान् इा	::	18 18	75	370
^{त्रिपयेन्द्रियसंयागात्} व्यासप्रसादा ^द ङ्कृतवान्		18 18	75 42	370 306

	IMDEX	TO	SLO	(AS			
The Sloka				Chapt No.	er V	erse No.	Page No
शरीरवाङ्यनोमियंन् शौर्यं तेजो धृतिर्दाद्यं धद्याः				18		15	263
श्रद्धया परया तस				18		43	307
श्रद्धाचाननस् यश्र				17		17	218
श्रेयान्स्वधर्मो विगुणः				18		1	365
श्रोत्र चशुस्स्पर्शन च				18		7	322
पशुस्त्राम च			• •	15		9	119
स						•	
सन्कारमानपूजार्थ							
लस्थात्स्रज्ञायन करू			•	17	1		219
पच रजस्तम ∞ि			•	14	17		82
सत्वं सुखे सज्यति				14	- 6		69
रास्थानसम्म				14	٤		73
सद्भावे साधुभावे च				17	9		203
			•	17	26		234
समं पदयन्ति सर्वत्र			••	14	24		92 53
सम सर्वेषु भूतेषु सर्वे क्रमाण्यपि सदा				13	28		51
सर्वगुद्यतम् भूयः सर्वगुद्यतम् भूयः			•	13 18	27	,	38
भवतः पाणिपादं तत्				18	56		51
सवदारेषु देहेऽस्मिन्				13	64		24
'14941ET 1717				14	13 11		74
संबंधतरा के ं				18	66		2
प्रथमानिक क्रीक्त				18	20	27	
				14	4		3
ल प्रस्टियमणा त्राहरू				15	15	12	7
				13	14	2	5
भ्याद्ध प्राप्ता र				18	48	32	5
				8	60	329	
				8	36	291	
रम्भावजैन कीन्तेय स्व स्व क्रमण्यभिग्नः				8	1	242	
ः कगण्यामानः			1: 1:	_	60	345	
	0		10	,	45	310	

A Glossary of the Sanskrit words, occurring in the Text of the Lectures

(Arranged according to the English Alphabet)

Abhimāna-pride, haughtiness, a high opinion of one's self.

achā palam-not moving changing, steady

achara-not moving.

acharsa-one whose precepts

are to be followed, a teacher or spiritual preceptor; a title applied more particularly to the three great teachers of the Vedānta in South Sankara. Ramānuja Madhya

achetasah—nominative plural of 'achetas', meaning destitute of consciousness, insensible,

inanimate '.

prop ādhāra-suppert or that which contains - unrighteousnese,

wickedness, sinful deed base , adhsshthana-basis.

abode, seat; substratum adhvaryu-a priest officiating at a sacrifice, the technical name of a particular class of priests, whose special duty it is to chant the hymne

Yajuraeda at sacrinces adhaāima - jūāna - nsiyalvam constant possession of know ledge of the self.

adh) dya-reading, study, especially study of the Vedes, 2 chapter

adroha-freedom from treach-

Advasta -non-duality, oneness: the monistic school of the Vedanta philosophy Advastin-one who upholds or

follows the principles of the A tvasta philosophy

Agns-fire, the god of fire

Agnishtoma-praise of Agni name of a sacrifice forming essential part Ivotishtoma

ahankara-'1-ness', the idea that one is the agent and therefore the owner of the fruits of the work done by egotism; that modification of the principle known which tendency for individualisation as mahat. in matter makes its appear ince for the first time in the evolution of Nature according to the Sanklina philosophy

ajñānajam—arising or springing from ignorance, also giving rise to ignorance

akara-the letter or sound 'a' Aharane pratvavayah-(There is) sin in omission to do

(prescribed duties)

akarya-not to be done action which it is improper for one to do work for which one is not qualified

ākasa-the 'ethereal' the sky or 'ether' considered as one of the five elements known to Hindu thought subtle and ethereal substance supposed to pervade universe and to be the peculiar vehicle of sound

akritatmanah-nominative plu tal of 'aklitatman meaning one having an undisciplined

akrodha-absence of forbearance anger

akshara purusha—the immortal self the imperishable entity akušala—inauspicious, unpleasant evil

alasya -idleness laziness aloluptvam—freedom desire from

alolutvam-steadiness indiffer ence to sensual objects alpa buddhayah - nominative

pa onuunayan — nonnnative plural of 'alpa buddhi one having a feeble or mean mind

amedh3 a-not fit for sacrifice impure unholy

amfita-(n) immortality world of immortality nectar (adi) immortal arifa-a part

anabhishvanga-one who free from attachment anad:-beginningless anaditva -beginninglessness

anishta—disagreeable

antaribsha-the middle region or the mid world which is situated between the earth and heaven

Antaryamın-internal control ler God as controlling and guiding everything in the universe from within

anumantri-one who permits anya-other different

abaisuna-freedom from tale bearing, uprightness

apamana-disgrace dishonour apana-brenthing out expira

tion of the five 'vital airs , that which goes down,

apohana-removing removal of doubt by argument reasoning inference

aparaspara sambhūtarı—pro duced without reciprocal relations (between an antece dent cause and a subsequent eff ct }

aprakrita-not belonging to or der ved from Nature not phenomenal

apratishtha -having no support apraufitti-abstinence from ac ion

apresa-disagreeable, disliked āptatva-credibility trustworths ness truth

apurva-literally, unprecedent the unforeseen conse Quence of an act espe fally of religious rites

ārambha—beginning; undertaking.

Archirādi - mārga—the path which is described by epithets beginning with light; deva-3ana or the path of god's

arjavam-straightness, straightforwardness, rectitude.

arta-afflicted, depressed.

artha-object; meaning; wealth. artharthin-one who seeks for Wealth

unrighteous asad orāka resolution

asakta—one who is unattached. asanga-attachment

asat-non existence; unreality untruth

aśubha-inauspicious

asuchi naraka—impure bell

afuch trata-one nedded to an unholy vow. Asura-a demon or an evil

spirit; an enemy of the gods. āsura-demoniacal.

dsurī sampat-diabolical wealth, endowment of evil qualities usuri sfishti-same as asura

sarga, creation of demoniscal beings askattha-a kind of fig tree, the

Licus Religiosa. iltatastus-deathworthy felons

Athania-reda-name of the fourth Veda atımānıtā—self-conceit; having

too high an estimate of one's atithi satkIra-honouring

diman-the soul or the self; one's self . God

aima - buddhi - prasadajani-giving rise to or springing from a clear knowledge of the soul.

ātma vinigraha—self-control; subjugation of the self.

atyagin-one who is not a one who has not tyāgın. renounced.

avamāna—diohonour

avaidra-descent; descent of God, incarnation of God as

a man avidaā-nescience; cosmic ignorabsence ance.

Lnowledge.

avijñejam-unknowable avyabhichdra, avyabhichdrini-

steady, unswerving avyakta—the primordial basis

of the universe of matter avsasa-not subject to change; indestructible.

Baddha--bound

baddha-jiva—the bound soul tied down to live in matter. bandha-bondage, confinement,

Bhagar adgita-Divine the famous name of the wellknown dialogue in the Mahabharata between Sri Krishun and Arjuna, treating of the philosophy of conduct: it consists of 18 chapters and forms part of the Bhishmapartan which itself is one of the 18 partians or books into which the great CDIC divided

Bhagavat-praveittivirodhriva. pratfills-nivfills-abstinence such activity as is opposed to the activity of God.

hhakta-a devotee of God bhakts—loving devotion

bhaktı-ınā rga—the

attaining salvation through loving devotion to God

bhartf1-supporter

bhashya-an explanatory work, a commentary

bhāvanā—the internal mental impression forming the basis of conceptual knowledge imagining

bhāva samšuddhi—purity mind αf

bhaya-fear

bhoktri-one who enjays or experiences bhogopakarana-an associated

auxiliary instrument for the enjoyment of experiences bhūta sarga--creation of beings

brahmacharya-celibacy. straining sensual appetites Brahma bhūta-one who has

become the Brahman Brahma bhūya-becoming one

with the Brahman Brahma bhūyāya kalpate-(he)

succeeds in becoming Brahman the Brahma chakra-literally.

wheel of the Brahman the circle of the universe the Brahman-the Great Being

Supreme the Being Infinitely the B_{1g} B-ing individual self the the universe as the the Veda ınfinite Visible Brahmana-a member of the

Brahmin caste an appendix to a Veda, being a kind of commentary thereon Brahma prāpis attainment

the Brahman

Brahmāstra — literally. arrow or weapon of Brahma, name of a magical weapon supposed to be the gift of Brahma and helieved possess irresistible powers of destruction

Brahma sūtras aphorisms relating to the Brahman particularly, the name of a work attributed to Badarayana, dealing in a systematic manner with the principles of the Vedanta

Brahma-vadın -who one discusses the Brahman, ลก enquiring philosopher

Bīihadāranvakobanishad-one of the well known teΩ Upanishads

Buddha-the Enlightened One, the title by which the founder Buddhism 10 known

buddhs-the faculty of intellec tion, intelligence

Chastanya—consciousness chandala—an outcaste, a man of horribly wicked life

chara-moving

charama śloka-the last stan-a Charvakas-a class of Indian philosophers who are atheistic secularists and materialists

cheshta gesture, action, activity, behaviour

chetana—consciousness chetanadhfiti - the vehicle consciousness

Chetananam chetanah-consci ousness of the conscious, the soul of souls, an epithet of God

guhyatamani sāstrani—most secret science.

guna-bhoktrs — enjoyer or experiencer of the gunas.

gunas—the three qualities of praktits, viz., sattva, rajas and tamas.

guṇasaṅkhyāna—the enumeration of the guṇas guṇārātas—those who have

guṇātītas—those who ha transcended the guṇas.

guna-traya-vibhāga-yoga — the discipline based on the differentiation of (the effects of) the gunas.

gunātyaya— the state of transcendence of the gunas gunebhyah param—that which is above the gunas.

guru-preceptor.

Hita—good, benificent.
hotti—a priest of the Rig Veda.
hri—shyness, modesty.

Indrigas—the organs of sense or action.

Isāvāsyopanishad—the first of the well-known ten Upanishads; it belongs to the Vājasansya-samhiiā known as the White Yajurvsda.

sshta-desirable.

Isoara—master, lord; God; the personal God as distinguished from the Virginabrahman, the Absolute without attributes

isvara-bhāva — mastership, lordship; the quality of a culer, royal or imperial state.

Jada-devoid of the power of knowing, inert, unconscious. jada-prakfiti—inert matter; material Nature as contrasted with consciousness.

janmāntara - vāsanā — the impressed tendency atising from another birth.

jāti-smāra—a person who has acquired the power of knowing the nature of his many previous births or states of re-incarnation.

111ñāsu-one desirous o knowing

jiva—the principle of life; the

jivātman—the individual self. jñāna—knowledge; wisdom; theory.

and nandamaya—made up of knowledge and bliss.

jñāna gamya—that which is to be reached by knowledge; the object of knowledge

Jñāna-kānda---that part of the Veda which deals with the nature of God and the way of salvation.

jñāṇa-yajña—a moral form of sacrifice; mental worship.

jñāna-yoga—the practice of meditation and mental concentration for the acquisition of self-realisation and Godrealisation.

jhäna-yogne—a person who has attained self realisation and God-realisation by means of the acquisition of true wisdom through meditation and mental concentration.

jAdnin—the man of knowledge; the man who is possessed of supreme wisdom and has arrived at the realisation derivable from success in the practice of 10ga.

iñairs-a knower.

menu-that which is to be known

150fts-light

of Irotisham Jaotth-Light lights

13otishtoma-a soma sacrifice, considered as typical of a whole class of sacrifices

Kanalya-- 'alone ness', abidance, becoming one with the Supreme, the state of salvation

lama-desire, an object desire, wishful will kama hastuka — caused

produced by desire kama raga balanvita - impelled

by (lit having) the force of kama and raga dependent on

kamasrita desire kamya—desire impelled

kamya karma—action impelled karana-an instrument or means of action, an expedient, an

organ of speech or action kāraņa—cause

karavalambha—giving a helping karına work, act action done

in the previous states of the embodied existence of the soul, the impressed tendency generated in relation to the soul in consequence of acts done in the previous states of kirii bandhi-the bondage of

karma chodand - the incitement to action the motive behind titual acts

karma kanda-that part of the sacrifices and the rules and rituals connected therewith

karma marga-the Vedic path of ritualism, the path of work and duty

karma phala 13 aga — the renunof the fruits ciation

karma-sangin-one attached to

karma sangraha-the epitome of action (being the term analysis of the constituents of action in XVIII 18)

karma sannyāsa— renunciation

karma 30ga the doctrine of work, the right practice of

karma yogin one who successfully follows the doctrine of work by the due performance of disinterested duty

kariri—agent

work to karsa-effect, done, what ought to be done, what is fit and right to be

Katlopanishad-one of well known ten Upanishads, it is attached to the Yanur Veda

kavs-a wise seer, a poet kaya—body

kim ansat-what else?

List : kista a-one who has done what has to be done, one who has accomplished his object or fulfilled his duty

iritatman-one with a disciplined self

kTitsnam kshetram—literally, the entire field; figuratively, the whole universe conceived as a field for the play of the soul

krodha-anger

kshama-patience, forbearance, forgiveness

hshānti—same as kshama, q v kshara—petishable

Kshattrrya—the aristocratic military caste in the organis ation of Hindu society kshetra—field cultivable land

the body or matter considered as a field for the play of the soul

kshetra jña—ht one who knows the field, the soul or God

hshetrin—lit one who owns a field the soul or God

kusala--auspicious, pleasant

kūtastha—he who is immovably aloft the spirit which is uninfluenced by the tendencies of the flesh. God

Lasa-destruction

lobha - covetousness, greed,

loka—the world any division of the universe a company or community of people

Mada - intoxication, pride, arrogance

madhu parka—the honeyed meal, an offering of honey and milk offered to guests bridegrooms etc

Mahabharata—the great Indian national epic of eighteen books, said to have been composed by Vyāsa mahabhūtānı — the i elements, namely, the ewater, fire, air and ether

mahat—one of the princit forming a link in the Sanki chain of universal evolution that evolved condition prakrit in which it is fit made manifest and able produce the many materi things making up the universe.

mahara-the letter or sound 'M'

maniakāra—' mine ness', the idea of ownership in regard to the results of one's work

mama sadharmyamagaiah those who attain sameness of characteristics with me

mana—honour, self confidence, arrogance

manah kriyā—the function of manas manas—the internal orean of

sense or faculty of attention,

manasa tapas—mental tapas

manasika—relating to the mind,

mental, spiritual

manistinali—nominative plural of 'matishin' one who is though ful intelligent we

manowak kaya—mind, speech and body, making up the three instruments of the soul known as tri karan i

mantra-a hymn, a charm or spell a prayer formula

mārdava—softness, gentleness, kindness

mat prasādāt—through my grace or favour m uma—silence

Sakāma—having desire. sādhana bhaktı—bhaktı

considered as a means for the attainment of some desired end in view

sādhva-bhaktı—bhakiı regard ed as an end in itself

sādhu-(adı.) good

sādhu bhāva—goodness saha1a-kāma—natural or

born desire the instinctive urge to satisfy the primal needs of life

saha1a-karma-work to which one is born, work for which one is fitted

sallekhana-a discipline pratised by the Jains in which the power of the flesh is made weak by starvation, ultimately the person under going it dies in a state when. it is believed, the powers of his spirit are strong enough to secure emancipation

fama-self control · peace

samādhi-concentrated tion and mental realisation the last stage of mental concentration in the practice of 30ga, the stage in which the person practising it is so fully absorbed in self-awareness as to be altogether unaware of the external world.

samana-one of the five 'vital airs'

sāmanza dharmas — common dharmas, general duties

samatra-evenness. equality. evenness and impartiality of disposition in relation pleasure and pain, to success and failure. equality sympathy and love in relation to all beings.

Samatvani 2004 uchsate-Evenness (of mind) is declared to be voga

Sama-Veda-the third of the four Vedas sampratishtha-same as pra-

thistha, a v

samprav [itta-arisen.

samsāra--the course of the soul's recurring re incarnation. samsıddhı-complete accom• plishment · perfection, final emancipation

samskāra-the impression left on the mind by the previous acts and experiences, internally impressed tendencies agreeable and disagreeable mental effects which good and evil deeds respectively produce

samvāda—a dialogue

sandhyā-vandana — morning and evening worship

sanga-attachment, attachment to the experience of the enses

sanga tyäga—ziving sanga sanghata - collection,

pound, assemblage.

sankalpa—tesolve

sankalpa - prabhaza kama desire born from the wishful will, sophisticated desire, as distinguished from the natural or inborn desire, and calculited to pander to the satisfaction of the senses

Sankhya-knowledge; theory, the philosophy of Kapila

Sankha karika-name of work by Isvarakrishna, which expounds the system of Sankhaa in a small number of mnemonic stanzas

khia soga—the theory of ondu tarrived at in accord nce with speculative reason

 inyāsin—one who has renounced all worldly attach ments an as etic a mendi can monk

nti-tranquillity peace bliss ful peace of mind

ranagati-seeking refuge or protection particularly seek ing refuse at the feet of God prapatts

pranagati gadya—name of a prayer by Ramanujacharva one of the great teachers of the Vedānta in South India

arira tapas—tapas of the

arvabhāvena-with all states of

hria dharma: — (accusative case) all dharrias

arta karna piala tyaga renunciation of the fruits of all actions

are a karma tyaga - renuncia tion of all act ons

Sarvantaryamın—the Internal Controller of all the Immanent God

sarvāra nbl a paritvagi i — one who relingu shes all endea your

sarcatha carta can i-lic ng in all manner of ways

sarvatra—everywhere in rela tion to all (kinds of work) tanna wook menning w

terms real meaning to command

fasana-an order, edic

iastra—any sacred book of divine or standard authority

dafvata d'arna — e ernal dharma Sat-Existence that which exists the Absolute

Sat eva sauma — Existence alone dear child, (was this in the beanning)

satkara—honour

sativa—that 'quality' of praktish
which is observable in the
steady condition of balanced
motion and calm conscious life

sattva g ma-the quality of

satte a sarı suddhi — same as

sattra fuddl :—purity of nature or disposition, purity of the body

sattvika — pertaining to or characterised by the sittva guna

sativiki dhriii—the sativika type of mental resolution saucha—purity

ś iurya-valour heroism

shalka—a group of six, particularly one of the three groups of six chap ets each into which the Gud is usually

divided

siddha—an inspired sage or
saint

siddhi-attenment of an end, acquistion of occult powers

śloka-a stana esp a stan a composed in annishtub metre

suffit:—t membrance memory, the internal mental impression which fotms the bases of memory a clas of Hindia sacred writings dealing with their social moral and political laws so called because they are held to have been reproduced from remembered textlation by the ancient saces of India